

1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	For the Committee:
4	Gordon Bailey, Chairman
5	Judge Aubrey Ford, Jr., Co-Chairman, Macon County
6	District Judge
7	Jennifer Bush, DHR Legal Counsel
8	Faye Nelson, Alabama Child Support Director
9	Angela Campbell, DHR Program Mgr Mobile County
10	Justice Lyn Stuart, Alabama Supreme Court
11	Penny Davis, Alabama Law Insitute
12	Mary Moore, Circuit Clerk Perry County, Alabama
13	Julia Kimbrough, Attorney Shelby County, Alabama
14	Judge Julie Palmer, Circuit Judge Jefferson Co
15	Judge Billy Bell, Circuit Judge Madison County
16	Michael Polemeni, Alabama Family Rights
17	Association and National Parents Organization
18	Angela Drees, Birmingham, Alabama
19	Bob Maddox, AOC Attorney
20	Also Present:
21	Alex Jackson, Julia Weller, Melissa with Channel
22	12, Carter with Channel 8, Jim Clark, Boyd
23	Landry, Kenneth Paschal, Roy Lloyd

1	MR. BAILEY: We're going to start on
2	time. I'm so glad everybody is here. We
3	have lots of special guests today.
4	And, Alex, do you want to introduce our
5	real special guest first?
6	MR. JACKSON: I do. I want to introduce
7	my boss, Julia Weller, the new clerk of the
8	Alabama Supreme Court. She's a welcome
9	addition. She's doing a fine job. She's
10	working me harder than I've worked in the
11	23 years I've been here. She has me thinking
12	more and more about the future that I have
13	outside of the practice of law one of these
14	days. She's a great boss.
15	MR. BAILEY: Wonderful.
16	MR. JACKSON: And she's done a really
17	wonderful job of getting through the mess we
18	have dealing with all the papers we get. And
19	she strongly supports the committee. If you
20	need anything, feel free to contact her or
21	contact me.
22	MR. BAILEY: We certainly are glad to
23	have you. Would you like to say anything to

1	the committee?
2	MS. WELLER: Our office is always open to
3	you, and please contact us if you have any
4	questions or we can be of any assistance.
5	Thank you so much.
6	MR. BAILEY: Thank you for being here.
7	Your predecessor, Bob, was a wonderful is
8	a wonderful gentleman and did a great job and
9	a real friend to all of us. Look forward to
10	working with you.
11	MS. WELLER: Thank you very much.
12	MR. BAILEY: I'm Gordon Bailey. We're
13	going to go around to the committee members
14	and have them introduce themselves. I want
15	to welcome several people.
16	Rena' Lanier is here with Freedom Court
17	Reporting. When you say something, speak
18	out, testify or whatever, please give her
19	your name and title before you do so, so she
20	can keep it all straight.
21	I want to welcome Melissa with Channel
22	12. Are you with Melissa?
23	MR. CARTER: No, sir. I'm Carter with

1	Channel 8.
2	MR. BAILEY: I'm sorry?
3	MR. CARTER: Carter with Channel 8.
4	MR. BAILEY: Carter with Channel 8. I
5	asked Melissa, I said, did you bring a
6	make-up man, and she said no, but she has one
7	on call.
8	MS. McKINNEY: I haven't even done my own
9	yet.
10	MR. BAILEY: She has one on call. Of
11	course, we all met Alex Jackson at our last
12	meeting. He's taken over for Wayne and has
13	done a great job at his being with us on our
14	committee. And, of course, Bob Maddox, is
15	over here with AOC, our staff attorney.
16	Let's go around the room and introduce
17	ourselves.
18	I'm Gordon Bailey as I said. I've
19	practiced law in Anniston for 35 years.
20	Seems like 135. Was a child support referee
21	for six or seven years after that. And I am
22	now retired.
23	Would you like to start?

1	MS. DREES: Yes, sir. My name is Angela
2	Drees. I'm from Birmingham, Alabama.
3	MS. KIMBROUGH: I'm Julia Kimbrough. I'm
4	in private practice in Shelby County,
5	Alabama. And my primary focus is family law.
6	JUSTICE STUART: I'm Lyn Stuart. I'm an
7	Associate Justice on the Alabama Supreme
8	Court and a former juvenile and domestic
9	relations judge in Baldwin County.
10	I want to say for the Record that I
11	actually am a member of this committee. And
12	this is pursuant to a federal court order in
13	previous litigation concerning the Alabama
14	Child Support Guidelines.
15	I make that point to say that that is
16	different. Justices of the Alabama Supreme
17	Court are assigned to various committees that
18	we have, but typically the justices serve
19	only as a liaison to the committee, not a
20	member of the committee. And my role in this
21	case is unique. I want to make that point.
22	MR. BAILEY: And we're glad to have you.
23	MS. CAMPBELL: I'm Angela Campbell. I'm

1	the DHR Program Manager in Mobile County.
2	MS. NELSON: I'm Faye Nelson. I'm the
3	Child Support Director for the State of
4	Alabama.
5	MS. BUSH: I am Jennifer Bush, DHR legal
6	counsel.
7	MR. BAILEY: Okay. Michael.
8	MR. POLEMENI: Michael Polemeni. I'm a
9	private citizen and a member of the Alabama
10	Family Rights Association and the National
11	Parents Organization.
12	JUDGE BELL: My name is Billy Bell, and
13	I'm a Circuit Judge in Madison County. And I
14	practiced primarily family law for 30 years
15	before I was elected in 2002 to the bench.
16	MS. DAVIS: I'm Penny Davis from the
17	Alabama Law Institute.
18	MR. BAILEY: At our meeting on
19	February 7th, I asked people to give us the
20	years, the number of years they've served on
21	the committee, and I totaled up 93. So
22	that's a lot of years for all of us to serve
23	as a member of this committee. Total years

1	93.
2	I'll now entertain a motion to approve
3	the transcript. Did everyone have a chance
4	to read the transcript?
5	Bob, I believe you have a copy of it for
6	us here?
7	MR. MADDOX: Yes, sir.
8	MR. BAILEY: Any comments? I do have one
9	suggestion and possible correction. There
10	was a statement on page 87, line 9 from
11	Mr. Boyd Boyd Landry, attorney from Elmore
12	County.
13	He referred to the spirit of tenus. And
14	that was the Court of the Civil Appeals. And
15	I think he meant ore tenus. So we'll suggest
16	that we make that correction. You may want
17	to check your date and see, but I think he
18	meant ore tenus.
19	MR. LANDRY: I'm sure that's what I said.
20	MR. BAILEY: I'm sorry?
21	MR. LANDRY: I'm sure that's what I said.
22	MR. BAILEY: Boyd, is that are you
23	here? I didn't see you over there. I I'm

1	sure you meant ore tenus.
2	MR. LANDRY: I'm I'm I'm almost
3	positive that that's what I said.
4	MR. BAILEY: I'm not aware of the Court
5	of Civil Appeals playing tennis during their
6	breaks, but it could be so.
7	Let me introduce Aubrey Ford. Aubrey,
8	you introduce yourself, Judge Ford.
9	JUDGE FORD: Aubrey Ford, Macon County
10	District Judge. I've served for 35 years and
11	about to quit.
12	MR. BAILEY: Judge Ford and I are two of
13	the original members of this committee
14	appointed in I was going to say
15	original committee members appointed back in
16	1980. Isn't that right, Aubrey?
17	JUDGE FORD: Yes.
18	MR. BAILEY: It was a different name and
19	a different committee organization. We're
20	two of the original members, and we need our
21	walkers before we leave. Good to have you.
22	JUDGE FORD: Good to see you.
23	MR. BAILEY: Transcript. Let's go back

1	to the transcript. Any other corrections?
2	Boyd, is that I'm sure you meant ore
3	tenus.
4	MR. LANDRY: And I'm not a lawyer either.
5	So if that's the
6	MR. BAILEY: That's the term.
7	MR. LANDRY: All right.
8	MR. BAILEY: You got it. We'll make that
9	correction. Any other changes or corrections
10	to the transcript from our November 7th
11	meeting?
12	MR. PASCHAL: Sir, I'm from I'm just a
13	citizen. But regarding this transcript, I
14	don't know that it's a bigger deal, but I had
15	an opportunity to read it.
16	The reference to Mr. Davis on several
17	pages, I think that was incorrect. I think
18	it should have been Mr. Smith as I was
19	reading it. It's
20	MR. BAILEY: Bob, I think you and I
21	talked about that as well. We need to make
22	that correction. Good point. I I omitted
23	that. That name needs to be changed.

1	Any other suggestions, corrections,
2	comments about the transcript?
3	(No response.)
4	MR. BAILEY: All right. With those
5	corrections, I'll entertain a motion to
6	accept or approve the transcript from the
7	February 7th meeting. Do I have a motion,
8	please?
9	MS. KIMBROUGH: So moved.
10	MR. BAILEY: That's Julia, Julia
11	Kimbrough. Second?
12	JUDGE BELL: Second.
13	MR. BAILEY: Judge Bell. All in favor
14	say I.
15	(Everyone responded.)
16	MR. BAILEY: Opposed?
17	(No response.)
18	MR. BAILEY: All right. The transcript
19	is approved. All right. Our business is
20	review of schedule starting out as our first
21	topic number three, review of schedule.
22	At our last meeting it was discussed that
23	we contact Jane Venohr who helped us do all
1	

1	the guidelines and research and do the
2	schedule last year about four years ago,
3	excuse me, about updating the schedule and
4	how much that would cost.
5	Bob, can you give us a quick report on
6	that, please?
7	MR. MADDOX: Yes, sir. I was unable to
8	attend the the last meeting. But Gordon
9	Bailey, Alex Jackson and the Supreme Court
10	Clerk's Office and I got together. And we
11	from the last transcript contacted I
12	contacted Jane Venorh by e-mail. And she
13	gave us an estimate.
14	And I probably just need to read this
15	verbatim just to be exact what she said in
16	her e-mail.
17	MR. BAILEY: Good idea.
18	MR. MADDOX: This was from Jane Venohr,
19	May the 21st of this year. We're billing
20	about \$20,000 now for a scheduled update with
21	realignment for low income status. That
22	includes a report, comparisons and some
23	limited additional analysis on a specific

1	issue, for example, tax consequences. Home
2	site presentation arranged from about \$2500
3	to \$7000 depending on travel, length of
4	presentation and amount of preliminary
5	analysis. A higher amount involved something
6	like 60 slides is a little extreme.
7	Analysis of other issues, for example,
8	parenting time adjustments, analysis of case
9	file data range from about \$500 to over
10	\$10,000. If it's an issue we looked at
11	recently, the cost could be on the low end.
12	If it's an issue we've never looked at
13	and would require extensive data analysis of
14	thousands of case files, the billing of the
15	data field is on the high end.
16	So I would guesstimate that 20,000 to
17	\$35,000 would be the total depending on
18	whether Alabama really needed the last two
19	tasks.
20	MR. BAILEY: All right. Obviously, our
21	committee has no budget. Let's discuss how,
22	what amount Julie, I'm sorry. I didn't
23	see you.

1	JUDGE PALMER: I just walked in.
2	MR. BAILEY: Would you introduce
3	yourself, please?
4	JUDGE PALMER: Julie Palmer, Circuit
5	Court Judge, Birmingham Division, Domestic
6	Relations.
7	MR. BAILEY: Julie, good to have you with
8	us.
9	JUDGE PALMER: Good to be here.
10	MR. BAILEY: Let's have some discussion
11	about what we want to ask, a recommendation
12	to the Supreme Court about funding, how much
13	we think we're going to need, what we'd like
14	for Jane to do or anybody that takes this
15	task on for us. Let's have some discussion
16	about that.
17	Anybody want to talk about
18	JUDGE BELL: Is she the only source for
19	this work?
20	MR. BAILEY: Bob, you put out a request
21	for services four years ago, five years, six
22	years ago. And how many responses did we
23	have?

1	MR. MADDOX: Basically, just her. And,
2	certainly, Mr. Rogers
3	MR. BAILEY: Right.
4	MR. MADDOX: came to our meeting, an
5	he had we paid for and that was
6	something that I did want to point out from
7	the last transcript.
8	I think a comment was made that his cost
9	of coming here and doing the study was fully
10	borne by the Alabama Family Rights
11	Association. But we did do a contract with
12	AOC. They may have paid some of it, but we
13	did do a contract between AOC and him to do
14	the study of about \$15,000
15	MR. BAILEY: Right.
16	MR. MADDOX: in 2006. So we sent out
17	about we didn't know how to do this in
18	terms of economics data analysis. We
19	basically sent it out from the division of
20	purchase. The Department of Finance sent us
21	a list of who basically did analyses of some
22	sort, and it was totaling over 1100 people.
23	So we sent all of that that was back

1	in the mail days back in 2005. So we mailed
2	all of those out from our office as well as
3	to all 29 universities and colleges in the
4	state that may have business departments or
5	economics departments.
6	And Jane Venohr was the only one that
7	submitted a request by the deadline date that
8	year.
9	MR. BAILEY: Right. Billy, does that
10	Judge Bell, does that answer your question?
11	I'm sorry.
12	JUDGE BELL: It did. And it's just
13	MR. BAILEY: Mike, did you want to say
14	something?
15	MR. POLEMENI: Yes, sir. Researching in
16	Massachusetts, they did a their child
17	support committee just did their guidelines,
18	and it went into effect yesterday, August 1.
19	New Hampshire and Iowa redid just did
20	their child support guidelines. And they
21	went into effect July 1 of 2013.
22	New Jersey was April 1, 2013.
23	Georgia is meeting today also for their

1	child support committee.
2	I don't have it written down, but I have
3	a copies of their guidelines on a thumb
4	drive.
5	There's a group out of Massachusetts that
6	did their guidelines. And they're doing
7	their guidelines on net income versus gross
8	income. And there are a lot I've got
9	copies of all of their guidelines they have
10	if you want to review those. That's a lot of
11	information.
12	MR. BAILEY: Now, when you say they redid
13	their guidelines, you mean they redid the
14	whole guideline approach? Adopted another
15	model? Or they
16	MR. POLEMENI: No. No. They they're
17	doing they did what we did, what we're
18	doing now.
19	MR. BAILEY: Redid the schedules?
20	MR. POLEMENI: Redid the schedules. In
21	fact, they all they all lowered the
22	schedule overall. But they're all income
23	based also.

1	MR. BAILEY: Similar to ours that appear
2	here?
3	MR. POLEMENI: Right.
4	MS. DAVIS: Hey, Mike, do you have any
5	idea how much those costs?
б	MR. POLEMENI: No. No, I don't. I'd
7	have to on the Massachusetts, they
8	reference the gentleman that did it. And
9	but they have all of that data in in on
10	that thumb drive if you want a copy of that.
11	MS. DAVIS: We need to follow up.
12	MR. BAILEY: Bob, didn't Jane also
13	mention she was going to try to check with
14	Arkansas to see if we might borrow their
15	report to save a little money and
16	MR. MADDOX: Yes.
17	MR. BAILEY: that might help us in the
18	long run?
19	MR. MADDOX: Yes, sir.
20	MR. BAILEY: Any other let's talk
21	about how much, or what direction we want to
22	go in. Do we want to look at redoing the
23	schedule only?

1	Do we want to look at redoing the whole
2	concept of the guidelines? I don't think we
3	want to do that after all 13, 15 years we've
4	spent passing the new ones in '08.
5	Let me have some discussion from the
6	committee please about where we want to go.
7	MR. POLEMENI: Well, I think that the
8	guidelines are necessary when they're
9	necessary.
10	Shared parenting would be the ideal
11	situation. It would save the courts money.
12	It would save the state money overall if the
13	agreements are made and let the parents
14	negotiate if they're if they're fit
15	parents.
16	If there's no and I'll read something
17	here out of the WebMD.com July and August
18	2013. And they're talking about that parents
19	need to stay involved. The most important
20	thing from a man's point of view is his child
21	wants him and his child needs him says Gordon
22	E. Finley, Ph.D.
23	Maintaining the relationship is important

for your child's development outcome, social
emotional and education. And then they go in
there and I think that's some of the same
things we've said here in these meetings over
the years.
MR. BAILEY: Right. Right.
MR. POLEMENI: And following those
guidelines, I think, you know, for fit
parents shared parenting would save everyone
money in the long run and do away with the
guidelines completely for those specific
things.
Now, the courts need to address the
guidelines when when it's necessary.
MR. BAILEY: Aubrey.
JUDGE FORD: I think the only competing
interest you have here is the fact that you
have those persons who at one time were
married and did have established
relationships with their children as opposed
to a majority of the cases that are probably
running through the system are those persons
who were never married, some of them that

1	have established a relationship with the
2	children and some who do not.
3	And you have to take into effect how are
4	you going to establish that relationship if
5	indeed the person wishes to do that.
6	MR. POLEMENI: Right. Right. And that
7	would be where the courts really need to
8	concentrate their efforts.
9	MR. BAILEY: All right. So you would
10	suggest that we take another look at shared
11	parenting as it relates to child support; is
12	that correct?
13	MR. POLEMENI: Correct.
14	MR. BAILEY: All right. Do I have a
15	sense from the committee and I think,
16	Judge Bell, you mentioned this in the last
17	meeting that you wanted us to look at the
18	economics involved in the schedule and see if
19	anything has changed over the last four or
20	five years.
21	JUDGE BELL: I would. As I understand
22	it, that schedule hasn't been changed or
23	looked at since 2006. I came on the

committee after that.
MR. BAILEY: Right.
JUDGE BELL: I think we need to look and
see how the economics of Alabama will affect
that. Because it's based upon the amount of
child support that these parents are presumed
to provide. And I think the economics of it
would make a difference, or could make a
difference and we need to know that.
MR. BAILEY: Right.
JUDGE BELL: What Michael was talking
about I think is one of the things that we
need to look at within the Rule 32
guidelines.
But the schedule itself I think needs to
be accurate if we're going to recommend that
it be continued at that level.
MR. BAILEY: I thought that you expressed
that at our last meeting.
JUDGE BELL: I did. I did.
MR. BAILEY: And we should at least do
that. Do we have a consensus that we would
like for Jane or whomever we select to look

[
1	at the schedule, the economic part of the
2	schedule? Is that a good starting point for
3	us to begin?
4	JUDGE FORD: I agree.
5	MR. BAILEY: Penny.
6	MS. DAVIS: I agree. But as a practical
7	matter, we ought to address, are we do we
8	have authority to commit to hire someone? Or
9	are we just going to recommend that the court
10	hire that that that's the procedure
11	that we follow?
12	MR. BAILEY: I don't think we have any
13	committee funding.
14	MS. DAVIS: Well, that's what I'm saying.
15	MR. BAILEY: Our esteemed member of the
16	Supreme Court, I I don't think she's going
17	to sign the check.
18	MS. DAVIS: Okay. What are our
19	limitations? I assume we need to figure out
20	what kind of limitations we have before we
21	make any decisions.
22	JUSTICE STUART: Can I ask a question?
23	MR. BAILEY: Sure.

