



# IN THE SUPREME COURT OF ALABAMA

December 5, 2025

SC-JWC-0005

In re: FY2025 Judicial Weighted Caseload Study Reports

## ORDER

The Court, having reviewed the District Court Judicial Weighted Caseload Study Report for FY2025 and the Circuit Court Judicial Weighted Caseload Study Report for FY2025, submitted by the Administrative Director of Courts on December 1, 2025,

IT IS ORDERED that the District and Circuit Court Judicial Weighted Caseload Study Reports for FY2025 be ADOPTED in accordance with the appendix to this order; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the District and Circuit Court Judicial Weighted Caseload Study Reports for FY2025 be published on the Administrative Office of Courts' website; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this order be spread upon the minutes of the Court.

**Stewart, C.J., and Shaw, Wise, Bryan, Sellers, Mendheim, Cook, McCool, and Parker, JJ., concur.**

**Witness my hand and seal this 5th day of December, 2025.**

*Megan B. Rhodelsek*

**Clerk of Court,  
Supreme Court of Alabama**

**FILED  
December 5, 2025  
  
Clerk of Court  
Supreme Court of Alabama**

## Alabama FY2025 District Court Judicial Weighted Caseload Need 11/25/2025

County Number	County	Workload (minutes)	Judge Year Value	Overall Judicial Officer Need (FTE)	Actual District Court Judges	FY23	FY24	FY25	3 Year AVG	Rank
						Difference (+ = surplus; - = deficit)	Difference (+ = surplus; - = deficit)	Difference (+ = surplus; - = deficit)	Difference (+ = surplus; - = deficit)	
					109	28.87	30.81	27.20	28.96	
1	Jefferson-Birmingham	547,091	90,300	6.06	10	4.13	4.49	3.94	4.19	68
2	Mobile	415,361	90,300	4.60	6	1.61	1.64	1.40	1.55	67
3	Montgomery	247,175	90,300	2.74	3	0.43	0.32	0.26	0.34	31
4	Autauga	84,272	90,300	0.93	1	0.14	-0.10	0.07	0.04	15
5	Baldwin	211,043	90,300	2.34	3	0.67	0.81	0.66	0.71	54
6	Barbour	78,226	90,300	0.87	1	0.40	0.47	0.13	0.33	30
7	Bibb	67,808	90,300	0.75	1	0.28	0.11	0.25	0.21	23
8	Blount	123,075	90,300	1.36	1	-0.10	-0.24	-0.36	-0.23	6
9	Bullock	13,978	90,300	0.15	1	0.86	0.80	0.85	0.83	61
10	Butler	50,946	90,300	0.56	1	0.44	0.43	0.44	0.43	34
11	Calhoun	127,925	90,300	1.42	3	1.35	1.51	1.58	1.48	66
12	Chambers	99,475	90,300	1.10	1	0.04	0.14	-0.10	0.03	14
13	Cherokee	67,863	90,300	0.75	1	0.13	0.27	0.25	0.21	24
14	Chilton	101,270	90,300	1.12	1	-0.03	-0.06	-0.12	-0.07	12
15	Choctaw	19,101	90,300	0.21	1	0.84	0.82	0.79	0.82	59
16	Clarke	43,387	90,300	0.48	1	0.56	0.60	0.52	0.56	41
17	Clay	27,601	90,300	0.31	1	0.69	0.76	0.69	0.72	55
18	Cleburne	59,916	90,300	0.66	1	0.27	0.36	0.34	0.32	29
19	Coffee	104,414	90,300	1.16	1	-0.25	-0.02	-0.16	-0.14	8
20	Colbert	105,828	90,300	1.17	1	-0.11	-0.09	-0.17	-0.12	10
