

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF ALABAMA
June 23, 2017

WHEREAS the Alabama Legislature enacted Act No. 2017-42, which creates the Judicial Resources Allocation Commission and requires the Commission to review annually the need for increasing or decreasing the number of judgeships in each district court and circuit court in the State; and

WHEREAS Act No. 2017-42 requires the Judicial Resources Allocation Commission in its deliberations to consider, among other criteria, the yearly Judicial Weighted Caseload Study, as adopted by the Alabama Supreme Court; and

WHEREAS the Administrative Director of Courts and the Director for Case and Jury Management for the Administrative Office of Courts have certified that the formula adopted by this Court's order of June 23, 2017, has been applied to the data for 2014, 2015, and 2016 and that the results accurately reflect the judgeship needs in each district court and circuit court in the State; and

WHEREAS the Administrative Director of Courts and the Director for Case and Jury Management for the Administrative Office of Courts have submitted to this Court a certified copy of the Judicial Weighted Caseload Studies for the years 2014, 2015, and 2016 (attached as an appendix to this order); and

WHEREAS it is the opinion of the Court that the Judicial Weighted Caseload Studies for the years 2014, 2015, and 2016 properly apply the formula previously adopted by this Court to the data collected for the years 2014, 2015, and 2016 and that the results calculated accurately reflect the judgeship needs for each district court and circuit court in the State for the years 2014, 2015, and 2016;

IT IS ORDERED that the Judicial Weighted Caseload Studies for the years 2014, 2015, and 2016 attached as an appendix to this order are hereby ADOPTED by this Court and will be published on the Administrative Office of Courts' Web site.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this order be placed on the minutes of this Court.

Stuart, C.J., and Bolin, Parker, Shaw, Main, Wise, Bryan,
and Sellers, JJ., concur.

DONE this the 23rd day of June, 2017.

I, Julia Jordan Weller, as Clerk of the Supreme Court
of Alabama, do hereby certify that the foregoing is
a full, true and correct copy of the instrument(s)
herewith set out as same appear(s) of record in said
Court.

Witness my hand this 23rd day of June, 2017

Julia Jordan Weller

Clerk, Supreme Court of Alabama

APPENDIX

Judicial Weighted Caseload Study 2014, 2015, and 2016

I, Cary McMillan, do hereby certify that the attached formula used in the Judicial Weighted Caseload Study "uniformly, fairly, and accurately accounts for criminal cases by counts brought against a defendant;" that the formula has been accurately applied to the data for 2014, 2015, and 2016; and that the results reflect to the best of my knowledge the judicial needs for those years.

Submitted this the 8th day of May, 2017.

Cary McMillan
Cary McMillan

I, Randy Helms, do hereby certify that the attached formula used in the Judicial Weighted Caseload Study "uniformly, fairly, and accurately accounts for criminal cases by counts brought against a defendant;" that the formula has been accurately applied to the data for 2014, 2015, and 2016; and that the results reflect to the best of my knowledge the judicial needs for those years.

Submitted this the 8th day of May, 2017.