1	JUSTICE STUART: I'm not sure about this.
2	This is Lyn Stuart. And I'm going to ask Bob
3	Maddox because he would know. Has this been
4	paid for with AOC money in the past? Or how
5	has it been paid?
6	MR. MADDOX: Well, in the past DHR has
7	helped us reimburse the costs of these
8	studies because it is related to child
9	support for the child support program.
10	JUSTICE STUART: That makes me feel a
11	little bit better.
12	MS. DAVIS: And everybody is aware that
13	the economics are difficult. And it
14	certainly is for the courts as well as
15	everybody else.
16	MR. BAILEY: Absolutely. Let's hear from
17	our finance man.
18	MS. NELSON: As as the DHR
19	representative, because this is a child
20	support issue, we draw down our 66 percent of
21	the cost with federal dollars.
22	MR. BAILEY: Right.
23	MS. NELSON: I do have, because this was

1	an issue that I saw that was on the agenda, I
2	have submitted a question to our federal
3	office that if this is something that the
4	committee recommends what would be the
5	process by which we would have to get federal
6	approval to pay X number of dollars.
7	You know, when you're talking about 30 to
8	\$60,000, you may be able to pull down the
9	federal share, but someone still has to pay
10	that 34 percent state share.
11	So I don't know if that would come from
12	DHR or if AOC would have to cover that
13	34 percent cost, that difference in whatever
14	the hundred percent cost is.
15	MR. BAILEY: Bob, I think AOC covered it
16	last in '05 or '06, didn't it?
17	MR. MADDOX: Yes.
18	MS. DAVIS: Well, I make a motion
19	MR. MADDOX: I would have to check with
20	our office, the administrative director. I
21	can't speak for him.
22	MR. BAILEY: Julie.
23	JUDGE PALMER: Julie Palmer. The only

1	thing that I want to mention is that the two
2	studies last time, because I've been on the
3	committee I think since '04, is the even
4	the economic studies that they have are two
5	to three to four years old by the time they
6	collect all the data.
7	So there is no real time as to what
8	happened in 2012. It's going to be 2010,
9	2009 I would think just from the two studies
10	there were here last time that they would be
11	bringing any new economic data to us.
12	And we all know that the price of gas has
13	gone up from 2009 and 2010 to, I mean, you
14	know, I think this month alone it's gone up
15	16 cents. Or last month.
16	So just let everybody be aware of that.
17	That whatever data we get is going to be old
18	in comparison.
19	MS. CAMPBELL: Well, I have a question.
20	It's probably more ignorance than anything
21	else.
22	My name is Angela Campbell. According to
23	the amendment to guidelines, she did her

1	study, Ms. Venohr did her study, economic
2	study and updated it to 2007 price levels.
3	I'm not an economist, but if we're
4	talking about redoing guidelines which are
5	going to be sort of permanent at least for
6	four years, do we want to do guidelines based
7	on the downturn in the economy? We're hoping
8	it will go up.
9	MR. BAILEY: Good question.
10	MS. CAMPBELL: There's another question
11	too. Income is income. Whatever income you
12	make, things are going up. Things are going
13	up everywhere.
14	If you're making less money than you were
15	making five years ago, which that's me, if I
16	had to go to court to get a child support
17	order it will be need to be based on what I'm
18	making now, not what I was, you know. That's
19	what I'm talking about.
20	MR. BAILEY: Good point. We would
21	certainly want to ask Jane what other states
22	are doing. She's just completed the Arkansas
23	report recently. So we could ask her what
1	

1	they did. We could ask her how far states
2	are going back in terms of number of years
3	and if she has to do something currently or
4	exactly what to do.
5	Yes, sir.
6	MR. POLEMENI: We're at the beginning of
7	the school cycle. Could we get, you know,
8	one of our major universities or several of
9	our major universities to pitch in and do the
10	study, or at least bid on the study?
11	MR. BAILEY: Bob.
12	JUDGE FORD: We tried.
13	MR. BAILEY: That's a good point though.
14	Today is tax free day, so I think we're all
15	here and can spend our money without paying
16	tax.
17	MR. POLEMENI: Usually we are at the end
18	of the semester when we do these things.
19	MR. BAILEY: Bob, did the University of
20	Alabama or Auburn respond last time?
21	MR. MADDOX: No, sir, not timely.
22	MR. BAILEY: I didn't think they did.
23	All right. Any other discussion on this

1	issue because we've got a lot of issues to
2	cover?
3	By the way we had a new member join.
4	MS. MOORE: Mary Moore, Circuit Clerk
5	Perry County.
6	MR. BAILEY: Glad to have you with us.
7	Any other discussion on the direction, Bob,
8	we may want to give Jane in terms of putting
9	together a bid for us before we get down to
10	funding? Any other discussion on that?
11	JUSTICE STUART: Lyn Stuart again. I
12	think if there's any way that we could just
13	take Arkansas and make it applicable to
14	Alabama that's what we need to ask her to do.
15	I'm not sure we're in a position to pay for a
16	completely new study.
17	MR. BAILEY: I I'm not sure we are
18	either. She's offered to share the Arkansas
19	report with us, but she's having to get
20	permission from various levels. And we
21	certainly understand that. So, hopefully,
22	that will come through for us.
23	MS. DAVIS: Do you have a motion? Or

1	MR. BAILEY: We need a motion, we need
2	some direction for Jane too. Because Bob and
3	I tried to talk to her about some, you know,
4	issues to cover and get some direction and
5	some guesstimates, but I think we need a
б	little bit more specific direction for Jane.
7	MS. DAVIS: Well, I don't want to get the
8	cart before the horse. I think we need to
9	clarify the funding first.
10	MR. BAILEY: Right.
11	MS. DAVIS: So my motion would be to give
12	the chairman authority to discuss all
13	possible funding sources, both federal and
14	state with DHR, AOC, the courts, the Feds,
15	anybody, random people we see on the street
16	and report back to the committee what funding
17	we have to work with. At that point, I think
18	we can then begin to give direction.
19	MR. BAILEY: All right. All right. Do
20	we have any you have a second to the
21	motion first? Or a second to the motion
22	first?
23	JUDGE FORD: Second.

1	MR. POLEMENI: Second.
2	MR. BAILEY: All right. Judge Ford
3	seconded. Any discussion on the motion,
4	please?
5	(No response.)
6	MR. BAILEY: All right. All in let's
7	vote. All in favor say I?
8	(Everyone responded.)
9	MR. BAILEY: Opposed?
10	(No response.)
11	MR. BAILEY: All right. I'll assume that
12	mantle of responsibility.
13	MS. DAVIS: Good man.
14	MR. BAILEY: The next topic on the agenda
15	is health insurance. We talked a good bit
16	about that last time. Judge Bell, you want
17	to pick up that ball for us?
18	JUDGE BELL: Well, that's that's
19	just as a sitting judge dealing with child
20	support issues, that's just one of those
21	issues that I think we're struggling with.
22	I mentioned the case I got reversed on
23	for deviating from Rule 32 and not including

1	the child support of a stepfather who was
2	covering not only his new wife and the
3	parties' two children but also his child by a
4	prior marriage added without any premium.
5	And I got reversed for that, and rightfully
6	so in that Rule 32 requires that that happen.
7	We had at the last committee we had
8	proposed a change to Rule 32 where we would
9	only include in the calculation a pro rata
10	share of the family policy premium.
11	Right now as we all know the Rule 32
12	guidelines require that the full family
13	premium be included in the calculation, which
14	quite honestly can result in some injustices
15	as it did I thought in the case that I had.
16	But I think we need to revisit the pro rata
17	share.
18	MR. BAILEY: Okay.
19	JUDGE BELL: And we should still have
20	that particular recommendation. Not that we
21	need to go exactly by that, but I do think we
22	need to revisit that issue.
23	MR. BAILEY: Penny, I know you were

1	interested in us doing that when we convened
2	at that point.
3	MS. DAVIS: That's still an issue with
4	me. I think whether we the two thoughts
5	that come to my mind is that we might look
б	now a few years down the road and see what
7	other states are doing.
8	Because there's been a lot of change in
9	the health insurance industry, which we know,
10	and a lot to come which even the Feds don't
11	know I think what they did.
12	But it might be helpful, the states that
13	Mike had talked about, I don't know if they
14	did only schedule changes or if they also
15	looked and tweaked some of their guidelines.
16	But if might be helpful if we could have
17	maybe a subcommittee that Judge Bell could
18	chair.
19	MR. POLEMENI: It's a lot of data.
20	MS. DAVIS: And that would just focus on
21	what a number of other states have done
22	dealing with healthcare.
23	And if we can't decide on something, I

1	think at the minimum we ought to give more
2	flexibility or discretion with the judges so
3	that when a judge who's looking at the whole
4	family picture like Judge Bell did in that
5	case
6	MR. BAILEY: Right.
7	MS. DAVIS: give him discretion that
8	the rule does not yet at this point give him.
9	MR. BAILEY: Right. Judge Bell, if I
10	asked to you chair a subcommittee and to
11	refresh everybody's memory, I thought our
12	subcommittees four or five, six years ago
13	really worked well in zeroing in on
14	particular topics, particular issues.
15	And, Judge Bell, would you mind assuming
16	that responsibility to chair a subcommittee
17	on the health insurance revisit?
18	JUDGE BELL: I'll be happy to on one
19	condition. That you put Ms. Davis who just
20	volunteered me to be on the subcommittee.
21	MR. BAILEY: She gladly accepts.
22	JUDGE BELL: I'm sure she does.
23	MS. DAVIS: That's the Baptist way, isn't

1	it?
2	JUDGE BELL: I'll be happy to.
3	MR. BAILEY: Would anybody else like to
4	serve on this subcommittee? Julie would be
5	great. And would you like to as well? All
б	right. Julie and Julia. Wonderful.
7	MR. POLEMENI: I can't provide any legal
8	information, but I'm happy to help in any way
9	I can.
10	MR. BAILEY: All right. So we've got
11	Mike.
12	JUDGE BELL: Legal mind.
13	MR. BAILEY: All right. Judge Bell, we
14	have your committee assembled Penny, Julie
15	and Julia and Mike.
16	JUDGE BELL: Thank y'all very much.
17	MR. BAILEY: Okay. Thank y'all. That is
18	a big issue. Because I know we had a lot of
19	discussion about it before and spent a lot of
20	time on that particular issue.
21	All right. Any other comments on health
22	insurance or the health insurance issue as it
23	relates to the guidelines?

1	MR. POLEMENI: What was the outcome of
2	the Amendment VI issue?
3	MR. BAILEY: Well, Alex, refresh my
4	memory. I thought you you were going to
5	be furnished a brief with some cites I
6	believe by the gentleman that addressed that
7	issue last time.
8	MR. JACKSON: Never got anything.
9	MR. POLEMENI: Which was, you know,
10	basically we can't the state can't compel
11	someone to buy insurance, which the courts
12	are doing in this instance.
13	JUDGE PALMER: Well, on that, the
14	Affordable Healthcare Act is going into
15	effect on January the 1st, 2014. Isn't the
16	federal government compelling people to buy
17	health insurance?
18	JUDGE FORD: They are.
19	JUDGE PALMER: Isn't that why that's
20	going to come out?
21	MR. POLEMENI: That's why Amendment VI
22	was approved by the voters is they don't want
23	to be compelled.
1	
1	JUDGE FORD: And another issue is whether
----	--
2	or not you can be compelled to buy family
3	coverage or only individual coverage. I
4	don't know under the healthcare reform if
5	that's the case.
6	MR. BAILEY: Jennifer, you did a little
7	research I believe on this recently on this
8	issue about the conflict between the
9	constitutional amendment and that, health
10	insurance guidelines.
11	MS. BUSH: Well, the research I came
12	across indicated that the purpose of the
13	amendment, Amendment VI, was not to address
14	child support but was geared towards the
15	federal healthcare mandate. And it was not
16	geared towards this, and that was not the
17	purpose and the intent.
18	MR. POLEMENI: Uh-huh.
19	MR. BAILEY: And wasn't there
20	MS. BUSH: And, actually I don't know.
21	This hasn't been decided by the court. But
22	one has to wonder if a federal statute would
23	trump a state constitution.

1	I mean, we do have an amendment in our
2	state constitution. But is it going to be
3	effective over a federal statute?
4	MR. BAILEY: Right.
5	MS. BUSH: I would think federal would
б	trump state.
7	MR. BAILEY: And refresh my memory. You
8	did a little research I know on whether or
9	not requiring a parent to contribute to
10	health insurance and medical costs is or is
11	not unconstitutional or constitutional. And
12	the court didn't address it if I remember
13	right.
14	MS. BUSH: The court what I found, the
15	decisions I found, they did not specifically
16	address Amendment VI and child support but
17	indicated that it would not be
18	unconstitutional. That it would be
19	constitutional to require someone to provide
20	health insurance for their child.
21	MR. BAILEY: Right.
22	MR. POLEMENI: So we're back to what
23	Judge Stuart stated is that we're going to

1	have to run it through the system if we're
2	going to contest it.
3	JUDGE BELL: That's right.
4	MS. BUSH: But that specific question,
5	Amendment VI and child support, has not been
6	decided.
7	MR. BAILEY: Right. I think that's the
8	correct status right now. Okay.
9	All right. Any other comments,
10	discussion on health insurance in light of
11	what we just talked about? Any other
12	comments?
13	(No response.)
14	MR. BAILEY: All right. Let's now go to
15	tax deduction and dependents. We had a good
16	bit of discussion about that at our
17	February 7th meeting. Judge Bell, you asked
18	us I think to take a look at that as well.
19	JUDGE BELL: I did. I seem to be causing
20	all the problem. But that is just one of
21	those areas
22	MR. BAILEY: Right.
23	JUDGE BELL: too where I think judges

1	need to have more flexibility. Because Rule
2	32 builds into the calculations that the
3	custodial parent is going to get the tax
4	exemption.
5	But we all know child support is not tax
6	deductible by the paying party. It's not
7	taxable to the receiving party. And that may
8	be true, but there's a lot of cases where
9	that is just not the fair thing to do if
10	you've got several children and there's a lot
11	of child support being paid.
12	I just think it's something we need to
13	look at and make sure we can breathe into the
14	guidelines some flexibility for the judges to
15	deviate on that particular issue. That
16	that's just my
17	JUSTICE STUART: Would it be a
18	satisfactory solution in that particular
19	issue to include that among the grounds for
20	which a judge can deviate, and specifically
21	say that in the rule? And then, I mean, I
22	just trust the judges overall.
23	JUDGE BELL: Thank you.

