



# IN THE SUPREME COURT OF ALABAMA

January 13, 2023

## ORDER

The Court, having reviewed the certified copy of the Judicial Weighted Caseload Studies for the year of 2022, submitted by the Administrative Director of Courts and the Director for Case and Jury Management for the Administrative Office of Courts on December 13, 2022,

IT IS ORDERED that the Judicial Weighted Caseload Study for the year 2022 be ADOPTED in accordance with the appendix to this order; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Judicial Weighted Caseload Studies for the year of 2022 be published on the Administrative Office of Courts' web site; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this order be spread upon the minutes of the Court.

**Parker, C.J., and Bolin, Shaw, Wise, Bryan, Sellers, Mendheim, Stewart, and Mitchell, JJ., concur.**

**Witness my hand and seal this 13th day of January, 2023.**

*Megan B. Rhodebeck*

**Clerk of Court,  
Supreme Court of Alabama**

**FILED  
January 13, 2023  
  
Clerk of Court  
Supreme Court of Alabama**

**Alabama FY2022 District Court Judicial Weighted Caseload Implied Need**

County Number	County	Workload (minutes)	Judge Year Value	Overall Judicial Officer Need (FTE)	District Court Judges assigned to Circuit (FTE)	Referees (FTE)	District Judge Implied Need (FTE)	Actual District Court Judges	Difference * (+ = surplus; - = deficit)	Rank
	Alabama	8,732,602		96.71	10.00	1.00	105.71	106.00	0.29	
1	Jefferson-Birmingham	551,883	90,300	6.11	1.40	0.00	7.51	10.00	2.49	68
2	Mobile	529,023	90,300	5.86	1.00	0.00	6.86	5.00	-1.86	2
3	Montgomery	257,440	90,300	2.85	0.00	0.00	2.85	3.00	0.15	38
4	Autauga	108,561	90,300	1.20	0.00	0.00	1.20	1.00	-0.20	24
5	Baldwin	280,526	90,300	3.11	0.80	0.00	3.91	2.00	-1.91	1
6	Barbour	59,127	90,300	0.65	0.10	0.00	0.75	1.00	0.25	42
7	Bibb	76,638	90,300	0.85	0.00	0.00	0.85	1.00	0.15	39
8	Blount	142,487	90,300	1.58	0.00	0.00	1.58	1.00	-0.58	11
9	Bullock	15,152	90,300	0.17	0.00	0.00	0.17	1.00	0.83	67
10	Butler	75,326	90,300	0.83	0.10	0.00	0.93	1.00	0.07	34
11	Calhoun	197,610	90,300	2.19	1.00	0.00	3.19	3.00	-0.19	25
12	Chambers	126,168	90,300	1.40	0.00	0.00	1.40	1.00	-0.40	19
13	Cherokee	81,277	90,300	0.90	0.00	0.00	0.90	1.00	0.10	36
14	Chilton	126,014	90,300	1.40	0.00	0.00	1.40	1.00	-0.40	20
15	Choctaw	25,916	90,300	0.29	0.00	0.00	0.29	1.00	0.71	62
16	Clarke	44,747	90,300	0.50	0.00	0.00	0.50	1.00	0.50	53
17	Clay	37,501	90,300	0.42	0.10	0.00	0.52	1.00	0.48	51
18	Cleburne	99,311	90,300	1.10	0.00	0.00	1.10	1.00	-0.10	26
19	Coffee	115,164	90,300	1.28	0.10	0.00	1.38	1.00	-0.38	21
20	Colbert	113,004	90,300	1.25	0.00	0.00	1.25	1.00	-0.25	23
21	Conecuh	31,789	90,300	0.35	0.00	0.00	0.35	1.00	0.65	58
22	Coosa	30,231	90,300	0.33	0.00	0.00	0.33	1.00	0.67	60
23	Covington	98,345	90,300	1.09	0.00	0.00	1.09	1.00	-0.09	28