Randy Helms
Randy Helms

Alabama FY2014 Circuit Court Judicial Weighted Caseload Implied Need

Circuit	County	Region	Workload (minutes)	Judge Year Value	Overall Judicial Officer Need (FTE)	District Court Judges (FTE)	Referees (FTE)	Circuit Judge Implied Need (FTE)	Actual Circuit Court Judges	Difference (+ = surplus; - = deficit)	Rank
	Alabama		16,103,176		181.7	10.2	8.4	163.1	146	-17.06	
13	Mobile	Single	1,666,068	90,300	18.5	1.0	3.0	14.5	11	-3.45	1
23	Madison	Single	953,690	90,300	10.6	0.2	0.0	10.4	7	-3.36	2
28	Baldwin	Single	826,194	90,300	9.1	0.8	0.1	8.2	5	-3.25	3
19	Autauga, Chilton, Elmore	Multiple	508,227	83,850	6.1	0.1	0.0	6.0	3	-2.96	4
5	Chambers, Macon, Randolph, Tallapoosa	Multiple	456,891	83,850	5.4	0.0	0.0	5.4	3	-2.45	5
11	Lauderdale	Single	431,310	90,300	4.8	0.0	0.1	4.7	3	-1.68	6
6	Tuscaloosa	Single	768,710	90,300	8.5	0.0	1.0	7.5	6	-1.51	7
8	Morgan	Single	354,888	90,300	3.9	0.0	0.0	3.9	3	-0.93	8
15	Montgomery	Single	980,871	90,300	10.9	0.0	1.0	9.9	9	-0.86	9
37	Lee	Single	379,686	90,300	4.2	0.5	0.1	3.6	3	-0.60	10
34	Franklin	Single	143,411	90,300	1.6	0.0	0.0	1.6	1	-0.59	11
7	Calhoun, Cleburne	Multiple	559,276	83,850	6.7	1.0	0.1	5.6	5	-0.57	12
20	Henry, Houston	Multiple	540,473	83,850	6.4	0.9	0.0	5.5	5	-0.55	13
18	Shelby	Single	407,871	90,300	4.5	0.0	0.0	4.5	4	-0.52	14
41	Blount	Single	132,231	90,300	1.5	0.0	0.0	1.5	1	-0.46	15
33	Dale, Geneva	Multiple	197,373	83,850	2.4	0.0	0.0	2.4	2	-0.35	16
16	Etowah	Single	418,159	90,300	4.6	0.3	0.0	4.3	4	-0.33	17
39	Limestone	Single	209,009	90,300	2.3	0.0	0.0	2.3	2	-0.31	18
32	Cullman	Single	205,940	90,300	2.3	0.0	0.0	2.3	2	-0.28	19
35	Conecuh, Monroe	Multiple	106,123	83,850	1.3	0.0	0.0	1.3	1	-0.27	20
24	Fayette, Lamar, Pickens	Multiple	136,133	83,850	1.6	0.4	0.0	1.2	1	-0.22	21
17	Greene, Marengo, Sumter	Multiple	96,208	83,850	1.1	0.0	0.0	1.1	1	-0.15	22
4	Bibb, Dallas, Hale, Perry, Wilcox	Multiple	263,344	83,850	3.1	0.0	0.0	3.1	3	-0.14	23
36	Lawrence	Single	101,054	90,300	1.1	0.0	0.0	1.1	1	-0.12	24
30	St. Clair	Single	221,029	90,300	2.4	0.4	0.0	2.0	2	-0.05	25
3	Barbour, Bullock	Multiple	91,872	83,850	1.1	0.1	0.0	1.0	1	0.00	26
2	Butler, Crenshaw, Lowndes	Multiple	116,511	83,850	1.4	0.4	0.0	1.0	1	0.01	27
1	Choctaw, Clarke, Washington	Multiple	157,350	83,850	1.9	0.0	0.0	1.9	2	0.12	28
21	Escambia	Single	167,928	90,300	1.9	0.0	0.0	1.9	2	0.14	29
25	Marion, Winston	Multiple	197,399	83,850	2.4	0.5	0.0	1.9	2	0.15	30
31	Colbert	Single	160,543	90,300	1.8	0.0	0.0	1.8	2	0.22	31
27	Marshall	Single	243,999	90,300	2.7	0.0	0.0	2.7	3	0.30	32
29	Talladega	Single	206,847	90,300	2.3	0.6	0.0	1.7	2	0.31	33
26	Russell	Single	161,586	90,300	1.8	0.1	0.0	1.7	2	0.31	34
38	Jackson	Single	145,867	90,300	1.6	0.0	0.0	1.6	2	0.38	35
40	Clay, Coosa	Multiple	58,028	83,850	0.7	0.1	0.0	0.6	1	0.41	36
12	Coffee, Pike	Multiple	217,638	83,850	2.6	0.1	0.0	2.5	3	0.50	37
9	Cherokee, DeKalb	Multiple	208,082	83,850	2.5	0.1	0.0	2.4	3	0.62	38
14	Walker	Single	210,655	90,300	2.3	0.0	0.0	2.3	3	0.67	39
22	Covington	Single	119,866	90,300	1.3	0.0	0.0	1.3	2	0.67	40
10	Jefferson	Single	2,574,836	90,300	28.5	2.6	3.0	22.9	27	4.09	41