1	JUSTICE STUART: I think they can use
2	discretion given the facts in a particular
3	case and in maybe the particular situation.
4	JUDGE BELL: I think that would be
5	wonderful. And that might be a good thing to
6	do on the health insurance issue too, to
7	breathe some flexibility into it. I do think
8	that would work like that.
9	JUSTICE STUART: I can further state that
10	I think that is something that if this
11	committee chose to do it it could do sooner
12	rather than later.
13	MR. BAILEY: Right.
14	JUSTICE STUART: And certainly not wait
15	until we look at the guidelines themselves.
16	MR. BAILEY: Absolutely.
17	JUSTICE STUART: It might really be
18	helpful to the trial judges and the families
19	of the state.
20	MR. BAILEY: That is an excellent point.
21	JUDGE BELL: I agree.
22	MR. BAILEY: We don't need to delay some
23	decisions like that on funding and a new

1	economic review. We can make those decisions
2	quickly. Good point.
3	Do we want to have some more discussion
4	about that?
5	MR. POLEMENI: A lot of the states on my
6	list that made decisions were going with net
7	income versus gross.
8	And I know there are some people that lie
9	about their net income or hide income between
10	gross and net. But the majority and that
11	would be something where the judge could, you
12	know, get validation.
13	But if we just looked at taking out state
14	and federal taxes as a complement of that.
15	JUDGE FORD: I see where I was going
16	to ask you what is your definition of net
17	income.
18	MR. POLEMENI: Yeah. Yeah.
19	JUDGE FORD: It can get really cloudy as
20	we go down the list.
21	MR. POLEMENI: I would say taxes, you
22	know.
23	JUDGE FORD: Okay.

877-373-3660

42

1	MR. BAILEY: All right. Any other issue
2	or discussion on that particular issue?
3	And to follow up on Justice Stuart's
4	suggestion, are there any other issues that
5	we want to address quickly in the next
6	maybe by the next meeting to have some
7	language drafted other than her suggestion
8	about deviation on that issue?
9	Any other issues that we want to yes.
10	MS. BUSH: Are you talking about tax
11	deduction and health insurance, both of those
12	issues, giving the judge the authority to
13	deviate?
14	MR. BAILEY: I think we certainly could.
15	And, Billy, if you could have us a report
16	I don't want to push you, but if you could
17	have us a report by the next meeting, we
18	might be able to adopt some of that language.
19	JUDGE BELL: Good. I'll do that.
20	MR. BAILEY: That was an excellent
21	suggestion that we move forward on some of
22	the tweak some of the guidelines that are
23	not working as well as they possibly should
1	

1	for our trial judges.
2	Any other suggestions or other issues
3	besides those two that we could address
4	quickly and possibly by our next meeting?
5	(No response.)
6	MR. BAILEY: Okay. We'll move on then to
7	child support and visitation and shared
8	placement.
9	Mike, do you want to take that over?
10	Because I know you're interested in that
11	issue quite a bit.
12	MR. POLEMENI: Well, basically, you know,
13	that goes back to the shared parenting issue.
14	And those parents that can negotiate or
15	mediate a shared parenting arrangement should
16	be able should be allowed to do so and not
17	be hindered by the courts based on their
18	assumption of what should be done.
19	They may want to review it. But if it's
20	already been mediated by lawyers, why should
21	the judge disagree?
22	And I believe the state states that in
23	its bylines that if you have an agreement, or

1	maybe it's a rule I don't know. But if
2	there's an agreement before the court, the
3	court should accept it unless it's woefully
4	deficient for some reason or other.
5	MR. BAILEY: Well, let's ask our judges
6	what's going on in your courtrooms around the
7	state as we speak.
8	Julie, you want to take that first?
9	JUDGE PALMER: Yes. My usual standard of
10	review is if both parties are represented by
11	counsel and they've got shared custody,
12	whether it be four days with me and three
13	days with you, or I get or you every other
14	weekend during the school year, and then I
15	get her every other weekend during the
16	summer, however you want to call it, as long
17	as both parties are represented by counsel
18	and they agree to deviate from the child
19	support guidelines, whether it be zero,
20	whether it be zero but you're responsible for
21	the child for the daycare, I'm responsible
22	for out-of-pocket medicals, however you agree
23	to it, then 99.9 percent of the time I will

1	sign that.
2	It's when there's one party represented
3	by counsel and the other party is self-
4	represented and the self-represented person
5	gets the children but gets no child support
6	because it's called joint custody or shared
7	custody, I just don't sign that.
8	MR. POLEMENI: Right.
9	JUDGE PALMER: Especially, let's say they
10	are suppose to get \$95 and they are supposed
11	to get \$495.
12	MR. POLEMENI: Yeah. And that's a fair
13	assessment. I would I would have no
14	problem with that myself. But that's
15	that's that's I wish all and that's
16	one of the problems that we have is 67
17	counties in Alabama without with I don't
18	know I don't know the number of judges in
19	each county, but they all do something
20	different.
21	There's no concise and consistent finding
22	of facts. And maybe that maybe that's the
23	answer is that we have not a finding of fact

1	worksheet along with the child support
2	guidelines so that to address that.
3	MR. BAILEY: Well, Delaware being the
4	smallest state with three counties has a
5	statewide family court. So in any of the
6	three counties you get the same visitation
7	basically scheduled, the same family law
8	issues are dealt with, you know, consistently
9	in all three counties.
10	With 67 counties it's been proposed
11	before and during my lifetime, Bob, and I
12	know yours too that we have a statewide
13	family court, but I don't think that's going
14	to happen while we're still all here.
15	MR. POLEMENI: Because for if both
16	parents are fit, it should be fairly easy for
17	the courts to make a decision in my mind.
18	It's not, of course, when you have the
19	unfit parent. That's when you get into the
20	problems. And I think making fit parents
21	have to go right now in my opinion you're
22	making fit parents are being treated as
23	unfit parents.

1	JUDGE PALMER: Well, I think yeah.
2	JUDGE FORD: I think a lot of it too is a
3	training issue. We've not had a major child
4	support training in a while.
5	And so and we have a new, whole new
6	crop of judges that have come on within the
7	last six years.
8	And so we really some of this could be
9	resolved through just more concerted training
10	of our judges in a setting so we can discuss
11	these things and how they are applied. So we
12	have a real training issue problem too.
13	MR. BAILEY: Mr. Landry brought that to
14	our attention on February 7th, and we
15	discussed a good bit of training in Alabama
16	for judges and so on. And I'm sure that
17	warms your heart for Judge Ford to say that.
18	MR. LANDRY: Yes, it does.
19	MR. BAILEY: And I still want to try to
20	rein us in a little bit. Visitation schedule
21	statewide is not our charge. We are involved
22	with the placement of child and visitation of
23	children as it relates to Rule 32.

8	
1	I don't think it's our charge to develop
2	a statewide visitation schedule that everyone
3	will love.
4	JUDGE PALMER: And I think we've got to
5	get away from the word "visitation" period.
6	A parent does not visit their child. A
7	parent is they they co-parent.
8	MR. BAILEY: Right.
9	JUDGE PALMER: You maybe have a custodial
10	parent and a noncustodial parent, or a
11	secondary custodial time; but, you know,
12	we've got to get rid of the word
13	"visitation".
14	Grandma visits and Aunt Julie visits.
15	But parents don't visit. They parent their
16	children. And that's one of the main things
17	we've got to get rid of.
18	I don't think it's in any of the
19	guidelines the word "visitation". But in all
20	the other statutes it's in clearly there.
21	It's called visitation. And we've got to get
22	rid of it as a whole.
23	JUDGE BELL: Gordon, there is a Family

1	Law Task Force that is that is going to
2	start meeting. The first meeting I think is
3	next month and, maybe the end of this month
4	as a matter of fact.
5	But going back to the training that we've
6	talked about here, I do the family law
7	training for the new judges orientation.
8	They give me 45 minutes.
9	And I'm looking at these new judges who
10	are insurance defense lawyers or criminal
11	defense lawyers. And when I talk about Rule
12	32 and joint legal custody, they look at me
13	with the deer-in-the-headlight look and
14	saying what in the world are you talking
15	about.
16	But the only time and we've talked
17	about the lack of consistency from circuit to
18	circuit, but the only time I would much
19	rather parents make their own decisions and
20	decide their issues because they brought
21	these children into the world.
22	MR. BAILEY: Absolutely.
23	JUDGE BELL: And I would rather they do

1	that. The only time I will send back or make
2	them revise the agreement is if they don't
3	use the correct terms for custody set out in
4	Section 30-3-151, or if it's the the
5	substance of it is not joint custody.
6	Sometimes people can get tricked like
7	that, and the agreement will say maybe
8	somebody is self-represented. It will say
9	joint custody, joint legal and physical
10	custody. The children shall reside primarily
11	with the mother, and the other parent will
12	get periods of joint custody as set out in
13	the visitation schedule attached hereto as
14	Exhibit 1.
15	Well, the appellate courts have said,
16	look, we got to look at the substance, not
17	the label. And I think it goes to training
18	for lawyers. I mean no disrespect to my good
19	lawyers, but they've got to use the right
20	terms. They've got to understand the
21	significance.
22	Because when it comes to a time to modify
23	that particular order, we've got to interpret

1	what is it. And the appellate courts have to
2	say what in the world is this. And they
3	shouldn't have to. We have a statute that
4	defines these things.
5	JUDGE FORD: Billy, you also have the
6	pleasure of maybe I don't know whether
7	it's a pleasure or not having attorneys in
8	your court.
9	When you go through what I do on a
10	district court level, you have a pro se
11	litigant, not a pro se litigant but really
12	two pro se litigants because DHR says we have
13	nothing to do with parenting time.
14	So you are trying to forge an agreement
15	between two people that for a single moment
16	in their life loved each other, and since
17	that time they can't stand each other.
18	JUDGE BELL: I wish we could go off the
19	record whether I prefer my lawyers to pro se
20	because that apples in one hand.
21	MR. BAILEY: Well, Faye, bring us up-to-
22	date on DHR's training for your DHR child
23	support attorneys.

Freedom Court Reporting, Inc

1	I know, Jennifer, you have participated a
2	lot as well. Where are y'all on the training
3	of the DHR workers?
4	MS. NELSON: Well, you know, with funding
5	within our department just like any other
6	state agency
7	MR. BAILEY: Right.
8	MS. NELSON: we have not had any
9	direct group training for attorneys since we
10	have not had our child support conferences
11	within the past what, three years or so.
12	MR. BAILEY: About three years.
13	MS. NELSON: Jennifer does some, you
14	know, one-on-one, you know, when we're aware
15	of a new attorney that comes onboard. So we
16	utilize her knowledge, you know, to have one-
17	on-one communication with them. So she's
18	she's the resource that we use
19	MR. BAILEY: Right.
20	MS. NELSON: for attorneys.
21	MR. BAILEY: Do you do any I'm sorry.
22	Go ahead.
23	MS. NELSON: We're trying to coordinate

1	something with AOC right now to do some
2	specialized training as it relates to, you
3	know, the e-filing, you know, that's come
4	about. So that's pretty much where we are,
5	you know.
6	But as far as child support one-on-one
7	pretty much, you know, we have not have had
8	an opportunity to do that on a large scale,
9	you know, with attorneys.
10	MR. BAILEY: Right. Back in the days
11	before IV-D when it was a III-D program
12	I'm kidding.
13	Back in the early days of getting the
14	program started, we used to do training for
15	judges, new judges in different circuits when
16	they took the child support docket over. We
17	would assemble a team and go in.
18	Are you doing any of that now with AOC?
19	MS. NELSON: No, we're not.
20	JUDGE FORD: No.
21	MR. BAILEY: Bob, at AOC for these new
22	judges, are you bringing in anything other
23	for child support other than the modules that

1	the Office of Child Support developed?
2	MR. MADDOX: Not on the module. Like
3	Judge Bell pointed out, we have new judge
4	orientation. And we only have a very limited
5	time on it. I go over the juvenile law. He
6	goes over the DR law. We both have a minute
7	amount of time to cover a lot.
8	MR. BAILEY: Right.
9	MR. MADDOX: So we're hoping to do
10	we're in discussions with DHR about doing
11	some supplements next calendar year if we
12	have the funding available
13	MR. BAILEY: Wonderful.
14	MR. MADDOX: for judges, DHR attorneys
15	and other attorneys possibly. So
16	MR. BAILEY: Billy, is the task force
17	going to look at training for judges and
18	lawyers in child support? Or is that
19	JUDGE BELL: Gordon, I really don't know.
20	I just got appointed to the task force. And
21	our we're going to have our first
22	organizational meeting, so we don't know
23	where we are.

1	I'm hoping we're going to look at a
2	global family law code for Alabama to try to
3	breathe some consistency in the process.
4	MR. BAILEY: That would be wonderful.
5	JUDGE BELL: But I don't know that for
6	sure.
7	MR. BAILEY: Keep us updated on the
8	family law committee.
9	Any other questions or concerns, comments
10	about child support and placement? We won't
11	use the term "visitation".
12	JUDGE BELL: Well and I brought it up
13	last time. We've got a provision in Rule 32
14	for how do you calculate child support in a
15	split custody arrangement where each parent
16	has got one or more children in his or her
17	physical custody.
18	But we don't have any provision in there
19	for how to calculate under joint custody
20	other than it's a recognized reason to
21	deviate from Rule 32.
22	And when we talk about consistency, I've
23	talked with judges all over the state, and

1	there really is no consistency about how you
2	should calculate under Rule 32
3	MR. BAILEY: Right.
4	JUDGE BELL: for the joint custody
5	arrangement. That may be something we want
6	to look at too.
7	MR. POLEMENI: Indiana parenting time
8	guideline including amendments received
9	through March 1, 2013, they're going into
10	again, the Indiana Supreme Court, you know,
11	changed their terminology to parenting time.
12	MR. BAILEY: Right.
13	MR. POLEMENI: I have the preamble here
14	if you want to look at that. That goes into
15	it. And, plus, I have the whole guidelines
16	out from Indiana on a thumb drive as well.
17	So
18	JUSTICE STUART: One concern that I have
19	is if all of the legislation says visitation
20	for us not to track the legislation would
21	create more confusion. That may really be a
22	legislative issues.
23	JUDGE BELL: I don't know that that's

1	something that our committee deals with. The
2	family law task force may be may be
3	looking into that.
4	MR. POLEMENI: Okay.
5	MR. BAILEY: All right. Any other
6	comments on that issue before we hear from
7	the public? We've got quite a few people
8	from the public here. I'd certainly like to
9	give them adequate time.
10	Any other comments on those issues or any
11	other committee issues before we hear from
12	the public?
13	Anybody else have any issues or concerns?
14	MS. DAVIS: When are we going to deal
15	with the letter we received?
16	MR. BAILEY: That's a good question. We
17	can deal with that now.
18	Judge Bell, do you want to give us a
19	little background about the letter that
20	Bob you sent to Bob and myself and that we
21	sent out to all the committee members?
22	JUDGE BELL: I appreciate it. It just
23	came to me in the mail. And it's from a lady
1	

1	who evidently went through a child support
2	case or cases in Limestone County. I didn't
3	handle the case because I'm in Madison
4	County. But I just thought it was something
5	that since it was addressed to the Advisory
6	Committee. She did send it to Chief Justice
7	Moore and to Jennifer and to me and Faye
8	and sent it to Faye Nelson and a bunch of
9	senators and Kimberly Essick at the news.
10	But I just thought everybody ought to
11	know about it. I mean, there's a balancing
12	in here about trying to be fair to everybody
13	in trying to make this system as efficient as
14	possible.
15	I think a lot of it probably is our fault
16	by taking things for granted and just not
17	explaining things the way we should.
18	MR. BAILEY: She was speaking on the
19	perspective of both a custodial and a
20	noncustodial parent which I thought was
21	really interesting.
22	JUDGE BELL: It was.
23	MR. BAILEY: Faye, I know you got a copy

1	of the letter. Any comments on the letter or
2	thoughts that you might have?
3	MS. NELSON: Well, you know, we're
4	limited in what we can share as far as our
5	involvement with the case
6	MR. BAILEY: Absolutely.
7	MS. NELSON: because of
8	confidentiality. But I can say that the, you
9	know, the county department is involved with
10	the case.
11	As noted in the letter, there is a
12	hearing that's coming up as of next week.
13	And they will be addressing what has been
14	brought to the court's attention and to DHR's
15	attention at that during that particular
16	hearing.
17	MR. BAILEY: Anything else you want to
18	add about the letter?
19	JUDGE BELL: Well, it just goes with kind
20	of what we've been talking about. I don't
21	know that there's a lot of consistency
22	because I think there's a lot of moving parts
23	in the process, including judges.

1	I am real fortunate in Madison County to
2	have two wonderful assistant district
3	attorneys that handle child support
4	enforcement. They're very knowledgeable.
5	They've taught me a lot of law.
6	But it's a situation where these things
7	can become a nightmare for both sides. You
8	can't get service. Maybe it's an interstate
9	situation and, you know, it's just it can
10	be a nightmare.
11	And we've got to realize most of these
12	people are unrepresented. They're
13	representing themselves.
14	MR. BAILEY: Absolutely.
15	JUDGE BELL: And so we've just got to
16	I think we've got to be more sensitive to
17	their position.
18	MR. BAILEY: I agree. And, plus, such a
19	volume of cases. Judge Ford, how many cases
20	a week do you hear? Or a day.
21	JUDGE FORD: We're down to once a month
22	because we only we don't have enough
23	workers. We hired one, so now we have two.