24	Crenshaw	33,671	90,300	0.37	0.20	0.00	0.57	1.00	0.43	48
25	Cullman	229,585	90,300	2.54	0.00	0.00	2.54	2.00	-0.54	12
26	Dale	116,952	90,300	1.30	0.00	0.00	1.30	2.00	0.70	61
27	Dallas	85,590	90,300	0.95	0.00	0.00	0.95	1.00	0.05	33
28	Dekalb	192,108	90,300	2.13	0.10	0.00	2.23	1.00	-1.23	3
29	Elmore	175,555	90,300	1.94	0.10	0.00	2.04	2.00	-0.04	29
30	Escambia	133,573	90,300	1.48	0.00	0.00	1.48	1.00	-0.48	16
31	Etowah	218,729	90,300	2.42	0.30	0.00	2.72	2.00	-0.72	9
32	Fayette	32,628	90,300	0.36	0.10	0.00	0.46	1.00	0.54	56
33	Franklin	72,824	90,300	0.81	0.00	0.00	0.81	1.00	0.19	40
34	Geneva	80,095	90,300	0.89	0.00	0.00	0.89	1.00	0.11	37
35	Greene	22,117	90,300	0.24	0.00	0.00	0.24	1.00	0.76	64
36	Hale	39,075	90,300	0.43	0.00	0.00	0.43	1.00	0.57	57
37	Henry	61,061	90,300	0.68	0.10	0.00	0.78	1.00	0.22	41
38	Houston	198,161	90,300	2.19	0.80	0.00	2.99	2.00	-0.99	6
39	Jackson	145,650	90,300	1.61	0.00	0.00	1.61	1.00	-0.61	10
40	Lamar	39,727	90,300	0.44	0.20	0.00	0.64	1.00	0.36	46
41	Lauderdale	127,829	90,300	1.42	0.00	0.00	1.42	1.00	-0.42	18
42	Lawrence	90,562	90,300	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	31
43	Lee	179,614	90,300	1.99	0.50	0.00	2.49	2.00	-0.49	14
44	Limestone	182,111	90,300	2.02	0.00	0.00	2.02	2.00	-0.02	30
45	Lowndes	44,160	90,300	0.49	0.10	0.00	0.59	1.00	0.41	47
46	Macon	42,437	90,300	0.47	0.00	0.00	0.47	1.00	0.53	55
47	Madison	530,204	90,300	5.87	0.20	1.00	5.07	4.00	-1.07	5
48	Marengo	47,766	90,300	0.53	0.00	0.00	0.53	1.00	0.47	50
49	Marion	104,404	90,300	1.16	0.30	0.00	1.46	1.00	-0.46	17
50	Marshall	209,243	90,300	2.32	0.00	0.00	2.32	2.00	-0.32	22
51	Monroe	45,161	90,300	0.50	0.00	0.00	0.50	1.00	0.50	52
52	Morgan	243,219	90,300	2.69	0.00	0.00	2.69	3.00	0.31	44
53	Perry	16,096	90,300	0.18	0.00	0.00	0.18	1.00	0.82	66
54	Pickens	42,285	90,300	0.47	0.10	0.00	0.57	1.00	0.43	49
55	Pike	89,339	90,300	0.99	0.00	0.00	0.99	1.00	0.01	32
56	Randolph	58,077	90,300	0.64	0.00	0.00	0.64	1.00	0.36	45
57	Russell	124,939	90,300	1.38	0.10	0.00	1.48	2.00	0.52	54
58	Shelby	284,170	90,300	3.15	0.00	0.00	3.15	2.00	-1.15	4
59	St. Clair	213,331	90,300	2.36	0.40	0.00	2.76	2.00	-0.76	8
60	Sumter	16,958	90,300	0.19	0.00	0.00	0.19	1.00	0.81	65
61	Talladega	170,615	90,300	1.89	0.60	0.00	2.49	2.00	-0.49	13
62	Tallapoosa	99,014	90,300	1.10	0.00	0.00	1.10	1.00	-0.10	27
63	Tuscaloosa	262,803	90,300	2.91	0.00	0.00	2.91	2.00	-0.91	7
64	Walker	153,301	90,300	1.70	0.00	0.00	1.70	2.00	0.30	43
65	Washington	31,105	90,300	0.34	0.00	0.00	0.34	1.00	0.66	59
66	Wilcox	23,302	90,300	0.26	0.00	0.00	0.26	1.00	0.74	63
67	Winston	66,126	90,300	0.73	0.20	0.00	0.93	1.00	0.07	35
68	Jefferson-Bessemer	134,090	90,300	1.48	1.00	0.00	2.48	2.00	-0.48	15

\*The Difference shows the net judge need by adding all the fractions of judge need across the state in a statewide total.