Alabama FY2014 District Court Judicial Weighted Caseload Time Study

County Number	County	Workload (minutes)	Judge Year Value	Overall Judicial Officer Need (FTE)	District Court Judges assigned to Circuit (FTE)	Referees (FTE)	District Judge Implied Need (FTE)	Actual District Court Judges	Difference (+ = surplus; - = deficit)	Rank
	Alabama	8,697,382		96.32	10.20	2.10	104.42	106	1.58	
2	Mobile	529,066	90,300	5.86	1.00	0.00	6.86	5	-1.86	1
5	Baldwin	240,073	90,300	2.66	0.80	0.00	3.46	2	-1.46	2
58	Shelby	318,542	90,300	3.53	0.00	0.10	3.43	2	-1.43	3
63	Tuscaloosa	303,662	90,300	3.36	0.00	0.00	3.36	2	-1.36	4
47	Madison	538,880	90,300	5.97	0.20	1.00	5.17	4	-1.17	5
31	Etowah	265,706	90,300	2.94	0.30	0.10	3.14	2	-1.14	6
28	DeKalb	155,695	90,300	1.72	0.10	0.00	1.82	1	-0.82	7
61	Talladega	198,842	90,300	2.20	0.80	0.00	2.80	2	-0.80	8
39	Jackson	156,715	90,300	1.74	0.00	0.00	1.74	1	-0.74	9
50	Marshall	245,547	90,300	2.72	0.00	0.00	2.72	2	-0.72	10
38	Houston	161,242	90,300	1.79	0.80	0.00	2.59	2	-0.59	11
19	Coffee	133,424	90,300	1.48	0.10	0.00	1.58	1	-0.58	12
25	Cullman	232,077	90,300	2.57	0.00	0.00	2.57	2	-0.57	13
12	Chambers	131,848	90,300	1.46	0.00	0.00	1.46	1	-0.46	14
20	Colbert	123,067	90,300	1.36	0.00	0.00	1.36	1	-0.36	15
49	Marion	94,413	90,300	1.05	0.30	0.00	1.35	1	-0.35	16
27	Dallas	130,368	90,300	1.44	0.00	0.10	1.34	1	-0.34	17
68	Jefferson Bessemer	120,212	90,300	1.33	1.00	0.00	2.33	2	-0.33	18
8	Blount	118,922	90,300	1.32	0.00	0.00	1.32	1	-0.32	19
30	Escambia	116,516	90,300	1.29	0.00	0.00	1.29	1	-0.29	20
23	Covington	116,207	90,300	1.29	0.00	0.00	1.29	1	-0.29	21
14	Chilton	120,950	90,300	1.34	0.00	0.10	1.24	1	-0.24	22
62	Tallapoosa	107,315	90,300	1.19	0.00	0.00	1.19	1	-0.19	23
41	Lauderdale	106,883	90,300	1.18	0.00	0.00	1.18	1	-0.18	24
3	Montgomery	280,052	90,300	3.10	0.00	0.00	3.10	3	-0.10	25
4	Autauga	109,917	90,300	1.22	0.00	0.20	1.02	1	-0.02	26
43	Lee	134,364	90,300	1.49	0.50	0.00	1.99	2	0.01	27
13	Cherokee	89,053	90,300	0.99	0.00	0.00	0.99	1	0.01	28
52	Morgan	268,491	90,300	2.97	0.00	0.00	2.97	3	0.03	29
59	St. Clair	144,210	90,300	1.60	0.40	0.10	1.90	2	0.10	30
10	Butler	68,390	90,300	0.76	0.10	0.00	0.86	1	0.14	31
7	Bibb	77,227	90,300	0.86	0.00	0.00	0.86	1	0.14	32
33	Franklin	76,515	90,300	0.85	0.00	0.00	0.85	1	0.15	33
34	Geneva	76,293	90,300	0.84	0.00	0.00	0.84	1	0.16	34
42	Lawrence	84,429	90,300	0.93	0.00	0.10	0.83	1	0.17	35
6	Barbour	65,710	90,300	0.73	0.10	0.00	0.83	1	0.17	36
11	Calhoun	163,908	90,300	1.82	1.00	0.00	2.82	3	0.18	37
37	Henry	64,340	90,300	0.71	0.10	0.00	0.81	1	0.19	38
44	Limestone	163,098	90,300	1.81	0.00	0.00	1.81	2	0.19	39
55	Pike	67,824	90,300	0.75	0.00	0.00	0.75	1	0.25	40
57	Russell	147,101	90,300	1.63	0.10	0.00	1.73	2	0.27	41
29	Elmore	173,235	90,300	1.92	0.10	0.30	1.72	2	0.28	42
67	Winston	42,343	90,300	0.47	0.20	0.00	0.67	1	0.33	43
16	Clarke	60,073	90,300	0.67	0.00	0.00	0.67	1	0.33	44
24	Crenshaw	40,903	90,300	0.45	0.20	0.00	0.65	1	0.35	45
18	Cleburne	57,752	90,300	0.64	0.00	0.00	0.64	1	0.36	46
54	Pickens	47,434	90,300	0.53	0.10	0.00	0.63	1	0.37	47
48	Marengo	54,008	90,300	0.60	0.00	0.00	0.60	1	0.40	48
64	Walker	142,402	90,300	1.58	0.00	0.00	1.58	2	0.42	49
40	Lamar	31,584	90,300	0.35	0.20	0.00	0.55	1	0.45	50
35	Greene	46,554	90,300	0.52	0.00	0.00	0.52	1	0.48	51
56	Randolph	45,091	90,300	0.50	0.00	0.00	0.50	1	0.50	52
46	Macon	45,073	90,300	0.50	0.00	0.00	0.50	1	0.50	53
32	Fayette	35,417	90,300	0.39	0.10	0.00	0.49	1	0.51	54
51	Monroe	43,276	90,300	0.48	0.00	0.00	0.48	1	0.52	55
36	Hale	41,914	90,300	0.46	0.00	0.00	0.46	1	0.54	56
65	Washington	41,426	90,300	0.46	0.00	0.00	0.46	1	0.54	57
45	Lowndes	31,928	90,300	0.35	0.10	0.00	0.45	1	0.55	58
17	Clay	26,106	90,300	0.29	0.10	0.00	0.39	1	0.61	59
21	Conceh	31,947	90,300	0.35	0.00	0.00	0.35	1	0.65	60
22	Coosa	29,501	90,300	0.33	0.00	0.00	0.33	1	0.67	61
66	Wilcox	28,052	90,300	0.31	0.00	0.00	0.31	1	0.69	62
53	Perry	26,106	90,300	0.29	0.00	0.00	0.29	1	0.71	63
15	Choctaw	24,669	90,300	0.27	0.00	0.00	0.27	1	0.73	64
9	Bullock	22,122	90,300	0.24	0.00	0.00	0.24	1	0.76	65
60	Sumter	18,191	90,300	0.20	0.00	0.00	0.20	1	0.80	66
26	Dale	105,861	90,300	1.17	0.00	0.00	1.17	2	0.83	67
1	Jefferson- Birmingham	557,320	90,300	6.17	1.60	0.00	7.77	10	2.23	68