1	But we do it once month.
2	But the real issue we have in DHR cases
3	is they're not represented. They have
4	unfortunately their relationship has broken
5	down to the point that perhaps mainly they do
6	not speak to each other.
7	So it's difficult in trying to formulate,
8	not only get the child support but
9	particularly in a county like mine where
10	employment opportunities are minimal, it's
11	not only getting the child support but also
12	trying to see what you can do to forward the
13	relationship to make insure the child has
14	parenting time with both parents. It's
15	it's very, very difficult. But we keep
16	trying.
17	JUDGE BELL: Well, the problem is with a
18	lot of these people is there's been a
19	paternity or child support action filed.
20	Child support is ordered but there's no
21	visitation ordered.
22	MR. BAILEY: Right.
23	JUDGE BELL: So when you come back on an

1	enforcement, then the paying party wants
2	visitation. They serve the assistant
3	district attorney who immediately files a
4	motion to quash, which is rightly so because
5	the state doesn't represent the custodial
б	parent, because that's an implied award of
7	custody.
8	And I don't know if there's anything we
9	can do about it, but it doesn't seem right

10 there has to be two separate actions if 11 you're going to award child support and 12 there's an implied award of custody to the 13 receiving party. There ought to be some 14 mechanism by which we could deal with the 15 parenting time for the other parent, the 16 paying party.

MR. BAILEY: I know the Office of Child Support and Enforcement was looking at this several years ago and trying to create a family friendly court in terms of a lot of issues.

Faye, have you received anything fromOCSE on it lately?

[
1	MS. NELSON: No, we have not. And as I
2	stated earlier, funding hit everybody pretty
3	hard. So any initiatives were not really
4	implemented within the past, you know, two or
5	three years.
6	There's a great deal of emphasis on the
7	child support program supporting fatherhood
8	programs
9	MR. BAILEY: Right.
10	MS. NELSON: where we're trying to
11	become more father friendly.
12	MR. BAILEY: Right.
13	MS. NELSON: And not just enforcement
14	alone. And there's been grant opportunities
15	that one of our counties here in the state
16	have taken advantage of but, you know, with
17	funding sources that would end at the end of
18	this month. So there's just been very little
19	opportunity to reach out and expand beyond
20	what we're doing right now.
21	And I know you all mentioned about, you
22	know, the number of cases on your docket and
23	all of that. But I will say that in

1	communicating with the county about this
2	hearing that's coming up next week, this
3	particular docket has over 70 cases on it.
4	MR. BAILEY: Right
5	MS. NELSON: So, you know, I will say
6	that the DHR staff are sensitive to, you
7	know, the case situation and that, you know,
8	I've assigned my field supervisor to also be
9	present to try to assist in trying to work
10	through whatever issues exist there. It's a
11	challenge for all the parties that's
12	involved.
13	JUDGE BELL: And when I say sensitive,
14	I'm talking about the courts too. I'm not
15	just talking to y'all. Everybody involved in
16	the process needs to be sensitive to the
17	emotions and the importance of this.
18	MR. BAILEY: Sure.
19	MS. DAVIS: One of the issues that came
20	out of her story and I'm sure it's not
21	anything you've not heard, but just sort of
22	thinking globally is her lack of success in
23	being able to ascertain the noncustodial's

1	actual income.
2	And I wondered if that's something we
3	could look at just focusing on the child
4	support aspect of it and not the other
5	aspects. But in terms of discovery.
6	And I'd like some input or discussion
7	from the practicing lawyers and the judges as
8	to is there becoming is it more difficult
9	to get discovery.
10	Do we need to try to think in terms of
11	more teeth in the child support area? Or
12	something different than you normally have
13	which is contempt powers?
14	Should we write in some specific
15	financial records that should be required,
16	like your as an example, prior two years
17	of your federal income tax?
18	Certainly people can cheat on their
19	income tax but, you know, that would be a
20	source of financial information
21	MR. BAILEY: Right.
22	MS. DAVIS: that's available. Would
23	that help the DHR people if they can say to

1	their clients you have to provide your
2	federal income tax or your state income tax?
3	Or can we put a requirement that if they
4	don't provide it, the court can or it can
5	be subpoenaed, that sort of thing?
6	MR. BAILEY: Well, to follow up on what
7	Judge Ford said, if you have unrepresented
8	litigants, I had a docket in Anniston when I
9	was refereeing of about 60 to 90 cases a day.
10	And when you have an unrepresented
11	litigant, discovery is an unknown issue.
12	MR. DAVIS: Right. Right.
13	MR. BAILEY: It's nonexistent.
14	JUDGE FORD: It does not exist.
15	MS. BUSH: Judge Gordon
16	MR. BAILEY: Yeah.
17	MS. BUSH: Rule 32 has a requirement
18	that people bring their income statement or
19	tax returns, but as a practical matter what
20	has been done is people, you know, a
21	layperson may not know the content of Rule
22	32. They usually don't.
23	And if they come to court without that,

1	if the judge continues the case and does not
2	set child support until that documentation is
3	provided, it may be set two or three months.
4	And you can, not always but you can end up
5	with a situation where maybe somebody chooses
6	not to bring their income just so that child
7	support can't be calculated.
8	MR. BAILEY: Exactly.
9	MS. BUSH: And eventually at some point,
10	you may have to impute minimum wage or just
11	take other testimony if you can't. So it may
12	be good to have some kind of
13	MS. DAVIS: Penalty if they don't.
14	MS. BUSH: penalty. But I do think
15	judges already have that contempt power. If
16	the judge enters an order and says custodial
17	or noncustodial parent, whoever it is, you
18	must bring your tax return, your state you
19	know, a statement of your income and they
20	don't provide it, the judge can still under
21	the existing law find that person in contempt
22	for failure to
23	MS. DAVIS: I think they can. But I was

1	wondering if we could be more creative if say
2	the person fails after ordered to do so bring
3	their income tax then the presumption is that
4	the other party, the amount that they suggest
5	is presumed, and the burden is on the other
6	party to to who has failed to bring the
7	documentation, then they have that they
8	obviously can get, then they have to overcome
9	the burden some other way.
10	MS. BUSH: So I'm going to repeat this
11	back to you.
12	MS. DAVIS: We're married.
13	MR. BAILEY: She's back to marrying
14	Billy.
15	MS. DAVIS: He's the bad guy.
16	MR. BAILEY: When was the ceremony?
17	JUDGE BELL: I have grown my beard.
18	MR. BAILEY: Are you registered at
19	Macy's?
20	MS. DAVIS: All right. He's the one
21	that's a non either way, noncustodial,
22	custodial parent. He's refusing to give
23	information. I think he's making a lot more

1	money than he has been paying. The child
2	support guidelines are currently based on the
3	amount that he was making four years ago. I
4	think he's making a lot more money.
5	And he's refusing to bring the
6	information. And I say he says he's
7	making 20,000. I say he's making 40,000.
8	If he after being ordered by the court to
9	provide the federal income tax documentation
10	or whatever documentation we decide, if he
11	refuses to do so, then there become a
12	presumption that the amount that I said is
13	the correct amount and he has to somehow
14	overcome that presumption.
15	MR. BAILEY: Right.
16	MS. DAVIS: Now, the court doesn't have
17	to find him in contempt. But, clearly, if
18	he's not making the 40,000, it's going to be
19	to his advantage to say, okay, I'm not making
20	20, I'm only making 30. But just try to
21	think sort of outside the box in terms of the
22	way that we can encourage people.
23	MR. BAILEY: Right.

1	MS. DAVIS: But I also recognize we need
2	to balance so the children are not adversely
3	affected by anything that we do.
4	JUDGE PALMER: Well, there was a study
5	recently I'm pretty sure, not a study but a
6	report that about 48 percent of the people in
7	the United States don't file taxes.
8	And then in this case the guy was
9	self-employed. And you've not been his wife
10	for four years. So now how do you know he's
11	been making 40,000 when you've not been
12	around him for four years?
13	Maybe it's his lifestyle or something
14	like that. And the court can take that into
15	consideration. You make only 20,000 but your
16	house note is a \$1000 a month and your car
17	note is \$1000 a month and your utilities are
18	\$1000 a month.
19	MS. DAVIS: But that's not it isn't
20	just because he goes out and buys a new house
21	or a new boat or whatever. What we're
22	looking at is just his income. So those
23	things don't really matter.

Freedom Court Reporting, Inc

877-373-3660

1	JUDGE PALMER: Well, the court gets to
2	look at the lifestyle though. He might say
3	he makes minimum wage but
4	MS. DAVIS: Oh, yes. Yes. I understand
5	what you're saying from the perspective
б	clearly.
7	MR. POLEMENI: I agree with, you know,
8	the way that Penny presented it sounds fair.
9	It puts the responsibility on that person to
10	come up with his taxes. Whether he files
11	taxes or not he has to come up with some
12	documentation of some sort that says this is
13	how much I make, and I only make this much
14	or, oh, I do make that much.
15	JUDGE BELL: Well, it ought to be on both
16	sides. We have to have both gross incomes.
17	MR. POLEMENI: Right.
18	MS. DAVIS: Exactly. Billy in this case
19	is the bad guy. He's he one that won't
20	provide the information. Whoever it doesn't
21	matter, custodial or noncustodial parent.
22	JUDGE BELL: I tell you how you might
23	could handle that from circuit to circuit is
1 you could get a standing order t	Lrom your
-------------------------------------	----------------
2 judges hold my calls if yo	ou could get a
3 standing order from the judges t	that would be
4 served with the pleading on a ru	ule nisi order
5 or whatever type it is that is o	directed to
6 both parties in a child support	case that you
7 are to bring to this hearing the	e following
8 documents.	
9 MR. BAILEY: Right.	
10 JUDGE BELL: Failure to do s	so may result
11 in a dismissal of this case or a	an entry of
12 default judgment against you on	the merits.
13 MR. BAILEY: That's a good :	idea.
14 JUDGE BELL: And that might	be something
15 that the child support people, w	we wouldn't
16 have any problem having a stand:	ing order like
17 that in Madison County. It would	ld help
18 everybody.	
19 MS. CAMPBELL: It would stop	o the delays
20 too. You wouldn't have to delay	y the case.
21 JUDGE BELL: That's where the	he
22 frustrations come from is the de	elays.
23 MR. BAILEY: For a lot of 1:	itigants,

1	facing a child support order delay is
2	wonderful to be honest about it.
3	JUDGE BELL: That's right.
4	MR. BAILEY: It's exactly what they're
5	looking for.
6	MR. POLEMENI: Back at the e-mail, the
7	woman that submitted that e-mail, but we've
8	been hearing that type of thing for the last
9	15 years. So men and women both.
10	And one of the issues that we have is the
11	contempt filings for not complying with
12	either visitation or, you know, parenting
13	time or coming up with documents or whatever
14	the case may be is some people get contempt
15	and are thrown in jail and other people don't
16	get contempt for for worse, you know, for
17	worse acts.
18	So have some what's the word I'm
19	looking for have some equity in applying
20	the contempt whether it be good or bad.
21	MR. BAILEY: All right. Any other
22	comments before we hear from the public?
23	Anybody else like to join in or add

1	something?
2	(No response.)
3	MR. BAILEY: All right. Let's hear from
4	our public members in the audience.
5	Do you have a list? Who has Bob, do
6	you have the list?
7	MR. MADDOX: I haven't passed it around.
8	MR. BAILEY: That's all right. All
9	right. I believe you were here, this
10	gentleman was here first.
11	If you'll tell us your name. And we'd
12	like to limit your comments to about 10
13	minutes
14	MR. CLARK: Okay.
15	MR. BAILEY: in that area if you could
16	since we have a lot of people here. Thank
17	you.
18	MR. CLARK: My name is Jim Clark. I'm
19	from Autauga County. Went through a divorce
20	in Tuscaloosa County. I got a couple of
21	points for Judge Bell.
22	On the tax exemptions, if it's mediated
23	and agreed upon in the divorce then

1	consideration should be the judge doesn't
2	have discretion later to change that.
3	JUDGE BELL: Oh, I agree with that. I'm
4	talking about contested.
5	MR. CLARK: Mine was just changed after
6	eight years of mediated settlement.
7	The other thing for you, Your Honor, is
8	young adult insurance needs to be considered.
9	Now that it's up to 26, is it only the parent
10	that carries the insurance that bears the
11	burden of paying for it? Or do both parents
12	share that burden?
13	JUDGE BELL: Well, that's a Bailey case
14	consideration.
15	MR. BAILEY: Absolutely.
16	JUDGE BELL: It's before the Supreme
17	Court right now. We can't that may be a
18	non-factor.
19	MS. DAVIS: Well, the child support
20	guidelines don't really cover that anyway.
21	That's outside the perimeter of our
22	MR. CLARK: But insurance is. And while
23	my children are in college even though they

1	are post-minority, I still have the burden of
2	sharing expenses with my ex-spouse.
3	So when she graduates from college in 10
4	days, she doesn't have a job. Doesn't have
5	insurance. We're going to carry insurance
6	until she gets a job.
7	But the question is, you know, I've got
8	an ex-spouse who is willing to do that for
9	this child. But I know all parents aren't.
10	The second thing is, Judge Palmer,
11	visitation is mentioned throughout Rule 32.
12	JUDGE PALMER: Is it? Well, thank you
13	for pointing that out.
14	MR. CLARK: And as the noncustodial
15	parent, that is important. Because I get
16	that thrown at me all the time. The judge
17	says you get to visit once a month.
18	I say these are my kids. You know, they
19	live in Tuscaloosa, and I still have to drive
20	two hours to visit my kids.
21	The main thing I would like to bring up
22	though is military retirement.
23	MR. BAILEY: Okay.

1	MR. CLARK: I had a judge in 2011 mandate
2	that military retirement for myself on a 1099
3	is income countable toward child support.
4	But she specifically mandated that it was not
5	income for my ex-spouse even though she
б	received the same 1099 that I did.
7	We asked for reconsideration. Two weeks
8	later I get a reconsideration that says no,
9	my ruling stands.
10	So I appealed at great expense. It went
11	to the appellate court. The appellate court
12	affirmed. No opinion.
13	Thirty days later in Santiago v. Santiago
14	that just came out in March, they defined
15	military retirement as income for both
16	parties.
17	So now at expense to me I've got to go
18	back to court to have that judge reconsider
19	based upon a new case something that I've
20	talked to lawyers in Washington, D.C.
21	I've talked to Mark Sullivan who wrote
22	the military divorce handbook. He said, Jim,
23	she was wrong. And I said, well, it's going

1	to cost me money to prove she was wrong.
2	And now that I've got a case and case
3	precedent by the appellate court, I can go
4	back and fight for that to be changed.
5	But that's it's not in Rule 32. Rule
6	32 to me is specific. It says any source of
7	income. But yet the judge said since it was
8	divided as property at divorce it counts as
9	property for your ex-spouse.
10	And my attorneys fought and said, well,
11	then it's property for Mr. Clark. And the
12	judge says no. It's income for him.
13	So I'm not asking Rule 32 gross income be
14	defined any better because I think it's
15	great. But I'm asking for a note to be put
16	in there that military retirement is income
17	for both parties.
18	MR. BAILEY: Let me share with the
19	committee the cite of Santiago v Santiago.
20	That's the case you're referring to?
21	MR. CLARK: Yes, sir.
22	MR. BAILEY: March 8th, 2013?
23	MR. CLARK: Yes, sir.