21	Conecuh	22,887	90,300	0.25	1	0.76	0.70	0.75	0.74	56
22	Coosa	18,072	90,300	0.20	1	0.76	0.74	0.80	0.77	57
23	Covington	73,871	90,300	0.82	1	0.04	0.17	0.18	0.13	19
24	Crenshaw	35,323	90,300	0.39	1	0.69	0.64	0.61	0.65	48
25	Cullman	172,642	90,300	1.91	2	-0.24	-0.03	0.09	-0.06	13
26	Dale	96,669	90,300	1.07	2	0.93	1.01	0.93	0.96	65
27	Dallas	95,252	90,300	1.05	1	0.21	0.18	-0.05	0.11	18
28	Dekalb	140,145	90,300	1.55	2	0.35	0.50	0.45	0.43	33
29	Elmore	138,098	90,300	1.53	2	0.27	0.53	0.47	0.42	32
30	Escambia	110,467	90,300	1.22	1	-0.20	-0.09	-0.22	-0.17	7
31	Etowah	177,978	90,300	1.97	2	-0.03	-0.24	0.03	-0.08	11
32	Fayette	23,379	90,300	0.26	1	0.64	0.68	0.74	0.69	52
33	Franklin	77,136	90,300	0.85	1	0.10	0.18	0.15	0.14	21
34	Geneva	67,744	90,300	0.75	1	0.31	0.24	0.25	0.27	26
35	Greene	12,998	90,300	0.14	1	0.79	0.83	0.86	0.82	60
36	Hale	34,606	90,300	0.38	1	0.67	0.65	0.62	0.65	49
37	Henry	38,922	90,300	0.43	1	0.55	0.55	0.57	0.56	40
38	Houston	136,960	90,300	1.52	2	0.42	0.46	0.48	0.45	35
39	Jackson	110,877	90,300	1.23	1	-0.37	-0.25	-0.23	-0.28	4
40	Lamar	30,095	90,300	0.33	1	0.59	0.57	0.67	0.61	46
41	Lauderdale	74,538	90,300	0.83	1	0.01	-0.02	0.17	0.06	16
42	Lawrence	68,480	90,300	0.76	1	0.21	0.27	0.24	0.24	25
43	Lee	119,981	90,300	1.33	2	0.66	0.67	0.67	0.67	50
44	Limestone	187,022	90,300	2.07	2	0.15	0.18	-0.07	0.09	17
45	Lowndes	26,772	90,300	0.30	1	0.59	0.61	0.70	0.63	47
46	Macon	40,682	90,300	0.45	1	0.58	0.56	0.55	0.56	42
47	Madison	505,239	90,300	5.60	4	-0.94	-1.24	-1.60	-1.26	1
48	Marengo	33,668	90,300	0.37	1	0.59	0.59	0.63	0.60	44
49	Marion	72,316	90,300	0.80	1	0.12	0.19	0.20	0.17	22
50	Marshall	246,208	90,300	2.73	2	-0.16	0.13	-0.73	-0.25	5
51	Monroe	38,307	90,300	0.42	1	0.56	0.64	0.58	0.59	43
52	Morgan	203,750	90,300	2.26	3	0.48	0.59	0.74	0.61	45
53	Perry	13,319	90,300	0.15	1	0.86	0.84	0.85	0.85	62
54	Pickens	39,315	90,300	0.44	1	0.45	0.51	0.56	0.51	37
55	Pike	63,791	90,300	0.71	1	0.31	0.25	0.29	0.29	27
56	Randolph	43,962	90,300	0.49	1	0.49	0.51	0.51	0.51	36
57	Russell	117,575	90,300	1.30	2	0.62	0.70	0.70	0.67	51
58	Shelby	250,637	90,300	2.78	2	-0.83	-0.73	-0.78	-0.78	2
59	St. Clair	206,014	90,300	2.28	2	-0.15	0.03	-0.28	-0.13	9
60	Sumter	13,329	90,300	0.15	1	0.84	0.87	0.85	0.86	63
61	Talladega	174,523	90,300	1.93	2	0.39	0.46	0.07	0.31	28
62	Tallapoosa	87,612	90,300	0.97	1	0.16	0.22	0.03	0.14	20
63	Tuscaloosa	233,968	90,300	2.59	2	-0.29	-0.41	-0.59	-0.43	3
64	Walker	99,401	90,300	1.10	2	0.79	1.15	0.90	0.94	64
65	Washington	26,610	90,300	0.29	1	0.66	0.73	0.71	0.70	53
66	Wilcox	20,627	90,300	0.23	1	0.78	0.75	0.77	0.77	58
67	Winston	41,743	90,300	0.46	1	0.49	0.52	0.54	0.52	38
68	Jefferson-Bessemer	127,471	90,300	1.41	2	0.84	0.18	0.59	0.54	39