Alabama FY2015 Circuit Court Judicial Weighted Caseload Implied Need

Circuit	County	Region	Workload (minutes)	Judge Year Value	Overall Judicial Officer Need (FTE)	District Court Judges (FTE)	Referees (FTE)	Circuit Judge Implied Need (FTE)	Actual Circuit Court Judges	Difference (+ = surplus; - = deficit)	Rank
	Alabama		15,312,738		172.7	10.2	8.4	154.1	146	-8.15	
13	Mobile	Single	1,648,487	90,300	18.3	1.0	3.0	14.3	11	-3.26	1
23	Madison	Single	923,473	90,300	10.2	0.2	0.0	10.0	7	-3.03	2
19	Autauga, Chilton, Elmore	Multiple	482,334	83,850	5.8	0.1	0.0	5.7	3	-2.65	3
28	Baldwin	Single	752,183	90,300	8.3	0.8	0.1	7.4	5	-2.43	4
6	Tuscaloosa	Single	773,097	90,300	8.6	0.0	1.0	7.6	6	-1.56	5
11	Lauderdale	Single	389,502	90,300	4.3	0.0	0.1	4.2	3	-1.21	6
21	Escambia	Single	246,927	90,300	2.7	0.0	0.0	2.7	2	-0.73	7
37	Lee	Single	389,996	90,300	4.3	0.5	0.1	3.7	3	-0.72	8
24	Fayette, Lamar, Pickens	Multiple	172,789	83,850	2.1	0.4	0.0	1.7	1	-0.66	9
1	Choctaw, Clarke, Washington	Multiple	220,000	83,850	2.6	0.0	0.0	2.6	2	-0.62	10
5	Chambers, Macon, Randolph, Tallapoosa	Multiple	302,641	83,850	3.6	0.0	0.0	3.6	3	-0.61	11
41	Blount	Single	143,744	90,300	1.6	0.0	0.0	1.6	1	-0.59	12
4	Bibb, Dallas, Hale, Perry, Wilcox	Multiple	296,222	83,850	3.5	0.0	0.0	3.5	3	-0.53	13
8	Morgan	Single	308,940	90,300	3.4	0.0	0.0	3.4	3	-0.42	14
33	Dale, Geneva	Multiple	201,064	83,850	2.4	0.0	0.0	2.4	2	-0.40	15
34	Franklin	Single	124,369	90,300	1.4	0.0	0.0	1.4	1	-0.38	16
39	Limestone	Single	207,963	90,300	2.3	0.0	0.0	2.3	2	-0.30	17
32	Cullman	Single	201,283	90,300	2.2	0.0	0.0	2.2	2	-0.23	18
17	Greene, Marengo, Sumter	Multiple	94,800	83,850	1.1	0.0	0.0	1.1	1	-0.13	19
16	Etowah	Single	398,580	90,300	4.4	0.3	0.0	4.1	4	-0.11	20
36	Lawrence	Single	99,851	90,300	1.1	0.0	0.0	1.1	1	-0.11	21
18	Shelby	Single	368,969	90,300	4.1	0.0	0.0	4.1	4	-0.09	22
2	Butler, Crenshaw, Lowndes	Multiple	122,566	83,850	1.5	0.4	0.0	1.1	1	-0.06	23
35	Conecuh, Monroe	Multiple	87,758	83,850	1.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	1	-0.05	24
20	Henry, Houston	Multiple	495,767	83,850	5.9	0.9	0.0	5.0	5	-0.01	25
7	Calhoun, Cleburne	Multiple	510,990	83,850	6.1	1.0	0.1	5.0	5	0.01	26
30	St. Clair	Single	213,691	90,300	2.4	0.4	0.0	2.0	2	0.03	27
31	Colbert	Single	168,451	90,300	1.9	0.0	0.0	1.9	2	0.13	28
15	Montgomery	Single	889,926	90,300	9.9	0.0	1.0	8.9	9	0.14	29
3	Barbour, Bullock	Multiple	78,082	83,850	0.9	0.1	0.0	0.8	1	0.17	30
26	Russell	Single	157,445	90,300	1.7	0.1	0.0	1.6	2	0.36	31
25	Marion, Winston	Multiple	178,400	83,850	2.1	0.5	0.0	1.6	2	0.37	32
38	Jackson	Single	142,132	90,300	1.6	0.0	0.0	1.6	2	0.43	33
27	Marshall	Single	232,085	90,300	2.6	0.0	0.0	2.6	3	0.43	34
40	Clay, Coosa	Multiple	51,023	83,850	0.6	0.1	0.0	0.5	1	0.49	35
9	Cherokee, Dekalb	Multiple	213,225	83,850	2.5	0.1	0.0	2.4	3	0.56	36
12	Coffee, Pike	Multiple	212,955	83,850	2.5	0.1	0.0	2.4	3	0.56	37
29	Talladega	Single	182,120	90,300	2.0	0.6	0.0	1.4	2	0.58	38
22	Covington	Single	104,981	90,300	1.2	0.0	0.0	1.2	2	0.84	39
14	Walker	Single	161,841	90,300	1.8	0.0	0.0	1.8	3	1.21	40
10	Jefferson	Single	2,362,096	90,300	26.2	2.6	3.0	20.6	27	6.44	41