MR.	POLEMENI:	Yes.
•		

1	MR. POLEMENI: Yes.
2	MR. BAILEY: For the committee members
3	that would like to take a look at it, 2013
4	Westlaw 856670 Al.Civ.App. Thanks. Go
5	ahead.
6	MR. CLARK: It's also on Lexis, sir.
7	MR. BAILEY: I don't want to use my time
8	against your time. Go ahead. I'm sorry.
9	MR. CLARK: And I just have one question.
10	And I'm not sure. But it's for the DHR
11	folks.
12	I paid my child support within the first
13	10 days of the month for seven years. And
14	when I went back to court for a modification,
15	the judge mandated that I pay my child
16	support through Alabama Child Support
17	Services.
18	So now when I pay my child support in the
19	first 10 days of the month, my former spouse
20	doesn't get that money until the 19th or the
21	20th. And I get texts and phone calls, where
22	is my child support, check my child support.
23	Why am I being forced to pay for your

1	
1	service that to me doesn't benefit the
2	relationship with my former spouse? Is there
3	anywhere that I can't find that allows the
4	judge to mandate that for someone who has
5	never missed a child support payment?
6	MS. BUSH: Well, federal law requires
7	MS. NELSON: Go ahead now. You got it.
8	MS. BUSH: Federal law requires that
9	every child support order has an income
10	withholding order.
11	MR. CLARK: Okay.
12	MS. BUSH: Federal law also requires the
13	office who is holding the orders go through
14	one central disbursement unit for every
15	single income withholding order. Now, we
16	have state law that corresponds with that
17	federal law.
18	But that is why your child support order
19	will have an income withholding in it. The
20	judge has discretion to not issue that income
21	withholding order to your employer, but at
22	any time it can be issued.
23	MR. CLARK: Okay. Ms. Bush, in my case

1	I'm retired from the Air Force. I'm laid off
2	from a job. Been laid off since 2002. Went
3	back in the Air Force. Retired in 2009. I'm
4	in school right now.
5	My only income is retirement. I write a
6	check every month to Alabama Child Support
7	Services. It doesn't come out of my paycheck
8	because she gets 35 percent of my retirement,
9	and child support would exceed the allowable
10	government withholding.
11	So I have to write a check to Alabama
12	Child Support Offices when I would rather
13	write it to her.
14	MS. BUSH: And you're doing that
15	according to the judge's order?
16	MR. CLARK: The judge dictated in our
17	modification that I pay this service. She
18	gave me the address and said this is where it
19	goes to.
20	MR. POLEMENI: That goes back to Social
21	Security Act, Title IV-D and how every state
22	has to do that in order to get that Title
23	IV-D funding to get the

1MS. BUSH: No. I mean, that's a judge's2order. That's the judge's order that he pay3directly to us.4MR. POLEMENI: I understand that. But5that's being funneled down.6MS. BUSH: Was DHR involved in your case?7MR. CLARK: No.8MS. DAVIS: What you might do when you go9back anyway is ask to let you pay her.10MR. CLARK: We asked in the appeal we11asked for reconsideration.12MS. DAVIS: Well, you're going back now13anyway14MR. CLARK: Yes, I am.15MS. DAVIS: so you might as well ask
 directly to us. MR. POLEMENI: I understand that. But that's being funneled down. MS. BUSH: Was DHR involved in your case? MR. CLARK: No. MS. DAVIS: What you might do when you go back anyway is ask to let you pay her. MR. CLARK: We asked in the appeal we asked for reconsideration. MS. DAVIS: Well, you're going back now anyway MR. CLARK: Yes, I am.
 MR. POLEMENI: I understand that. But that's being funneled down. MS. BUSH: Was DHR involved in your case? MR. CLARK: No. MS. DAVIS: What you might do when you go back anyway is ask to let you pay her. MR. CLARK: We asked in the appeal we asked for reconsideration. MS. DAVIS: Well, you're going back now anyway MR. CLARK: Yes, I am.
 5 that's being funneled down. 6 MS. BUSH: Was DHR involved in your case? 7 MR. CLARK: No. 8 MS. DAVIS: What you might do when you go 9 back anyway is ask to let you pay her. 10 MR. CLARK: We asked in the appeal we 11 asked for reconsideration. 12 MS. DAVIS: Well, you're going back now 13 anyway 14 MR. CLARK: Yes, I am.
6 MS. BUSH: Was DHR involved in your case? 7 MR. CLARK: No. 8 MS. DAVIS: What you might do when you go 9 back anyway is ask to let you pay her. 10 MR. CLARK: We asked in the appeal we 11 asked for reconsideration. 12 MS. DAVIS: Well, you're going back now 13 anyway 14 MR. CLARK: Yes, I am.
 7 MR. CLARK: No. 8 MS. DAVIS: What you might do when you go 9 back anyway is ask to let you pay her. 10 MR. CLARK: We asked in the appeal we 11 asked for reconsideration. 12 MS. DAVIS: Well, you're going back now 13 anyway 14 MR. CLARK: Yes, I am.
 MS. DAVIS: What you might do when you go back anyway is ask to let you pay her. MR. CLARK: We asked in the appeal we asked for reconsideration. MS. DAVIS: Well, you're going back now anyway MR. CLARK: Yes, I am.
 9 back anyway is ask to let you pay her. 10 MR. CLARK: We asked in the appeal we 11 asked for reconsideration. 12 MS. DAVIS: Well, you're going back now 13 anyway 14 MR. CLARK: Yes, I am.
10MR. CLARK: We asked in the appeal we11asked for reconsideration.12MS. DAVIS: Well, you're going back now13anyway14MR. CLARK: Yes, I am.
11 asked for reconsideration. 12 MS. DAVIS: Well, you're going back now 13 anyway 14 MR. CLARK: Yes, I am.
12MS. DAVIS: Well, you're going back now13anyway14MR. CLARK: Yes, I am.
13 anyway 14 MR. CLARK: Yes, I am.
14 MR. CLARK: Yes, I am.
15 MS. DAVIS: so you might as well ask
16 again.
17 JUDGE PALMER: Mr. Clark, how I was
18 taught as an attorney and how I was taught as
19 a judge, is if the income withholding order
20 will not be served, that's fine. We
21 recognize that all the time.
22 If it comes in front of me and I have to
23 try it, I don't have any option except to

1	order an income withholding order.
2	Now, if I'm wrong, Mr. Bell, or anybody
3	please let me know. But as attorneys
4	that's that's what we were taught
5	JUDGE FORD: Correct.
6	JUDGE PALMER: from day one in law
7	school.
8	MR. CLARK: Judge Palmer, neither party
9	brought it up. Neither party wanted this.
10	And the judge put it on the order.
11	MS. CAMPBELL: It's not DHR or it doesn't
12	sound like it's DHR. It sounds like it's the
13	for the payment center.
14	MR. CLARK: That's what I
15	MS. CAMPBELL: The central site. For the
16	payment center is paying for the, if it's not
17	a DHR case, it does go to ACD which is
18	disbursement division.
19	And she has the option I believe to
20	either get payroll she can have it
21	deposited directly into her account or she
22	can get a check. Is that correct?
23	MR. CLARK: She does get it directly in

1	her account. It's just the delay that
2	bothers her.
3	MS. CAMPBELL: That's not DHR. That's
4	ACD.
5	MR. CLARK: It's just the delay that
6	causes her financial concern. Because I pay
7	it about the same time. I pay it in the
8	first 10 days.
9	But in talking to child support, they
10	said Mr. Clark, you can pay it on the 25th.
11	It's okay.
12	MS. CAMPBELL: That's why the delay is in
13	the mail. It's mailed to Montgomery to ACD
14	and they have to process it is what it sounds
15	like it is.
16	MR. POLEMENI: It is the Feds, not
17	Alabama.
18	MR. CLARK: But that's not mandatory I
19	take it, the judge can do that?
20	MR. POLEMENI: No. From the Feds it is
21	mandatory from what I'm read.
22	MS. CAMPBELL: Only the wage withholding
23	orders are mandatory. It goes to the same

1	payment center.
2	Now, if DHR is involved, it is going to
3	go to the same payment center because and
4	then come to us.
5	But if DHR is not involved, the only
6	thing that's mandatory is the payment going
7	to the payment center at a central site in
8	Montgomery.
9	JUDGE BELL: Unless the parties have an
10	agreement otherwise, Judge Palmer is exactly
11	right. That's my understanding of the law.
12	MR. BAILEY: Do you have anything else?
13	MR. CLARK: No. I just wanted to thank
14	you. This is the first time I've been here.
15	I think this is great. Because I think Rule
16	32 is a little vague in some areas, and
17	you're addressing the areas I'm concerned
18	with. Thank you.
19	MR. BAILEY: Glad to have you. Thank you
20	for coming. And we've got quite a few
21	experts in this group.
22	JUDGE FORD: Depending on what you're
23	calling an expert.

1 MR. BAILEY: Well, in my court somebody	
2 coming from 50 miles out of town with a	
3 briefcase. And I have mine.	
4 Okay. Who is our next speaker from the	
5 public, please?	
6 MR. PASCHAL: I'll go ahead. My name is	;
7 Kenneth Paschal. As I look around the room,	
8 I know quite a few of the faces here. I'm	
9 with the Alabama Family Rights Association.	
10 I'm the director of governmental affairs for	
11 our organization.	
12 And our goal is to change the family law	IS
13 in Alabama that forces the child to hate one	,
14 parent, one-half of themselves, and also	
15 places them in a disadvantage in society. A	r
16 child needs both mom and dad.	
17 So we kind of echo what Mike mentioned	
18 earlier, shared parenting. That's not an	
19 issue before this committee, but it will be	
20 resolved as we meet later on next month with	L
21 our legislators and meet with Mr. Maddox.	
22 But the laws will be changed come Januar	уY
23 of 2014 where Alabama will be mandated as a	

1	starting point to treat parents as if they're
2	fit.
3	For example in 2011, there's over 20,000
4	unwed custody cases in Alabama, unwed births.
5	That's just a lot of kids coming through the
6	courtrooms. 20,000.
7	There's also 21,000 divorces from one
8	child in their family to seven kids. Over
9	\$40,000 kids each year coming through our
10	system.
11	And we talk about child support here. I
12	think I'm sorry. Reading the meeting
13	transcript from February, I think the only
14	training, a lack of, 40,000 kids deserve
15	better than what they're receiving here in
16	the State of Alabama.
17	So it starts with training. It starts
18	with I like your recommendation about
19	creating forms to share with the courts,
20	share with the family law attorneys, share
21	with DHR so we have at least some type of
22	procedure mechanism as a starting point.
23	But right now this letter that you

1	received, I have about 20 in my e-mail box.
2	We deal with 67 counties. We don't just deal
3	with the Tuskegee area. We don't only deal
4	with Mobile. We deal with 67 counties. And
5	our kids are being destroyed because of no
6	training.
7	I had the opportunity to serve our
8	country for 21 and a half years in the
9	military. But for the last three years I
10	continue to serve our I volunteer my time,
11	no money and travel through each county. I
12	haven't made it to Mobile yet, but I'm
13	coming. We have to address this issue there.
14	But this letter from this young lady
15	is is it's occurring everywhere in our
16	counties.
17	You mention about how to determine
18	income. We have some judges say just give me
19	an affidavit. Well, they put any number on a
20	signed affidavit. That don't mean anything.
21	Well, a young lady in McCalla was evicted
22	from her home, four kids. And the judge just
23	turned her head.

1	So training, we need we need to look
2	at our training. I don't think we can do it
3	in this forum either. But in subcommittees,
4	it's great to hear that.
5	One thing I would recommend on this
6	subcommittee since it's dealing with the
7	healthcare, health insurance, select someone
8	with a health background, in that field.
9	I know we have a, Dr. Roy, he was
10	selected by the governor on two different
11	healthcare committees before. He might be a
12	good candidate. That's one thing I would
13	recommend on the subcommittee is have someone
14	in the field.
15	And speaking about health committee or
16	health insurance, I had the opportunity to
17	spend time on the floor here with our
18	legislators when they addressed our health
19	insurance. And I asked that they go back and
20	look at the intent of the bill.
21	The intent is not to discriminate whether
22	you're divorced or whether it's a child
23	support or not. That was not the intent of

1	this bill. The intent was not just for the
2	federal government. The intent was for all
3	citizens. So we do have to address that.
4	You know, if you'd like to know more
5	information one-on-one, I'll be happy to
6	share that because I work with our
7	legislators one-on-one about why that
8	Amendment VI was put on the, why they voted
9	for it, 58 percent.
10	And it was not because it was not to
11	alleviate or discriminate against our kids.
12	You know, if we gonna have mandated health
13	insurance, let's do it for every child. I
14	think every child should be given the
15	opportunity, same opportunity. It's just
16	something to look at there.
17	And as I look around at the committee,
18	the question when I first walked into the
19	room, I was like I wonder how many of you
20	will go to bed tonight and think about what
21	we're discussing, how many of you is a
22	noncustodial parent?
23	So it's good to see the public here

1	because the decisions you make or you
2	recommend upstairs to the chief justices is
3	going to impact these people. It's going to
4	impact another 240,000 that's out there.
5	So but how many members on how many
6	members are noncustodial parents? If we
7	don't have any, I would recommend that we
8	possibly look at that, sir.
9	JUDGE BELL: Right.
10	MS. DAVIS: Michael.
11	MR. POLEMENI: Judge fits that bill.
12	JUDGE BELL: And I have been too.
13	MR. PASCHAL: Again, as we grow younger
14	and our lives change, what is important to
15	us? So once again, it's something to think
16	about.
17	Title IV-D funding, I had the opportunity
18	to go to Washington, D.C., in February and
19	talk about this issue. I asked them to
20	temporarily stop the Title IV-D funding to
21	Alabama until we fix this problem for our
22	kids.
23	I'm heading back in probably September.

1	Hopefully, when I go back, we'll have some
2	information I can share with them saying in
3	Alabama we're making progress.
4	We're receiving federal money, but
5	there's criteria, there's guidelines that we
6	must follow. Not, not half but all.
7	And one of those requirements is
8	parenting plans. We're receiving federal
9	money for that. So I will probably head back
10	to D.C., next month. And, hopefully, we will
11	have a means to hopefully, I can give them
12	this news. But we have to we're accepting
13	federal money, but we're not using it for its
14	intended purpose.
15	But overall I think that training, I
16	think I think we hit it on the nose,
17	training. If you need any input, our
18	organization input, please let us know. You
19	got the Judge Bell, I had an opportunity
20	to sit with him a couple of years ago. Great
21	guy, you know. No. He is. I heard a lot of
22	bad things about him. But I sat down with
23	him. And I was like judge is a good guy.

1	You know, I say that. But even DHR, you
2	hear all kind of war stories about DHR. But,
3	you know, DHR, you're doing the best you can
4	with the hand you're dealt with.
5	I appreciate the committee, and I
б	appreciate what you do. And we want to get
7	the public in here more often. Thanks for
8	your time.
9	MR. BAILEY: Thank you for being here.
10	And we always make ourselves available to
11	hear from the public at every meeting.
12	That's standard operating procedure for our
13	committee. We appreciate you being here.
14	Thanks for your time.
15	MR. POLEMENI: Mr. Paschal, since you're
16	going back to D.C., ask for some funding to
17	fund that study that we need.
18	MR. BAILEY: All right. Any other
19	members of the public?
20	MR. LANDRY: Boyd Landry, Elmore County.
21	I thought the a couple of things. One is
22	as it relates to tax deductions, the IRS is
23	fairly clear as to how it determines tax

1	deductions. And why the state should get
2	involved in that I'm not really sure.
3	But the IRS on the federal side says if
4	you provide 50 percent plus one, then you
5	should get tax deduction. And now, the
6	state would have an interest in terms of the
7	state tax deduction, but with that respect on
8	the federal side.
9	And I think I think to solve another
10	issue that was brought up today would
11	involves the gross income and people not
12	bringing the documentation, standing orders
13	that Judge Bell mentioned.
14	And I think that the CS41 and the CS42
15	don't follow the way Rule 32 is laid out.
16	And I bring that point up because I don't
17	think that if if there's not a definition,
18	a 1040 says income from wages. It says
19	non-wage income. It says gifts. It says
20	gambling. I mean, on down the line there is
21	a list of things on a 1040 that you have to
22	fill out.
23	Well, on a CS41 and a CS42, it just asks

1	for that one number. And so if you want to
2	lie, you can do it. But it's a little harder
3	to lie if it says wage earnings, gifts from
4	other parties, you know, non-wage income, et
5	cetera, et cetera, et cetera, on down the
6	line, and you've got to physically go in
7	there and put numbers.
8	Then I think it becomes a little bit
9	clearer to both the court and the parties,
10	you know, as to what, you know, people
11	granted people will still lie. But I think
12	by and large it lays out for the court and it
13	lays out for the parties what's required and
14	the documentation necessary to prove those
15	numbers.
16	And I think if we work in that direction
17	I think it will become a little bit clearer
18	for the court and then the court can decide.
19	You know, Judge Judge Palmer brought
20	up a case. I was involved I'm involved in
21	a case where the custodial parent didn't even
22	bring any documentation, and the court
23	ordered child support and said, oh, well,
1	

1	your numbers are right.
2	And I'm having to bring it up and say,
3	you know, you can't do that. You've got to
4	have something to to substantiate a
5	number. You can't just take an affidavit
6	without anything.
7	And that's the problem I think that a lot
8	of people are are dealing with is, you
9	know, because that because the custodial
10	parent is represented by a lawyer probably as
11	a result of the child support that I give her
12	and I'm not. Therefore, they must be right.
13	Well, those of you that are lawyers and
14	judges in this room, lawyers can lie in
15	Alabama as long as they are advocating on
16	behalf of their client.
17	JUDGE FORD: They're not suppose to.
18	MR. LANDRY: Hey
19	MR. POLEMENI: It's been done.
20	MR. LANDRY: come on, judge.
21	JUDGE BELL: Are you saying they can? Or
22	they do?
23	MR. LANDRY: They can, and they do.

1	JUDGE BELL: Well, I tell lawyers in
2	every single case, listen. I appreciate what
3	y'all do. I used to be one. But what y'all
4	say is not evidence.
5	I'm going to make my decision based upon
6	the testimony under oath and the documents
7	that are admitted into evidence. And that's
8	how it's
9	MS. MOORE: That's right.
10	MR. LANDRY: suppose to be. But then
11	that tenus thing.
12	JUDGE BELL: Ore tenus.
13	MR. LANDRY: Ore tenus doesn't get
14	doesn't get turned on, you know, on appeal
15	because of ore tenus. But, you know, that's
16	the highest burden you have to jump over.
17	It's it's higher than a tennis net for
18	lack for a good description. And so, you
19	know, I think those are things that need to
20	be be looked at.
21	And on the health insurance question,
22	Judge Bell, why should I be responsible to
23	pay for the health insurance of my ex-spouse,

1	or a portion thereof when I can't afford to
2	have insurance on myself?
3	JUDGE BELL: Well, that's the principle.
4	That's what I'm talking about. That's the
5	basic unfairness built into it.
6	MR. LANDRY: And I think that's something
7	that really needs to be addressed and looked
8	at. Because, you know, we're about to get
9	into whole new territory when the federal
10	government starts to dictate on health
11	insurance. And I think that and I want to
12	echo the same comments I made back in
13	February. I think training is the absolutely
14	imperative thing.
15	MR. BAILEY: Right.
16	MR. LANDRY: I think training needs to go
17	from DHR level to circuit level to judge
18	level.
19	MR. BAILEY: Absolutely.
20	MR. LANDRY: And even up to appellate
21	level.
22	You know, with all due respect, Justice
23	Stuart, there are not many of you that are

1	family court judges.
2	JUSTICE STUART: That's true.
3	MR. LANDRY: And you're sitting there,
4	and you might have a clerk that has an
5	interest in family law but maybe not. And,
6	you know, decisions are being written and
7	decisions are being handed down by people who
8	have no experience or very little experience.
9	And live in our shoes for a day or two
10	and you might find it's a totally different
11	world in what we have to deal with as
12	noncustodial parents and custodial parents.
13	As a noncustodial parent, there's no
14	services for us. There's nothing. We have
15	to do it all on our own.
16	I know the DHR ladies are perking up, but
17	let's face it. Let's face it. It's not in
18	your best interest to have child support
19	lowered. So you're not going to jump through
20	hoops to help somebody who wants to get their
21	child support lowered like you would to
22	collect child support.
23	MS. CAMPBELL: I do disagree with that.