**Alabama FY2025 Circuit Court Judicial Weighted Caseload 3 Year Avg. Need 11/25/2025**

Circuit	County	Region	Workload (minutes)	Judge Year Value	Overall Judicial Officer Need (FTE)	Actual Circuit Court Judges	FY23	FY 24	FY25	3 year	Rank
							Difference (+ = surplus; - = deficit)	Difference (+ = surplus; - = deficit)	Difference (+ = surplus; - = deficit)	Average Difference (+ = surplus; - = deficit)	
			14,396,228		162.38	150	-8.37	-2.64	-12.38	-7.79	
1	Choctaw, Clarke, Washington	Multiple	125,401	83,850	1.50	2	0.61	0.79	0.50	0.64	37
2	Butler, Crenshaw, Lowndes	Multiple	110,963	83,850	1.32	1	-0.34	-0.31	-0.32	-0.33	16
3	Barbour, Bullock	Multiple	82,421	83,850	0.98	1	0.09	-0.02	0.02	0.03	26
4	Bibb, Dallas, Hale, Perry, Wilcox	Multiple	321,915	83,850	3.84	3	-0.05	-0.08	-0.84	-0.32	14
5	Chambers, Macon, Randolph, Tallapoosa	Multiple	272,065	83,850	3.24	3	-0.71	-0.09	-0.24	-0.35	13
6	Tuscaloosa	Single	709,312	90,300	7.86	6	-1.68	-1.54	-1.86	-1.69	3
7	Calhoun, Cleburne	Multiple	501,652	83,850	5.98	5	-1.47	-0.72	-0.98	-1.05	8
8	Morgan	Single	320,508	90,300	3.55	3	-0.93	-0.30	-0.55	-0.59	11
9	Cherokee, DeKalb	Multiple	221,754	83,850	2.64	3	0.09	0.49	0.36	0.31	30
10	Jefferson	Single	2,292,038	90,300	25.38	26	3.19	2.92	0.62	2.24	41
11	Lauderdale	Single	418,016	90,300	4.63	4	0.17	0.59	-0.63	0.04	9
12	Coffee, Pike	Multiple	174,935	83,850	2.09	3	0.89	0.77	0.91	0.86	38
13	Mobile	Single	1,356,907	90,300	15.03	11	-4.62	-3.70	-4.03	-4.12	1
14	Walker	Single	130,603	90,300	1.45	3	1.09	1.52	1.55	1.39	40
15	Montgomery	Single	812,348	90,300	9.00	9	-0.18	0.38	0.00	0.07	29
16	Etowah	Single	310,888	90,300	3.44	4	0.72	0.99	0.56	0.76	36
17	Greene, Marengo, Sumter	Multiple	87,574	83,850	1.04	1	-0.02	-0.04	-0.04	-0.04	24
18	Shelby	Single	404,554	90,300	4.48	4	-0.25	-0.14	-0.48	-0.29	20
19	Autauga, Chilton, Elmore	Multiple	436,247	83,850	5.20	4	-0.74	-0.69	-1.20	-0.88	5
20	Henry, Houston	Multiple	500,396	83,850	5.97	5	-1.60	-0.61	-0.97	-1.06	7
21	Escambia	Single	156,948	90,300	1.74	2	0.73	0.70	0.26	0.56	35
22	Covington	Single	108,818	90,300	1.21	2	0.77	0.79	0.79	0.78	39
23	Madison	Single	955,377	90,300	10.58	9	-0.66	-0.59	-1.58	-0.94	4
24	Fayette, Lamar, Pickens	Multiple	127,084	83,850	1.52	1	-0.54	-0.45	-0.52	-0.50	12
25	Marion, Winston	Multiple	160,487	83,850	1.91	2	0.04	0.32	0.09	0.15	25
26	Russell	Single	219,476	90,300	2.43	2	-0.29	-0.72	-0.43	-0.48	18
27	Marshall	Single	227,822	90,300	2.52	3	0.41	0.59	0.48	0.49	34
28	Baldwin	Single	702,618	90,300	7.78	6	-2.13	-1.74	-1.78	-1.88	2
29	Talladega	Single	197,382	90,300	2.19	2	0.07	-0.12	-0.19	-0.08	22
30	St. Clair	Single	232,816	90,300	2.58	2	-0.35	-1.14	-0.58	-0.69	10
31	Colbert	Single	130,642	90,300	1.45	2	0.39	0.48	0.55	0.47	33
32	Cullman	Single	180,698	90,300	2.00	2	-0.38	-0.30	0.00	-0.23	17
33	Dale, Geneva	Multiple	159,628	83,850	1.90	2	0.18	0.22	0.10	0.16	28
34	Franklin	Single	86,083	90,300	0.95	1	-0.17	0.02	0.05	-0.03	23
35	Conecuh, Monroe	Multiple	134,598	83,850	1.61	1	-0.08	0.25	-0.61	-0.14	21
36	Lawrence	Single	72,090	90,300	0.80	1	0.01	0.05	0.20	0.09	27
37	Lee	Single	414,305	90,300	4.59	3	-1.19	-1.55	-1.59	-1.44	6
38	Jackson	Single	139,265	90,300	1.54	2	0.44	0.53	0.46	0.48	32
39	Limestone	Single	218,866	90,300	2.42	2	-0.12	-0.15	-0.42	-0.23	19
40	Clay, Coosa	Multiple	44,430	83,850	0.53	1	0.39	0.28	0.47	0.38	31
41	Blount	Single	136,298	90,300	1.51	1	-0.17	-0.31	-0.51	-0.33	15