Alabama FY2015 District Court Judicial Weighted Caseload Time Study

County Number	County	Workload (minutes)	Judge Year Value	Overall Judicial Officer Need (FTE)	District Court Judges assigned to Circuit (FTE)	Referees (FTE)	District Judge Implied Need (FTE)	Actual District Court Judges	Difference (+ = surplus; - = deficit)	Rank
	Alabama	8,300,824		91.92	10.20	2.10	100.02	106	5.98	
58	Shelby	339,077	90,300	3.76	0.00	0.10	3.66	2	-1.66	1
5	Baldwin	239,533	90,300	2.65	0.80	0.00	3.45	2	-1.45	2
2	Mobile	470,763	90,300	5.21	1.00	0.00	6.21	5	-1.21	3
63	Tuscaloosa	279,695	90,300	3.10	0.00	0.00	3.10	2	-1.10	4
31	Etowah	251,724	90,300	2.79	0.30	0.10	2.99	2	-0.99	5
28	Dekalb	157,467	90,300	1.74	0.10	0.00	1.84	1	-0.84	6
39	Jackson	151,477	90,300	1.68	0.00	0.00	1.68	1	-0.68	7
61	Talladega	183,991	90,300	2.04	0.60	0.00	2.64	2	-0.64	8
38	Houston	155,032	90,300	1.72	0.80	0.00	2.52	2	-0.52	9
50	Marshall	213,713	90,300	2.37	0.00	0.00	2.37	2	-0.37	10
30	Escambia	122,050	90,300	1.35	0.00	0.00	1.35	1	-0.35	11
20	Colbert	117,098	90,300	1.30	0.00	0.00	1.30	1	-0.30	12
47	Madison	457,720	90,300	5.07	0.20	1.00	4.27	4	-0.27	13
19	Coffee	103,012	90,300	1.14	0.10	0.00	1.24	1	-0.24	14
23	Covington	109,593	90,300	1.21	0.00	0.00	1.21	1	-0.21	15
68	Jefferson- Bessemer	109,262	90,300	1.21	1.00	0.00	2.21	2	-0.21	16
49	Marion	81,981	90,300	0.91	0.30	0.00	1.21	1	-0.21	17
8	Blount	108,612	90,300	1.20	0.00	0.00	1.20	1	-0.20	18
59	St. Clair	168,588	90,300	1.87	0.40	0.10	2.17	2	-0.17	19
62	Tallapoosa	101,545	90,300	1.12	0.00	0.00	1.12	1	-0.12	20
27	Dallas	110,477	90,300	1.22	0.00	0.10	1.12	1	-0.12	21
41	Lauderdale	101,223	90,300	1.12	0.00	0.00	1.12	1	-0.12	22
25	Cullman	190,554	90,300	2.11	0.00	0.00	2.11	2	-0.11	23
12	Chambers	100,027	90,300	1.11	0.00	0.00	1.11	1	-0.11	24
43	Lee	143,473	90,300	1.59	0.50	0.00	2.09	2	-0.09	25
14	Chilton	103,983	90,300	1.15	0.00	0.10	1.05	1	-0.05	26
13	Cherokee	93,429	90,300	1.03	0.00	0.00	1.03	1	-0.03	27
3	Montgomery	272,454	90,300	3.02	0.00	0.00	3.02	3	-0.02	28
11	Calhoun	176,192	90,300	1.95	1.00	0.00	2.95	3	0.05	29
4	Autauga	101,706	90,300	1.13	0.00	0.20	0.93	1	0.07	30
10	Butler	70,936	90,300	0.79	0.10	0.00	0.89	1	0.11	31
18	Cleburne	78,578	90,300	0.87	0.00	0.00	0.87	1	0.13	32
6	Barbour	67,081	90,300	0.74	0.10	0.00	0.84	1	0.16	33
7	Bibb	71,943	90,300	0.80	0.00	0.00	0.80	1	0.20	34
52	Morgan	252,479	90,300	2.80	0.00	0.00	2.80	3	0.20	35
33	Franklin	71,585	90,300	0.79	0.00	0.00	0.79	1	0.21	36
37	Henry	60,228	90,300	0.67	0.10	0.00	0.77	1	0.23	37
34	Geneva	67,662	90,300	0.75	0.00	0.00	0.75	1	0.25	38
55	Pike	67,051	90,300	0.74	0.00	0.00	0.74	1	0.26	39
42	Lawrence	73,565	90,300	0.81	0.00	0.10	0.71	1	0.29	40
24	Crenshaw	46,385	90,300	0.51	0.20	0.00	0.71	1	0.29	41
44	Limestone	154,338	90,300	1.71	0.00	0.00	1.71	2	0.29	42
65	Washington	61,023	90,300	0.68	0.00	0.00	0.68	1	0.32	43
67	Winston	41,140	90,300	0.46	0.20	0.00	0.66	1	0.34	44
51	Monroe	59,006	90,300	0.65	0.00	0.00	0.65	1	0.35	45
29	Elmore	167,354	90,300	1.85	0.10	0.30	1.65	2	0.35	46
54	Pickens	49,044	90,300	0.54	0.10	0.00	0.64	1	0.36	47
40	Lamar	38,316	90,300	0.42	0.20	0.00	0.62	1	0.38	48
48	Marengo	54,974	90,300	0.61	0.00	0.00	0.61	1	0.39	49
57	Russell	133,963	90,300	1.48	0.10	0.00	1.58	2	0.42	50
16	Clarke	51,761	90,300	0.57	0.00	0.00	0.57	1	0.43	51
36	Hale	50,680	90,300	0.56	0.00	0.00	0.56	1	0.44	52
32	Fayette	39,481	90,300	0.44	0.10	0.00	0.54	1	0.46	53
56	Randolph	45,828	90,300	0.51	0.00	0.00	0.51	1	0.49	54
46	Macon	44,420	90,300	0.49	0.00	0.00	0.49	1	0.51	55
64	Walker	133,909	90,300	1.48	0.00	0.00	1.48	2	0.52	56
45	Lowndes	31,706	90,300	0.35	0.10	0.00	0.45	1	0.55	57
21	Conecuh	37,859	90,300	0.42	0.00	0.00	0.42	1	0.58	58
35	Greene	35,236	90,300	0.39	0.00	0.00	0.39	1	0.61	59
17	Clay	25,769	90,300	0.29	0.10	0.00	0.39	1	0.61	60
22	Coosa	31,507	90,300	0.35	0.00	0.00	0.35	1	0.65	61
15	Choctaw	31,055	90,300	0.34	0.00	0.00	0.34	1	0.66	62
66	Wilcox	28,058	90,300	0.31	0.00	0.00	0.31	1	0.69	63
9	Bullock	24,994	90,300	0.28	0.00	0.00	0.28	1	0.72	64
53	Perry	24,578	90,300	0.27	0.00	0.00	0.27	1	0.73	65
60	Sumter	18,821	90,300	0.21	0.00	0.00	0.21	1	0.79	66
26	Dale	102,857	90,300	1.14	0.00	0.00	1.14	2	0.86	67
1	Jefferson- Birmingham	540,203	90,300	5.98	1.60	0.00	7.58	10	2.42	68