1	MR. BAILEY: I'm going to give rebuttal
2	time. Don't worry.
3	MR. LANDRY: I've sort of been there.
4	I've seen this live and in-person and in
5	action.
6	MR. BAILEY: If I could, let me sum up
7	one of your points for you, and correct me if
8	I misstate it.
9	You're suggesting that we take another
10	look at the CS41 and 42 forms to further
11	specifically identify income?
12	MR. LANDRY: Yeah. I think I think
13	you would find that you might have an
14	opportunity to address some of these issues
15	with people not bringing the necessary
16	documentation.
17	MR. BAILEY: Right.
18	MR. LANDRY: And you might want to say,
19	you know, for wages you have to bring your
20	last two months check stubs, you know, and
21	the tops from all income sources. You got to
22	bring the last two years of tax deductions.
23	If you receive interest from some amount of
1	

1	money you got as an inheritance, you know,
2	that's considered income. You've got to
3	bring your 1099s for those and on down the
4	line.
5	MR. BAILEY: Right.
6	MR. LANDRY: Let's face it. If you just
7	bring a W-2 and you got a raise from the
8	state, it's not going to show up until, you
9	know. That's why you got to have the current
10	pay stubs.
11	JUDGE BELL: A raise from the state?
12	What state do you work for?
13	JUDGE FORD: That's not judges.
14	MR. BAILEY: Is there anything else?
15	MR. LANDRY: No. I think I want to
16	I think it's a tremendous undertaking to
17	address these these issues. But I think
18	by and large you can alleviate a lot of
19	trouble if you if we got into some shared
20	parenting issues statewide.
21	I think there are certain pockets where
22	that goes on around the state, but it doesn't
23	happen everywhere.

1	And that's that's principally, you
2	know, the biggest gripe I hear from family
3	court judges, and I know several of them.
4	The biggest gripe I hear from family court
5	judges is our dockets are just littered with
6	cases. And I think that part of that would
7	be alleviated with training and things we've
8	already discussed.
9	MR. BAILEY: Thank you very much for
10	being with us. I think we have some rebuttal
11	from this side of the room. Jennifer.
12	MS. NELSON: I'll be quiet.
13	MS. BUSH: I just wanted to say that DHR
14	does offer help with modifications. And you
15	can come to our office, and your local county
16	office.
17	If you have a problem in your particular
18	case, it's no this is not just custodial
19	parent, but anyone who has a problem with a
20	DHR case, we have an internal hearing process
21	where they can request a review. There's
22	several levels of review if you think your
23	particular case

1	MR. BAILEY: Right.
2	MS. BUSH: something is not being done
3	that should be done, or they're doing
4	something they didn't do.
5	It doesn't have anything to do with the
6	courts. It's just an internal quality
7	assurance process that we have.
8	MR. BAILEY: Right.
9	JUSTICE STUART: I just wanted to make a
10	comment so the record would be correct or at
11	least clear.
12	MR. BAILEY: Yeah.
13	JUSTICE STUART: The backside of CS41
14	does state all of these different types of
15	income that are included in income.
16	The front side does provide those to be
17	itemized separately as employment income,
18	self-employment income, other employment
19	related income, and other non-employment
20	related income, which is like the gambling
21	and the gifts and that type of thing.
22	MR. BAILEY: Right.
23	JUSTICE STUART: The form requires that

1	documentation be maintained, not produced for
2	court. And that's something we might want to
3	consider is requiring that it be produced.
4	I believe the reason that it says at the
5	present time maintained is I don't think we
6	all wanted all of this put in the court file.
7	MR. BAILEY: That's exactly right.
8	JUSTICE STUART: I still say we don't
9	want it in the court file. But we could
10	consider requiring that it be produced at the
11	hearing and a copy provided to the opposing
12	party.
13	MR. BAILEY: That's a great idea. Good
14	idea. Okay. Any other members from the
15	public? Oh, wait a second. You wanted to
16	make a comment.
17	MS. DREES: Yes. Thank you. My name is
18	Angela Drees, and I'm going to be speaking
19	with the AOC lawyer about a client later
20	today. So I just wanted to make two brief
21	points.
22	One of the things that I wanted to do, I
23	tried to get her to come herself but she's a

100

1	victim of the court system. And so when she
2	tells her story, she can't tell it without
3	getting emotional. And it's not very
4	effective because the message gets lost in
5	the emotion.
6	But I did want to say it's a case
7	involving a lady named Angela Brasfield.
8	It's a Tuscaloosa case. She was a pediatric
9	nurse. And she had two very small children
10	ages two and four.
11	Her husband was having an affair. And
12	then he went to court in Tuscaloosa where he
13	was able to obtain custody of these two young
14	children away from Ms. Brasfield.
15	When the child support issue kicked in
16	and I guess Ms. Davis touched on this when we
17	were talking about how do you find out about
18	people's income.
19	Well, in this particular case and it
20	happens every day the child support
21	discovery process was so aggressive in her
22	case that her employer was constantly getting
23	subpoenaed and deposed to find the
1	

1	information that they thought or they alleged
2	that she was hiding. So she kept losing her
3	jobs, and so was unable to pay her child
4	support.
5	And then when she was incarcerated at the
6	time that she couldn't pay her child support
7	because she kept losing her jobs, she came
8	out of jail and committed suicide. She
9	basically said that was it.
10	She was not allowed to see her children,
11	and she couldn't pay her child support. And
12	she knew her next option was going back to
13	jail. So she took her own life.
14	So I know these committees, you know, are
15	often conducted in a vacuum, but I did want
16	to bring up the issue because the mother
17	would have been here, but she can't tell that
18	story without getting emotional.
19	The other point I would like to make, and
20	I think this is more in line of why we're
21	looking for solutions here. I would
22	challenge you to think and I'm a trial
23	lawyer by trade. I've practiced civil

1	litigation for 15 years. I've tried cases in
2	almost every court in the State of Alabama
3	and also in other states from probate,
4	district court, all the way up to federal
5	court.
6	What I would challenge you to is to think
7	about one day perhaps abolishing the family
8	court system altogether. And I'll tell you
9	why.
10	Litigation and court is an adversarial
11	proceeding by its very natural. And in a
12	case like this where the winner takes all,
13	there is no resolving cases. The ultimate
14	solution is winner takes all. Somebody is
15	going to win those kids. Someone is going to
16	lose them. And that's what the litigant
17	looks at when they see these cases.
18	I would challenge you to say that a court
19	system, an adversarial court proceeding is
20	the worst place to address what we've already
21	addressed as complex human emotions.
22	You know, you have a car wreck case. You
23	may be somewhat emotional about it, but no
1 one is taking your children, okay? That i	S
--	-----
2 not the kind of case that should be put in	an
3 adversarial proceeding.	
4 In addition, we have entire industries	
5 set up that do a much better job dealing w	ith
6 these complex emotional proceedings rather	
7 than adversarial court proceeding.	
8 Our churches do a better job of dealin	g
9 with these issues. Our psychology industr	У
10 does a better job in dealing with these	
11 issues. And even right across the street	is
12 an entire division on alternative dispute	
13 resolution.	
14 And if you ask Judith Keegan right now	''
15 she says most judges don't even go into	
16 mediation because the lawyers are too busy	
17 making money off of these types of cases is	n
18 domestic court.	
19 Now, I know that makes everybody	
20 uncomfortable because we all have to make	a
21 living. And I have no problem with that.	
22 But if 40,000 kids are suffering as a	
23 result of this adversarial proceeding a	nd,

[
1	again, there's no way to get out of the court
2	system. If one litigant wants to keep filing
3	and filing and filing, then you're in court
4	and you're in court perpetually, even now
5	beyond the age of majority.
6	So think about what we're doing. If you
7	keep doing what you've always done, you're
8	going to keep getting what you've always got.
9	I would challenge the entire panel to say
10	less intervention, not more. Reroute your
11	resources. Obviously, you don't have enough
12	on any single level. I haven't heard one
13	person say today I've got enough money to get
14	my job done. Not one single person.
15	So instead of putting more people in the
16	court system including 40,000 of Alabama's
17	children who are going to eventually need
18	jobs and be in positions where we're going to
19	need them we don't need them in jail. We
20	don't need they dead on drugs. We need them
21	productive Alabama citizens.
22	We're last in the country on almost every
23	issue. I mean, if we don't start looking at

1	it from that perspective, I think we're
2	cheating ourselves. And we have can coffee
3	all day long, but we're not getting to the
4	root of the problem.
5	MS. DAVIS: Can I make a comment
6	regarding her last comment in terms of the
7	how we should approach the family law area in
8	general?
9	MR. BAILEY: Yeah.
10	MS. DAVIS: This is not adversarial.
11	Some of, you know, some of you may not know.
12	The legislature just passed the Collaborative
13	Law Act this last legislative session. And
14	it's for the family law area.
15	It does not become effective until
16	January 1st, 2014. The Supreme Court
17	recently appointed a committee, or is in the
18	process of appointing a committee on rules
19	relating to that.
20	But that will be another alternative. We
21	already have mediation that can happen in the
22	family law area. But the collaborative law
23	was just recently passed to allow that. And

1	it was exclusively for the family law areas
2	and family law and also probate with
3	guardianships and things like that, which
4	hopefully will give an alternative.
5	Now, it does require both parties. It's
6	voluntary. So both parties have to agree to
7	do it. But it is a collaborative process.
8	Then can opt in the collaborative process
9	or opt out at any point they want to. But it
10	does provide a non-adversarial opportunity if
11	the parents choose to go in that direction.
12	MR. BAILEY: Good point. Thank you very
13	much. Thank you. Yes, sir.
14	MR. LLOYD: Good morning. My name is
15	Warren Lloyd, and I'm a resident of Jefferson
16	County. I'm going to try to be very mindful
17	of my words as well as my emotions. High
18	conflict divorce. Ten-year-old boy. I
19	haven't seen him in five years.
20	So moving forward, there are many, many
21	comments that I've made some good notes.
22	Some of the notes.
23	It started off with a visitation issue.

8	
1	And then it turned into a paperwork shuffle.
2	And then it turned into the got to going
3	like a dog chasing a tail. That lasted about
4	a year and a half.
5	That party didn't provide paperwork when
6	it comes to income or financial statements or
7	income because they weren't asking for
8	attorney's fees because they already had
9	millions in their back pocket. I didn't.
10	So as it turns out, fast forward three
11	years from there, it's been five years, and I
12	still have not seen my son.
13	I have changed careers. Been with two
14	companies in 27 years on straight commission.
15	Income is down. I'm a 1099 employee. I
16	probably drive, I don't know, 34,000 miles a
17	month. And gas is what?
18	Part of my territory is the panhandle
19	territory, the panhandle of Florida. Gas in
20	Pensacola, Fort Walton, that area is 3.69 a
21	gallon. We see 3.21 up here, but it's 3.69 a
22	gallon there.
23	So when you look at these calculations

1	for child support, there has to be some
2	allowance for the judges and courts to
3	deviate from those guidelines. And I'm just
4	throwing gas in there.
5	I spend 800 to a thousand to \$1100 a
6	month on gas, and that doesn't include
7	overnight expense. So it's just a but
8	meanwhile, I have the receipts. I have all
9	the receipts that I have. I got a ton.
10	Probably some of them in my wallet right now
11	if you want to challenge me. I got a copy of
12	two or three receipts in my wallet right now.
13	I would like for there to be some sort
14	of in this global family law thesis that I
15	heard about was that there be some some
16	consideration given towards allowance when it
17	comes to expenses for a self-employed person.
18	Because prior to being, you know, being a
19	stockbroker for 27 years straight commission
20	with two companies, I was a commissioned
21	employee. I had no idea what outside sales
22	was about.
23	Am I happier with it now? Yes, I am. Am

1	I making less money now? Unfortunately, yes,
2	I am.
3	But and I would like to go back and
4	address the court regarding this. Maybe have
5	some consideration given towards reduction of
6	child support because of the expenses. I
7	mean, I have a daughter in college also. A
8	junior at UAB, nursing student. I mean,
9	that's extra expenses there.
10	But the other side has no need for the
11	income. There's no earned income. There's
12	nothing but dividend interest and tax-free
13	income from a portfolio of securities. I'll
14	never know that. We'll never get to that
15	because that's not required on her part.
16	But on my part I am required to show that
17	information to have a reduction. But I can't
18	afford to go back to the court and apply for
19	that unless I go to the DHR, one of you. And
20	I don't know if I can do that outside of my
21	attorney.
22	MS. BUSH: No. You can have an attorney
23	and still come to us. Our DHR attorneys do

1	not represent the custodial parent or
2	noncustodial parent. You can still keep your
3	own attorney and come to us.
4	MR. LLOYD: And mediation, I would agree
5	that medication is just is just another
6	way for the legal for the institution,
7	this institution when it comes to attorneys,
8	child psychologists, the guardian ad litems,
9	just for everybody to put more money in their
10	pocket. I'm convinced of that. I really am.
11	Absolutely.
12	And I think mediation is just another way
13	for continuances to take place. I mean, I've
14	had five years of continuances. So I know.
15	I'm mindful of this. Because one of the
16	interested parties in this case is also in
17	this room. I'm being very mindful of my
18	words.
19	And I think perhaps group training would
20	be a good training both for the judges and
21	DHR as well as the new judges here. And the
22	training, if there had been training, perhaps
23	we might not have had the problem we're

1	experiencing up in Jefferson County right
2	now.
3	And, finally, the last thing was I heard
4	the word "co-parenting" posed to use. I
5	would just love that. That would be a very
6	nice word to use in these divorce decrees to
7	soften the term of the visitation.
8	Co-parenting.
9	I thank you for your time.
10	MR. BAILEY: Thank you for being here.
11	Appreciate you joining us. Anyone else from
12	the public that would like to be heard?
13	(No response.)
14	MR. BAILEY: Okay. Anything else from
15	our committee?
16	MR. POLEMENI: I have one question about
17	Ms. Drees' comment. I thought that debtor
18	prison was illegal? This woman was put in
19	jail for not having, being able to pay child
20	support? Is that
21	MS. DREES: She lost her job, so she was,
22	you know, she was getting behind. And so the
23	ex-husband immediately filed a petition to

1	have her held in contempt.
2	MR. POLEMENI: But she still got put in
3	jail?
4	MS. DREES: Oh, yeah.
5	MR. POLEMENI: I know it was a contempt
6	charge. But basically it's debtor's court.
7	She wouldn't pay the child support. So why
8	was she put in jail?
9	MR. BAILEY: Bob, do you have anything
10	else we need to cover before we adjourn?
11	MR. MADDOX: No, sir. We just need to
12	make sure all the members sign the sign-in
13	sheet that was passed around that came in
14	late.
15	MR. BAILEY: Yeah. Let's be sure we sign
16	the sign-up sheet. And then, Bob, fill out
17	the form for reimbursement for mileage.
18	Alex, anything from the court?
19	MR. JACKSON: No.
20	MR. BAILEY: Certainly are glad to have
21	you with us. Hope you enjoyed it.
22	Anything else from the committee? Judge
23	Bell, I know you want to speak about the

1	issue that you're driving longer than the
2	committee meetings last.
3	JUDGE BELL: Right. And I'd like my
4	subcommittee, if we can do it, if we can meet
5	right now and talk just a little bit, I'd
6	like to do that. You know, and I want to be
7	careful with my words too. I want the result
8	of our work to be meaningful.
9	MR. BAILEY: Absolutely.
10	JUDGE BELL: I want it to get done. This
11	is a great committee. We've got a lot of
12	expertise from all walks of life. I think we
13	really need to get in, roll our sleeves up,
14	hammer this out, make a decision and let's
15	try to do some good with what we are charged
16	with doing.
17	MR. BAILEY: I certainly agree with you.
18	Judge Ford and I have been at this a long
19	time, since 1980 on this committee. When we
20	started in 1980, we didn't have guidelines.
21	We didn't have income withholding. We didn't
22	have all the things that really assist the
23	court system now.
1	

1	And it took a lot of work and a lot of
2	discussion and a lot of meetings.
3	JUDGE FORD: Yeah.
4	MR. BAILEY: And I think, Faye, I want
5	you to give me one figure real quick before
6	we go. When we started the child support
7	program in 1975-'76, we anticipated and hoped
8	the collections would be eight million a
9	year.
10	What are they now?
11	MS. NELSON: Last year we collected 327
12	million.
13	MR. BAILEY: And that's due to a lot of
14	work from a lot people. And it's directly to
15	the benefit of the children.
16	MS. NELSON: It goes to the children.
17	It's not about the parents. It goes to the
18	children.
19	MR. BAILEY: Any other comments or words
20	of wisdom before we adjourn? Anything before
21	we
22	MS. DREES: I have just one question.
23	MR. BAILEY: Sure.