**Alabama FY2022 Circuit Court Judicial Weighted Caseload Implied Need**

Circuit	County	Region	Workload (minutes)	Judge Year Value	Overall Judicial Officer Need (FTE)	District Court Judges (FTE)	Referees (FTE)	Circuit Judge Implied Need (FTE)	Actual Circuit Court Judges	Difference* (+ = surplus; - = deficit)	Rank
	Alabama		13,695,171		154.6	10.0	8.0	136.6	146	9.38	
1	Choctaw, Clarke, Washington	Multiple	114,677	83,850	1.4	0.0	0.0	1.4	2	0.63	33
2	Butler, Crenshaw, Lowndes	Multiple	92,276	83,850	1.1	0.4	0.0	0.7	1	0.30	28
3	Barbour, Bullock	Multiple	117,271	83,850	1.4	0.1	0.0	1.3	1	-0.30	15
4	Bibb, Dallas, Hale, Perry, Wilcox	Multiple	236,884	83,850	2.8	0.0	0.0	2.8	3	0.17	22
5	Chambers, Macon, Randolph, Tallapoosa	Multiple	283,233	83,850	3.4	0.0	0.0	3.4	3	-0.38	12
6	Tuscaloosa	Single	743,690	90,300	8.2	0.0	1.0	7.2	6	-1.24	7
7	Calhoun, Cleburne	Multiple	555,314	83,850	6.6	1.0	0.0	5.6	5	-0.62	10
8	Morgan	Single	345,131	90,300	3.8	0.0	0.0	3.8	3	-0.82	8
9	Cherokee, Dekalb	Multiple	257,904	83,850	3.1	0.1	0.0	3.0	3	0.02	17
10	Jefferson	Single	1,733,470	90,300	19.2	2.4	3.0	13.8	26	12.20	41
11	Lauderdale	Single	420,355	90,300	4.7	0.0	0.0	4.7	3	-1.66	3
12	Coffee, Pike	Multiple	169,006	83,850	2.0	0.1	0.0	1.9	3	1.08	38
13	Mobile	Single	1,399,000	90,300	15.5	1.0	3.0	11.5	11	-0.49	11
14	Walker	Single	133,185	90,300	1.5	0.0	0.0	1.5	3	1.53	39
15	Montgomery	Single	701,167	90,300	7.8	0.0	1.0	6.8	9	2.24	40
16	Etowah	Single	328,909	90,300	3.6	0.3	0.0	3.3	4	0.66	35
17	Greene, Marengo, Sumter	Multiple	68,455	83,850	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.8	1	0.18	23
18	Shelby	Single	352,711	90,300	3.9	0.0	0.0	3.9	4	0.09	19
19	Autauga, Chilton, Elmore	Multiple	455,918	83,850	5.4	0.1	0.0	5.3	3	-2.34	1
20	Henry, Houston	Multiple	485,548	83,850	5.8	0.9	0.0	4.9	5	0.11	20
21	Escambia	Single	123,114	90,300	1.4	0.0	0.0	1.4	2	0.64	34
22	Covington	Single	105,387	90,300	1.2	0.0	0.0	1.2	2	0.83	36
23	Madison	Single	859,429	90,300	9.5	0.2	0.0	9.3	8	-1.32	5
24	Fayette, Lamar, Pickens	Multiple	145,615	83,850	1.7	0.4	0.0	1.3	1	-0.34	14
25	Marion, Winston	Multiple	217,706	83,850	2.6	0.5	0.0	2.1	2	-0.10	16
26	Russell	Single	167,183	90,300	1.9	0.1	0.0	1.8	2	0.25	26
27	Marshall	Single	237,785	90,300	2.6	0.0	0.0	2.6	3	0.37	29
28	Baldwin	Single	713,305	90,300	7.9	0.8	0.0	7.1	5	-2.10	2
29	Talladega	Single	141,138	90,300	1.6	0.6	0.0	1.0	2	1.04	37
30	St. Clair	Single	180,500	90,300	2.0	0.4	0.0	1.6	2	0.40	30
31	Colbert	Single	154,942	90,300	1.7	0.0	0.0	1.7	2	0.28	27
32	Cullman	Single	212,105	90,300	2.3	0.0	0.0	2.3	2	-0.35	13
33	Dale, Geneva	Multiple	156,077	83,850	1.9	0.0	0.0	1.9	2	0.14	21
34	Franklin	Single	220,563	90,300	2.4	0.0	0.0	2.4	1	-1.44	4
35	Conecuh, Monroe	Multiple	63,661	83,850	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.8	1	0.24	25
36	Lawrence	Single	86,800	90,300	1.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	1	0.04	18
37	Lee	Single	427,761	90,300	4.7	0.5	0.0	4.2	3	-1.24	6
38	Jackson	Single	125,579	90,300	1.4	0.0	0.0	1.4	2	0.61	32
39	Limestone	Single	161,228	90,300	1.8	0.0	0.0	1.8	2	0.21	24
40	Clay, Coosa	Multiple	46,659	83,850	0.6	0.1	0.0	0.5	1	0.54	31
41	Blount	Single	154,530	90,300	1.7	0.0	0.0	1.7	1	-0.71	9

\*The Difference shows the net judge need by adding all the fractions of judge need across the state in a statewide total.