Alabama FY2016 Circuit Court Judicial Weighted Caseload Implied Need

Circuit	County	Region	Workload (minutes)	Judge Year Value	Overall Judicial Officer Need (FTE)	District Court Judges (FTE)	Referees (FTE)	Circuit Judge Implied Need (FTE)	Actual Circuit Court Judges	Difference (+ = surplus; - = deficit)	Rank
	Alabama		15,421,872		174.0	10.2	8.4	155.4	146	-9.36	
23	Madison	Single	953,651	90,300	10.6	0.2	0.0	10.4	7	-3.36	1
19	Autauga, Chilton, Elmore	Multiple	468,290	83,850	5.6	0.1	0.0	5.5	3	-2.48	2
28	Baldwin	Single	730,261	90,300	8.1	0.8	0.1	7.2	6	-2.19	3
13	Mobile	Single	1,546,830	90,300	17.1	1.0	3.0	13.1	11	-2.13	4
6	Tuscaloosa	Single	777,182	90,300	8.6	0.0	1.0	7.6	6	-1.61	5
1	Choctaw, Clarke, Washington	Multiple	270,683	83,850	3.2	0.0	0.0	3.2	2	-1.23	6
8	Morgan	Single	380,278	90,300	4.2	0.0	0.0	4.2	3	-1.21	7
11	Lauderdale	Single	350,267	90,300	3.9	0.0	0.1	3.8	3	-0.78	8
5	Chambers, Macon, Randolph, Tallapoosa	Multiple	311,434	83,850	3.7	0.0	0.0	3.7	3	-0.71	9
37	Lee	Single	385,131	90,300	4.3	0.5	0.1	3.7	3	-0.67	10
41	Blount	Single	144,131	90,300	1.6	0.0	0.0	1.6	1	-0.60	11
24	Fayette, Lamar, Pickens	Multiple	158,236	83,850	1.9	0.4	0.0	1.5	1	-0.49	12
30	St. Clair	Single	260,517	90,300	2.9	0.4	0.0	2.5	2	-0.49	13
15	Montgomery	Single	936,224	90,300	10.4	0.0	1.0	9.4	9	-0.37	14
32	Cullman	Single	213,417	90,300	2.4	0.0	0.0	2.4	2	-0.36	15
39	Limestone	Single	209,421	90,300	2.3	0.0	0.0	2.3	2	-0.32	16
34	Franklin	Single	114,580	90,300	1.3	0.0	0.0	1.3	1	-0.27	17
36	Lawrence	Single	113,413	90,300	1.3	0.0	0.0	1.3	1	-0.26	18
20	Henry, Houston	Multiple	513,988	83,850	6.1	0.9	0.0	5.2	5	-0.23	19
18	Shelby	Single	374,608	90,300	4.1	0.0	0.0	4.1	4	-0.15	20
4	Bibb, Dallas, Hale, Perry, Wilcox	Multiple	263,302	83,850	3.1	0.0	0.0	3.1	3	-0.14	21
33	Dale, Geneva	Multiple	178,079	83,850	2.1	0.0	0.0	2.1	2	-0.12	22
35	Conecuh, Monroe	Multiple	88,122	83,850	1.1	0.0	0.0	1.1	1	-0.05	23
7	Calhoun, Cleburne	Multiple	515,430	83,850	6.1	1.0	0.1	5.0	5	-0.05	24
17	Greene, Marengo, Sumter	Multiple	85,148	83,850	1.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	1	-0.02	25
16	Etowah	Single	387,428	90,300	4.3	0.3	0.0	4.0	4	0.01	26
2	Butler, Crenshaw, Lowndes	Multiple	110,544	83,850	1.3	0.4	0.0	0.9	1	0.08	27
25	Marion, Winston	Multiple	200,841	83,850	2.4	0.5	0.0	1.9	2	0.10	28
3	Barbour, Bullock	Multiple	83,251	83,850	1.0	0.1	0.0	0.9	1	0.11	29
21	Escambia	Single	163,695	90,300	1.8	0.0	0.0	1.8	2	0.19	30
27	Marshall	Single	248,491	90,300	2.8	0.0	0.0	2.8	3	0.25	31
38	Jackson	Single	157,701	90,300	1.7	0.0	0.0	1.7	2	0.25	32
26	Russell	Single	166,461	90,300	1.8	0.1	0.0	1.7	2	0.26	33
31	Colbert	Single	148,685	90,300	1.6	0.0	0.0	1.6	2	0.35	34
40	Clay, Coosa	Multiple	49,364	83,850	0.6	0.1	0.0	0.5	1	0.51	35
12	Coffee, Pike	Multiple	215,579	83,850	2.6	0.1	0.0	2.5	3	0.53	36
9	Cherokee, DeKalb	Multiple	211,525	83,850	2.5	0.1	0.0	2.4	3	0.58	37
22	Covington	Single	118,420	90,300	1.3	0.0	0.0	1.3	2	0.69	38
29	Talladega	Single	163,341	90,300	1.8	0.6	0.0	1.2	2	0.79	39
14	Walker	Single	154,706	90,300	1.7	0.0	0.0	1.7	3	1.29	40
10	Jefferson	Single	2,499,217	90,300	27.7	2.6	3.0	22.1	27	4.92	41