1	MS. DREES: I know that a lot of judges
2	and attorneys see a pro se litigant as sort
3	of a less-than party in the courtroom. The
4	problem is a lot of these folks are having to
5	go pro se because they can either pay their
6	child support, or they can pay to hire an
7	attorney.
8	So I think in this particular arena, it
9	may be inclusive in the training to say that
10	a pro se litigant should not necessarily be
11	viewed with contempt. It may just be a
12	function of their budget. I think that's
13	just I know even the clerks don't like pro
14	se because it gets discombobulated.
15	But I think in this particular field I
16	think you have to consider the fact that you
17	can't always pay both. And attorneys are not
18	cheap.
19	JUDGE BELL: You know, I and, again,
20	I'm trying to be sensitive with my words, but
21	judges are getting painted with a broad brush
22	that we do not deserve.
23	I treat everybody that comes in my

1	courtroom with civility and respect, and I
2	expect that in return.
3	Now, are there those who don't?
4	Probably. But listen. I think training
5	would help a whole lot of that.
6	But you've never been in my court. If
7	you have, I would hope you wouldn't say what
8	you just said. Everybody comes in equally,
9	and everybody gets treated the same. And
10	that's the way it ought to be as long as I'm
11	I'll going to be on the bench.
12	MR. BAILEY: Faye.
13	MS. NELSON: Gordon, I've heard
14	repeatedly in this meeting as well as the
15	last training, training, training.
16	MR. BAILEY: Absolutely.
17	MS. NELSON: Training is what we need.
18	And, you know, I will sit here and say I am
19	committed from DHR's side to see what we can
20	do within our funding.
21	You know, funding limits probably every
22	department that's sitting here as to what we
23	can do. We know the need is there, you know.

1	And I can say from our perspective that
2	we will work with AOC to see what we can do
3	in the way of providing training to whatever
4	population that we can. You know, to say
5	that we can meet all the needs that have been
6	identified here today through a one-day or
7	two-day training or whatever, it won't be
8	resolved.
9	MR. BAILEY: That's right.
10	MS. NELSON: It can be a starting point.
11	And we will make that initiative. And I will
12	make that commitment
13	MR. BAILEY: Wonderful.
14	MS. NELSON: to see what we can do,
15	you know, to provide some level of training.
16	MR. BAILEY: If Faye says it, she means
17	it. I can tell you that. If she says it,
18	she means it.
19	JUDGE FORD: One thing I wanted to
20	mention, I think better training through DHR
21	or joint training with DHR where we both sit
22	down with the county representatives and talk
23	about the problems and the issues that are

1	coming up in our respective locations.
2	And perhaps, you may want to look at
3	regional training as opposed to one large
4	statewide training to look at the cost on
5	those type things. But we have a lot of new
6	judges on the bench, a lot of new judges.
7	And they're doing it like I did.
8	Somebody gave them a docket and said, hey,
9	you try these cases. And so you're getting
10	the kind of results that you're getting
11	because they just don't know. They just
12	don't know.
13	MR. POLEMENI: Along those lines, you
14	have the pro se litigant and just the people

15 in general that come with a grade school 16 level constitutional knowledge that -- and 17 over the years working with everyone on this 18 committee it's been a pleasure to learn from 19 each of you.

I know that you're doing within the scope of your charters or, you know, your job descriptions you're doing the best you can do. And maybe -- maybe there's something

1	that we can initiate to where the courts can
2	kind of have some training session for the
3	people out there as well, you know, to where
4	we can be more respectful all the way around.
5	And then on the other issue, Mr. Maddox
6	put together for the access and visitation
7	committee, a telecom to do our committee work
8	on that. And that was very didn't have to
9	leave the house.
10	MR. BAILEY: That's great.
11	MR. POLEMENI: So maybe we can think
12	about something along those lines and
13	having and make it open to the public so
14	that the public could also attend so they
15	don't have to travel as much either.
16	MS. MOORE: Mary Moore, Circuit Clerk.
17	The Alabama Bar Association has been very
18	helpful creating forms for pro se litigants.
19	And it may be something that we could
20	work with them on a website that will educate
21	or have instructions and this is what this
22	will do and this court will do.
23	Because there are so many times when the

1	pro se litigants come into the clerk's office
2	that they are expecting us to give legal
3	advice. It's not that we are we're
4	impatient with them, but we cannot give legal
5	advice; although, most of our most of the
6	people that walk in are pro se and not
7	represented by an attorney.
8	So this is a serious issue, and training
9	I think would work with that. Or maybe talk
10	to them.
11	MR. BAILEY: That is a great idea.
12	JUDGE PALMER: They have one website
13	already called the AlabamaLegalHelp.org, I
14	believe. And it has a lot of the forms and
15	explains this is what this form does, this is
16	what this form does. They have taken great
17	leaps with that.
18	MS. MOORE: And when people come in, we
19	actually hand them to them.
20	JUDGE PALMER: Very good.
21	MR. BAILEY: Bob, they did a lot of that
22	work with the forms committee with AOC. Is
23	that committee still in existence?

1	MR. MADDOX: Not right now.
2	MR. BAILEY: There is a committee in AOC
3	that has worked in forms.
4	MR. MADDOX: We are greatly reduced in
5	staff, and we've had to cut back a lot of
6	activities.
7	MR. BAILEY: Absolutely. Any other
8	comments for the good of the order? I'll say
9	this. It's been a lot of challenges since
10	1976, and I'm a living example of that.
11	When I first started in '76 in the child
12	support program, I was seven foot tall and
13	blond-headed. Look what happened to me.
14	Anyway, any other comments? Certainly
15	glad to have the public with us.
16	Can I have a motion to adjourn?
17	MS. DAVIS: Move.
18	MR. BAILEY: So moved. Second?
19	MR. POLEMENI: Second.
20	MR. BAILEY: Motion passed. Thank y'all
21	very much.
22	(The hearing concluded at 11:50 p.m.)
23	

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF ALABAMA
4	COUNTY OF ELMORE
5	
6	I, Rena' Messick Lanier, Certified Court
7	Reporter and Commissioner for the State of
8	Alabama at Large, do hereby certify that the
9	above and foregoing transcript of the proceedings
10	in this matter was reported by me.
11	I further certify that the foregoing
12	computer-printed pages contain a true and correct
13	copy of the proceedings help in this matter.
14	I further certify that I am neither of kin
15	nor of counsel to the parties to said cause, nor
16	in any manner interested in the results thereof.
17	I further certify that I am duly licensed
18	by the Alabama Board of Court Reporting as a
19	Certified Court Reporter.
20	Rena' Messick Lanier
21	Rena' Messick Lanier,
22	Certified Court Reporter
23	(CSR No. 0031)Exp. 9/30/2013

	40th 00.00	20 40.00 EC.04	a E E C 10 7 0
WORD INDEX	19th 80:20	32. 48:23 56:21	a 5:5 6:19 7:8
•	1st 36:15 111:16		10:12 21:14
<\$>		327 120: <i>11</i>	22:8 24:10
\$10,000 13: <i>10</i>	<2>	34 25:10, 13	29:15 30:5 32:3
\$1000 71: <i>16</i> , <i>17</i> ,	20 70:20 89:1	34,000 113: <i>16</i>	40:17 47:4 48:2
18	20,000 13: <i>16</i>	35 5:19 9:10	49:6, 10 52:9
\$1100 114:5	70:7 71:15 88:3	82:8	53:1 56:1, 14
\$15,000 15: <i>14</i>	20,000. 88:6	36104 1: <i>19</i>	57:21 58:18
\$20,000 12:20	2002 7:15 82:2		59:19 60:11
\$2500 13:2	2005 16: <i>1</i>	< 4 >	61:18 62:17, 18
\$35,000 13: <i>17</i>	2006 15: <i>16</i> 21:23		63:3, 19 65:10
\$40,000 88: <i>9</i>	2007 27:2	71:11 88:14	66: <i>19</i> 67:20
\$495. 46: <i>11</i>	2009 26:9, 13	109:22 110: <i>16</i>	70: <i>11</i> 71:5 73:2
\$500 13:9	82:3	40,000. 70:7	76:17 82:5 87:2,
\$60,000 25:8	2010 26:13	42 101: <i>10</i>	15, 23 89:19
\$7000 13:3	2010 , 26:8	45 50:8	90:11 91:21
\$95 46: <i>10</i>	2011 78:1 88:3	48 71:6	97:4 103: <i>19</i>
	2012 26:8		104:9 105:23
<.>	2013 1:9, 17	< 5 >	108: <i>11</i> 109:2 <i>0</i> ,
. 49:13 56:11	19: <i>18</i> 57: <i>9</i>	50 87:2 95:4	22 113:16, 20, 21
	79:22 80:3	58 91: <i>9</i>	114: <i>5</i> , <i>1</i> 8 115:7
< 0 >	128:23		120:8 121: <i>11</i>
0031 128:23	2013. 16:2 <i>1</i> , 22	< 6 >	128: <i>18</i>
04 26:3	2014 36:15	60 13:6 67:9	able 25:8 43:18
05 25:16	87:23 111:16	66 24:20	44:16 65:23
06 25:16	20th 80:21	67 46:16 47:10	106: <i>13</i> 117: <i>19</i>
08. 19: <i>4</i>	21 89:8	89:2, 4	abolishing 108:7
	21,000 88:7		about 14: <i>17</i>
<1>	21st 12: <i>19</i>	<7>	88: <i>18</i> 106: <i>17</i>
1 16:2 <i>1</i> , 22 57:9	23 3:11	70 65:3	113:3 117: <i>16</i>
1. 16: <i>18</i> 51: <i>14</i>	240,000 92: <i>4</i>	76 127: <i>11</i>	about. 27:19
10 75: <i>12</i> 77:3	25th. 85:10	7th 7: <i>19</i> 10: <i>10</i>	50:15 92:16
80:13, 19 85:8	26 76:9	11:7 39:17 48:14	114:22
1040 95: <i>18</i> , <i>21</i>	27 113: <i>14</i> 114: <i>19</i>		above 128:9
1099 78:2, 6	29 16:3	< 8 >	Absolutely 24:16
113: <i>15</i>	2nd 1: <i>9</i> , <i>17</i>	8 2:22 5:4	99:13 127:7
1099s 102:3		8. 5: <i>1</i> , 3	Absolutely.
11:50 127:22	< 3 >	800 114:5	41: <i>16</i> 50:22
1100 15:22	3.21 113:2 <i>1</i>	856670 80:4	60: <i>6</i> 61: <i>14</i>
12 2:22 4:22	3.69 113:20, 21	87 8:10	76:15 99:19
13 19:3	30 7:14 25:7	8th 79:22	116: <i>11</i> 119: <i>9</i>
135 5:20	70:20 128:23		122:16
15 19:3 74:9	300 1: <i>18</i>	< 9 >	accept 11:6 45:3
108:1	30-3-151 51:4	9 8:10 128:23	accepting 93:12
16 26:15	32 22:13 31:23	90 67:9	accepts. 34:21
1975-'76 120:7	32:6, 8, 11 40:2	93 7:21	access 125:6
1976 127: <i>10</i>	50:12 56:13	93. 8: <i>1</i>	account 84:21
1980 9: <i>16</i>	57:2 67:17, 22	99.9 45:23	85:1
119: <i>19</i> , <i>20</i>	79:5, 6, 13 86:16		accurate 22:16
	95:15	< A >	

ACD 04:47 05:40		11 07:0 10 00	
ACD 84:17 85:13	U	11 87:9, 13, 23	amount 13:4, 5,
ACD. 85:4	affair 106:11	88:4 92:21 93:3	22 22:5 55:7
Act 36:14 82:21	affairs 87:10	97:15 108:2	69:4 70:3, 12, 13
111:13	affect 22:4	110:21 125:17	101:23
action 62:19	affidavit 89: <i>19</i> ,	128: <i>3, 8, 18</i>	an 6:6 15:4
action. 101:5	20 97:5	Alabama. 6:2	62:23 86:9
actions 63:10	affirmed 78:12	7:4 85:17 88:16	87:18 100:4
activities. 127:6	afford 99:1	AlabamaLegalHelp	
acts. 74:17	115:18	.org 126:13	121:6
actual 66:1	Affordable 36:14	Alabama's 110:16	analyses 15:21
ad 116:8	after 76:5	Alex 2:21 3:4	analysis 12:23
add 60:18 74:23	again, 121:19	5:11 12:9 36:3	13:5, 7, 8, <i>1</i> 3
added 32:4	again. 83:16	118: <i>18</i>	15: <i>18</i>
addition 3:9	age 110:5	all 11:23 28:14	And 3:18 4:8
109: <i>4</i>	agency 53:6	30:12 35:5	6:11 7:12 8:13,
additional 12:23	agenda 25:1	49:19 75:8 91:2	14 9:10, 18 12:3
address 20:13	31: <i>14</i>	114:8	22:3 30:2, 4, 13
23:7 37:13	ages 106:10	all , 108: <i>12</i>	38:11 50:13, 19
38:12, 16 43:5	aggressive	all. 93:6	55:17,20 56:23
44:3 47:2 82:18	106:21	alleged 107:1	63:11 64:22
89:13 91:3	ago 14:21, 22 27:15 34:12	alleviate 91:11	80:13 86:3, 16
101: <i>14</i> 102: <i>17</i> 108: <i>20</i> 115: <i>4</i>		102: <i>18</i>	89:4 90:19
	63:19 70:3 93:20	alleviated 103:7	92:18 96:13
addressed 36:6	ago, 12:2	allow 111:23	97:13 100:6
59:5 90:18 99:7 108:21	agree 23:6	allowable 82:9	101:20 106:11
	45:18,22 61:18	allowance 114:2, <i>16</i>	107:11, 19
addressing 60:13 86:17	72:7 76:3 112:6 116:4 119: <i>1</i> 7	allowed 44:16	111: <i>13</i> , 23 115: <i>3</i> , <i>1</i> 9 116:20 122:9
adequate 58:9	agree. 23:4	107: <i>10</i>	124:16 125:12
adjourn 118:10	41:2 <i>1</i>	allows 81:3	126:14 127:12
120:20 127:16	agreed 75:23	almost 9:2	And, 15:1 100:5
adjustments 13:8	agreement 44:23	already 108:20	109:23
administrative	45:2 51:2, 7	Also 2:20 18:12	Angela 2:9, 18
25:20	52:14 86:10	33:14 62:11	6:1, 23 26:22
admitted 98:7	agreements 19:13	87:14	105:18 106:7
adopt 43:18	ahead 80:8 81:7	also. 17:23	Anniston 5:19
Adopted 17:14	87:6	alternative	67:8
adult 76:8	ahead. 53:22	109:12 111:20	another 17:14
advantage 64:16	80:5	alternative. 112:4	95:9 101:9 116:5
70:19	Air 82:1, 3	altogether 108:8	answer 16:10
adversarial	Al.Civ.App 80:4	am 5:21 114:23	46:23
108:10, 19 109:3,	ALABAMA 1:4,	122:18	anticipated 120:7
7, 23	16, 18, 21 2:8, 10,	am. 83:14 115:2	any 4:3 18:4
adversarial.	11, 12, 13, 16, 18	116: <i>10</i>	23:12 53:8 58:10
111: <i>10</i>	3:8 6:5, 7, 13, 16	amendment	anybody 14: <i>14</i> ,
adversely 71:2	7:9, 17 13:18	26:23 36:2, 21	17 30:15 35:3
advice 126:3, 5	15:10 22:4	37:9, 13, 13 38:1,	58:13 74:23 84:2
ADVISORY 1:2,	28:20 29:14	<i>16</i> 39:5 91:8	anything 26:20
15 59:5	46:17 48:15	amendments 57:8	118:9
	56:2 80: <i>16</i> 82: <i>6</i> ,		
	1	1	1