Alabama FY2016 District Court Judicial Weighted Caseload Time Study

County Number	County	Workload (minutes)	Judge Year Value	Overall Judicial Officer Need (FTE)	District Court Judges assigned to Circuit (FTE)	Referees (FTE)	District Judge Implied Need (FTE)	Actual District Court Judges	Difference (+ = surplus; - = deficit)	Rank
	Alabama	8,743,811		96.83	10.20	2.10	104.93	106.00	1.07	
68	Shelby	353,475	90,300	3.91	0.00	0.10	3.81	2.00	-1.81	1
5	Baldwin	247,020	90,300	2.74	0.80	0.00	3.54	2.00	-1.54	2
2	Mobile	473,117	90,300	5.24	1.00	0.00	6.24	5.00	-1.24	3
28	DeKalb	191,145	90,300	2.12	0.10	0.00	2.22	1.00	-1.22	4
31	Etowah	271,683	90,300	3.01	0.30	0.10	3.21	2.00	-1.21	5
63	Tuscaloosa	278,655	90,300	3.09	0.00	0.00	3.09	2.00	-1.09	6
39	Jackson	167,198	90,300	1.85	0.00	0.00	1.85	1.00	-0.85	7
38	Houston	176,437	90,300	1.95	0.80	0.00	2.75	2.00	-0.75	8
47	Madison	484,696	90,300	5.48	0.20	1.00	4.68	4.00	-0.68	9
25	Cullman	233,995	90,300	2.59	0.00	0.00	2.59	2.00	-0.59	10
50	Marshall	232,970	90,300	2.58	0.00	0.00	2.58	2.00	-0.58	11
61	Talladega	175,887	90,300	1.95	0.60	0.00	2.55	2.00	-0.55	12
59	St. Clair	201,738	90,300	2.23	0.40	0.10	2.53	2.00	-0.53	13
8	Blount	133,102	90,300	1.47	0.00	0.00	1.47	1.00	-0.47	14
30	Escambia	132,393	90,300	1.47	0.00	0.00	1.47	1.00	-0.47	15
49	Marion	98,507	90,300	1.09	0.30	0.00	1.39	1.00	-0.39	16
68	Jefferson- Bessemer	123,303	90,300	1.37	1.00	0.00	2.37	2.00	-0.37	17
12	Chambers	121,381	90,300	1.34	0.00	0.00	1.34	1.00	-0.34	18
20	Colbert	120,792	90,300	1.34	0.00	0.00	1.34	1.00	-0.34	19
62	Tallapoosa	115,385	90,300	1.28	0.00	0.00	1.28	1.00	-0.28	20
19	Coffee	103,114	90,300	1.14	0.10	0.00	1.24	1.00	-0.24	21
23	Covington	109,179	90,300	1.21	0.00	0.00	1.21	1.00	-0.21	22
41	Lauderdale	105,022	90,300	1.16	0.00	0.00	1.16	1.00	-0.16	23
14	Chilton	109,708	90,300	1.21	0.00	0.10	1.11	1.00	-0.11	24
43	Lee	145,503	90,300	1.61	0.50	0.00	2.11	2.00	-0.11	25
11	Calhoun	187,913	90,300	2.08	1.00	0.00	3.08	3.00	-0.08	26
44	Limestone	182,938	90,300	2.03	0.00	0.00	2.03	2.00	-0.03	27
27	Dallas	99,895	90,300	1.11	0.00	0.10	1.01	1.00	-0.01	28
4	Autauga	108,851	90,300	1.21	0.00	0.20	1.01	1.00	-0.01	29
10	Butler	77,373	90,300	0.86	0.10	0.00	0.96	1.00	0.04	30
3	Montgomery	266,827	90,300	2.95	0.00	0.00	2.95	3.00	0.05	31
13	Cherokee	85,879	90,300	0.95	0.00	0.00	0.95	1.00	0.05	32
7	Bibb	83,845	90,300	0.93	0.00	0.00	0.93	1.00	0.07	33
52	Morgan	261,967	90,300	2.90	0.00	0.00	2.90	3.00	0.10	34
33	Franklin	77,478	90,300	0.86	0.00	0.00	0.86	1.00	0.14	35
6	Barbour	68,280	90,300	0.76	0.10	0.00	0.86	1.00	0.14	36
55	Pike	74,989	90,300	0.83	0.00	0.00	0.83	1.00	0.17	37
34	Geneva	70,253	90,300	0.78	0.00	0.00	0.78	1.00	0.22	38
42	Lawrence	78,447	90,300	0.87	0.00	0.10	0.77	1.00	0.23	39
18	Cleburne	68,900	90,300	0.76	0.00	0.00	0.76	1.00	0.24	40
67	Winston	50,193	90,300	0.56	0.20	0.00	0.76	1.00	0.24	41
37	Henry	58,673	90,300	0.65	0.10	0.00	0.75	1.00	0.25	42
65	Washington	63,285	90,300	0.70	0.00	0.00	0.70	1.00	0.30	43
24	Crenshaw	42,890	90,300	0.47	0.20	0.00	0.67	1.00	0.33	44
29	Elmore	166,224	90,300	1.84	0.10	0.30	1.64	2.00	0.36	45
16	Clarke	57,290	90,300	0.63	0.00	0.00	0.63	1.00	0.37	46
56	Randolph	55,949	90,300	0.62	0.00	0.00	0.62	1.00	0.38	47
48	Marengo	55,335	90,300	0.61	0.00	0.00	0.61	1.00	0.39	48
40	Lamar	35,288	90,300	0.39	0.20	0.00	0.59	1.00	0.41	49
54	Pickens	44,199	90,300	0.49	0.10	0.00	0.59	1.00	0.41	50
32	Fayette	42,092	90,300	0.47	0.10	0.00	0.57	1.00	0.43	51
57	Russell	128,916	90,300	1.43	0.10	0.00	1.53	2.00	0.47	52
36	Hale	45,721	90,300	0.51	0.00	0.00	0.51	1.00	0.49	53
45	Lowndes	34,707	90,300	0.38	0.10	0.00	0.48	1.00	0.52	54
46	Macon	43,337	90,300	0.48	0.00	0.00	0.48	1.00	0.52	55
51	Monroe	40,548	90,300	0.45	0.00	0.00	0.45	1.00	0.55	56
22	Coosa	40,410	90,300	0.45	0.00	0.00	0.45	1.00	0.55	57
64	Walker	128,822	90,300	1.43	0.00	0.00	1.43	2.00	0.57	58
17	Clay	26,413	90,300	0.29	0.10	0.00	0.39	1.00	0.61	59
21	Conecuh	35,059	90,300	0.39	0.00	0.00	0.39	1.00	0.61	60
35	Greene	33,851	90,300	0.37	0.00	0.00	0.37	1.00	0.63	61
66	Wilcox	29,807	90,300	0.33	0.00	0.00	0.33	1.00	0.67	62
15	Choctaw	26,164	90,300	0.29	0.00	0.00	0.29	1.00	0.71	63
53	Perry	25,661	90,300	0.28	0.00	0.00	0.28	1.00	0.72	64
9	Bullock	23,289	90,300	0.26	0.00	0.00	0.26	1.00	0.74	65
60	Sumter	17,394	90,300	0.19	0.00	0.00	0.19	1.00	0.81	66
26	Dale	104,551	90,300	1.16	0.00	0.00	1.16	2.00	0.84	67
1	Jefferson- Birmingham	578,703	90,300	6.41	1.60	0.00	8.01	10.00	1.99	68