anything. 36:8	86: <i>16</i> , <i>1</i> 7 112: <i>1</i>	104: <i>10</i> 110:23	69:11, 13 74:6
89:20 97:6	arena 121:8	124:2	78:18 79:4
anyway 83: <i>9</i> , <i>13</i>	aren't. 77:9	at. 98:20	80:14 82:3, 20
127:14	Arkansas 18:14	attached 51:13	83:9, 12 90:19
anyway. 76:20	27:22 29:13, 18	attend 12:8	92:23 93:1,9
AOC 2:19 5:15	around. 75:7	125: <i>14</i>	94:16 99:12
15:12, 13 24:4	125: <i>4</i>	attention 48:14	107: <i>12</i> 113: <i>9</i>
25:12, 15 30:14	arranged 13:2	60: <i>14</i> , <i>15</i>	115:3, 18 127:5
54:1, 18, 21	arrangement	Attorney 2:13, 19	background
105:19 123:2	44:15 56:15 57:5	8:11 53:15 63:3	58:19 90:8
126:22 127:2	as 16:2 24:14	83:18 115:22	backside 104:13
appeal 83:10	42:19 47:22	116:3	bad 69:15 72:19
98:14	51:13 59:13	attorney. 5:15	93:22
	66:7 79:8 83:18	115:21 121:7	bad. 74:20
appealed 78:10			
Appeals 8:14 9:5		126:7	Bailey 2:4 3:1,
appear 18:1	ascertain 65:23	attorneys 52:7	15, 22 4:6, 12, 12
appellate 51:15	ask 83:15	53:9 55:14, 15	5:2, 4, 10, 18
52:1 78:11, 11	asked 5:5 7:19	61:3 79:10 84:3	6:22 7:7, 18 8:8,
79:3 99:20	34:10 39:17	88:20 115:23	20, 22 9:4, 12, 18,
apples 52:20	78:7 83:10, 11	121:2, 17	23 10:6, 8, 20
applicable 29:13	90:19 92:19	attorney's 113:8	11: <i>4</i> , <i>10</i> , <i>13</i> , <i>16</i> ,
applied 48:11	asking 79:13, 15	attorneys, 116:7	18 12:9, 17
apply 115: <i>18</i>	113:7	attorneys. 52:23	13:20 14:2, 7, <i>10</i> ,
applying 74:19	asks 95:23	53:20 54:9	<i>20</i> 15: <i>3</i> , <i>15</i> 16: <i>9</i> ,
appointed 9:14,	aspect 66:4	Aubrey 2:5 9:7,	13 17:12, 19
15 55:20 111:17	aspects 66:5	9, 16	18:1, 12, 17, 20
appointing	assemble 54:17	Aubrey, 9:7	20:6, 15 21:9, 14
111: <i>18</i>	assembled 35:14	Aubrey. 20:15	22:2, 10, 18, 21
appreciate 58:22	assessment	Auburn 28:20	23:5, 12, 15, 23
94:5, 6, 13 98:2	46: <i>1</i> 3	audience. 75:4	24:16, 22 25:15,
117: <i>11</i>	assigned 6:17	August 1:9, 17	22 27:9, 20
approach 17: <i>14</i>	65:8	16: <i>18</i> 19: <i>17</i>	28:11, 13, 19, 22
111:7	assist 65:9	Aunt 49: <i>14</i>	29:6, 17 30:1, 10,
approval 25:6	119:22	Autauga 75:19	19 31:2, 6, 9, 11,
approve 8:2 11:6	assistance. 4:4	authority 23:8	14 32:18, 23
approved 11:19	assistant 61:2	30:12 43:12	34: <i>6</i> , <i>9</i> , <i>21</i> 35: <i>3</i> ,
36:22	63:2	available 55:12	10, 13, 17 36:3
April 16:22	Associate 6:7	66:22 94:10	37:6, 19 38:4, 7,
are 21:3 27:4	Association 2:17	Avenue 1: <i>18</i>	21 39:7, 14, 22
29:17 43:22	7:10 15:11	award 63:6, 11,	41:13, 16, 20, 22
71:17 99:23	125: <i>17</i>	12	43:1, 14, 20 44:6
107:14 123:23	Association. 87:9	aware 9:4 24:12	45:5 47:3 48:13,
are, 54:4	assume 23:19	26:16 53:14	19 49:8 50:22
are. 36:18 55:23	31:11		52:21 53:7, 12,
area 66:11	assuming 34:15	< B >	19, 21 54:10, 21
75:15 89:3	assumption 44:18	back 9:15, 23	55:8, 13, 16 56:4,
111:7, 22 113:20	assurance 104:7	15:23 16:1 28:2	7 57:3, 12 58:5,
area. 111:14	at 13:10, 12	30:16 38:22	16 59:18, 23
areas 39:21	69:18 81:21	44:13 50:5 51:1	60: <i>6</i> , <i>1</i> 7 61: <i>14</i> ,
		54:10, 13 62:23	18 62:22 63:17
	I		

64:9, 12 65:4, 18	been 24:3 39:5	110:5	Brasfield. 106:7,
			14
66:21 67:6, 13, 16 68:8 69:13,	60: <i>13</i> 71: <i>11</i> 123:5	bid 28: <i>10</i> 29:9 big 35: <i>18</i>	14 breaks 9:6
· · ·			
16, 18 70:15, 23	before 120:5, 20	bigger 10:14	breathe 40:13
73:9, 13, 23 74:4,	beginning 28:6	biggest 103:2, 4	41:7 56:3
21 75:3, 8, 15	behalf 97:16	bill 91: <i>1</i>	brief 36:5 105:20
76:13, 15 77:23	believe 8:5 36:6	bill. 90:20 92:11	briefcase 87:3
79:18, 22 80:2, 7	37:7 44:22 75:9	billing 12:19	bring 5:5 52:21
86:12, 19 87:1	84:19 105:4	13: <i>14</i>	67: <i>18</i> 68:6, <i>18</i>
94:9, 18 99:15,	126: <i>14</i>	Billy 2:15 7:12	69:2, 6 70:5
19 101:1, 6, 17	Bell 2:15 7:12,	16:9 43:15 52:5	73:7 77:21
102:5, 14 103:9	12 11:12, 13	55:16 72:18	95:16 96:22
104:1, 8, 12, 22	14:18 16:10, 12	Billy. 69:14	97:2 101:19, 22
105:7, 13 111:9	21:16, 21 22:3,	Birmingham 2:18	102:3, 7 107:16
112:12 117:10,	11, 20 31:16, 18	6:2 14:5	bringing 26:11
14 118:9, 15, 20	32:19 33:17	births. 88:4	54:22 95:12
119:9, <i>1</i> 7 120: <i>4</i> ,	34:4, 9, 15, 18, 22	bit 24:11 30:6 31:15 39:16	101: <i>15</i>
13, 19, 23 122:12, 16 123:9, 13, 16	35:2, 12, 13, 16		broad 121:21
125:10 126:11,	39:3, <i>17</i> , <i>19</i> , 23 40:23 41: <i>4</i> , 21	48: <i>15</i> , 20 96: <i>8</i> , 17 119:5	broken 62: <i>4</i> brought 48: <i>13</i>
21 127:2, 7, 18, 20	43:19 49:23	bit. 44:11	50:20 56:12
balance 71:2	50:23 52:18	blond-headed	60: <i>14</i> 84: <i>9</i>
balancing 59:11	55:3, 19 56:5, 12	127: <i>13</i>	95:10 96:19
Baldwin 6:9	57: <i>4</i> , 23 58: <i>18</i> ,	Board 1:15	brush 121:21
ball 31:17	22 59:22 60:19	128:18	budget 13:21
Baptist 34:23	61:15 62:17, 23	boat 71:21	121: <i>1</i> 2
Bar 125:17	65:13 69:17	Bob 2:19 4:7	Building 1:18
based 17:23	72:15, 22 73:10,	5:14 8:5 10:20	builds 40:2
22:5 27:6, 17	14, 21 74:3 76:3,	12:5 14:20	built 99:5
44:17 70:2	13, 16 84:2 86:9	18:12 24:2	bunch 59:8
78:19 98:5	92:9, 12 93:19	25:15 28:19	burden 69:5, 9
basic 99:5	95:13 97:21	30:2 47:11	76:11, 12 77:1
Basically 15:1,	98:1, 12, 22 99:3	54:21 58:20, 20	98:16
19, 21 36:10	102:11 118:23	75:5 118:9, 16	Bush 2:7 7:5, 5
44:12 47:7	119:3, 10 121:19	126:21	37:11, 20 38:5,
107:9 118:6	Bell. 75:21	Bob , 29:7	14 39:4 43:10
be 26:10 35:4	bench 124:6	Bob. 28:11	67:15, 17 68:9,
38:2, 17, 18 41:4,	bench. 7:15	borne 15:10	14 69:10 81:6, 8,
17 48:8 58:2	122:11	borrow 18:14	12, 23 82:14
65:8 70:18 73:3	benefit 81:1	boss 3:7	83:1,6 103:13
79:13 87:19	120:15	boss. 3:14	104:2 115:22
104: <i>16</i> 119:6	best 94:3	both 47:15	business 11:19
121:10 123:7	100: <i>1</i> 8 124:22	72:15 78:15	16: <i>4</i>
beard. 69:17	better 79:14	both. 74:9	busy 109: <i>16</i>
bears 76:10	88: <i>15</i> 109: <i>5</i> , <i>8</i> ,	bothers 85:2	But 37:21 38:16
because 63:4	10 123:20	box 70:21	62:8 83:4 93:4
becoming 66:8	better. 24:11	box. 89: <i>1</i>	100: <i>16</i> 114:7
bed 91:20	between 42:9	boy 112: <i>18</i>	121:20
	beyond 64:19	Boyd 2:22 8:11,	But, 94:2
		11, 22 10:2 94:20	

buy 36: <i>11</i> , 16	83:6 84:17	change 32:8	87: <i>13, 16</i> 88: <i>8</i> ,
37:2	96:20, 21 98:2	33:8 76:2 87:12	<i>11</i> 90:22 91: <i>13</i> ,
buys 71:20	103: <i>18</i> , <i>20</i> , <i>23</i>	92:14	14 96:23 97:11
by 45:10 128:18	106:6, 8, 19, 22	changed 21:19,	100: <i>18</i> , 21, 22
bylines 44:23	108:12, 22 109:2	22 57:11 76:5	106:15, 20 107:3,
	116: <i>16</i>	87:22 113:13	6, 11 114:1
< C >	case. 37:5 60:10		115:6 116:8
		changed. 10:23	
calculate 56:14,	73:20	79:4	117:19 118:7
19 57:2	cases 20:21	changes 10:9	120:6 121:6
calculated. 68:7	40:8 59:2 61: <i>19</i> ,	33:14	127: <i>11</i>
calculation 32:9,	19 62:2 64:22	Channel 2:21, 22	child. 38:20
13	65:3 67:9 88:4	4:21 5:1, 3, 4	children 20:20
calculations 40:2	103:6 108:1, 13	charge 48:21	21:2 32:3 40:10
113:23	109:17 124:9	49:1 118:6	46:5 48:23
calendar 55:11	cases. 108:17	charged 119:15	49:16 50:21
call 5:10 45:16	cause 128:15	charters 124:21	51:10 56:16
call. 5:7	causes 85:6	chasing 113:3	71:2 76:23
called 46:6	causing 39:19	cheap. 121:18	106:9, 14 109:1
49:21 126:13	center 84:16	cheat 66:18	110: <i>1</i> 7
calling 86:23	86:3, 7	cheating 111:2	children , 107:10
calls 73:2 80:21	center. 84:13	check 8:17	children. 120: <i>15</i> ,
came 37:11	86:1	18:13 25:19	16, 18
65: <i>19</i> 107:7	central 81:14	80:22 82:6, 11	child's 20:1
Campbell 2:9	84:15 86:7	84:22 101:20	choose 112: <i>11</i>
6:2 <i>3</i> , 23 26: <i>19</i> ,	cents 26:15	check. 23:17	chooses 68:5
22 27:10 73:19	ceremony 69:16	Chief 59:6 92:2	chose 41: <i>11</i>
84:11, 15 85:3,	certain 102:21	CHILD 1:3, 15	churches 109:8
12, 22 100:23	certainly 3:22	2:8 5:20 6:14	Circuit 2: <i>12</i> , <i>14</i> ,
can 61:9 67:4	15:2 24:14	7:3 16:16, 20	15 7:13 14:4
94:3 122:19	27:21 29:21	17:1 19:20, 21	29:4 50:17, 18
124:22 125:1	41:14 43:14	21:11 22:6 24:8,	72:23, 23 99:17
can. 35:9	58:8 66:18	9, 19 27:16	125:16
candidate 90:12	118:20 119:17	31:19 32:1, 3	circuits 54:15
can't 115:17	127:14	37:14 38:16	cite 79:19
car 71:16 108:22	Certified 1:20	39:5 40:5, 11	cites 36:5
careers 113:13	128:6, 19, 22	44:7 45:18, 21	citizen 7:9 10:13
careful 119:7	certify 128: <i>8</i> , <i>11</i> ,	46:5 47:1 48:3,	citizens 91:3
carries 76:10	14, 17	22 49:6 52:22	citizens. 110:21
carry 77:5	cetera 96:5, 5, 5	53:10 54:6, 16,	Civil 8:14 9:5
cart 30:8	chair 34: <i>10</i> , <i>16</i>	23 55:1, 18	107:23
Carter 2:22 4:23,	chair. 33:18	56: <i>10</i> , <i>14</i> 59: <i>1</i>	civility 122:1
23 5:3, 3, 4	Chairman 2:4	61:3 62:8, 11, 13,	clarify 30:9
case 6:21 13:8,	30: <i>12</i>	19, 20 63:11, 17	Clark 2:22 75:14,
14 31:22 32:15	challenge 65:11	64:7 66:3, 11	18, 18 76:5, 22
34:5 41:3 59:2,	107:22 108:6, 18	68:2, 6 70:1	77:14 78:1
3 60:5 65:7	110:9 114:11	73:6, 15 74:1	79:11, 21, 23
68:1 71:8 72:18	challenges 127:9	76:19 77:9 78:3	80:6, 9 81:11, 23
73:6, 11 74:14	chance 8:3	80:12, 15, 16, 18,	82:16 83:7, 10,
76:13 78:19		22, 22 81:5, 9, 18	14, 17 84:8, 14,
			14, 17 04.0, 14,
79:2, 2, 20 81:23		82:6, 9, 12 85:9	

Freedom Court Reporting, Inc

877-373-3660

22 95.5 10 19	87:2 88:5, 9	committees 6:17	consider 105:3,
23 85:5, 10, 18 86:13	89:13 124:1	90:11 107:14	<i>10</i> 121:16
clear 94:23	comment 15:8		consideration
clear. 104:11	104: <i>10</i> 111:5, 6	communicating	71: <i>15</i> 76: <i>1</i>
clearer 96:9, 17	117:17	communication	114:16 115:5
clearly 49:20	comment. 105: <i>16</i>	53:17	consideration.
70:17	comments 8:8	companies	76: <i>14</i>
clearly. 72:6	11:2 35:2 <i>1</i>	113: <i>14</i> 114:20	considered 102:2
Clerk 2:12 3:7	39:12 56:9 58:6,	comparison.	considered. 76:8
29:4 100:4	10 60:1 74:22	26:18	consistency
Clerk. 125:16	75:12 99:12	comparisons	50:17 56:3, 22
clerks 121:13	112:21 120:19	12:22	57:1 60:21
Clerk's 12:10	127:8, 14	compel 36:10	consistent 46:21
126:1	comments, 39:9	compelled 37:2	consistently 47:8
client 105:19	commission	compelled. 36:23	constantly 106:22
client. 97:16	114: <i>19</i>	compelling 36:16	constitution 38:2
clients 67:1	commission.	competing 20:16	constitution.
cloudy 42:19	113: <i>14</i>	complement	37:23
Co 2:14	commissioned	42:14	constitutional
Co-Chairman 2:5	114:20	completed 27:22	37:9 38:11, 19
code 56:2	Commissioner	completely 20:11	124: <i>1</i> 6
coffee 111:2	128:7	29:16	contact 3:20, 21
Collaborative	commit 23:8	complex 108:21	4:3 11:23
111: <i>12</i> , 22 112:7,	commitment	109:6	contacted 12:11,
8	123: <i>1</i> 2	complying 74:11	12
collect 26:6	committed 107:8	computer-printed	contain 128:12
100:22	122:19	128: <i>12</i>	contempt 66:13
collected 120:11	COMMITTEE 1:2	concentrate 21:8	68:15, 21 70:17
collections 120:8	3:19 4:1, 13	concept 19:2	74:11, 14, 16, 20
college 76:23	5:14 6:11, 19, 20	concern 57:18	118:5 121:11
77:3 115:7	7:21, 23 9:13, 15,	85:6	contempt. 118:1
colleges 16:3	19 13:21 16:17	concerned 86:17	content 67:21
come 25:11	19:6 21:15 22:1	concerning 6:13	contest 39:2
29:22 33:5, 10	23:13 25:4 26:3	concerns 56:9	contested. 76:4
36:20 48:6 54:3	30:16 32:7	58: <i>13</i>	continuances
62:23 67:23 72:10, 11 73:22	35: <i>14</i> 41: <i>11</i> 58: <i>1</i> , <i>11</i> , <i>21</i> 59:6	concerted 48:9 concise 46:21	116: <i>13, 14</i> continue 89: <i>10</i>
82:7 86:4 87:22	79:19 80:2	concluded 127:22	continued 22:17
97:20 103:15	87:19 90:15	condition 34:19	continued 22.17
105:23 115:23	94:5, 13 111:17,	conducted 107:15	contract 15:11, 13
116:3 124:15	18 117:15	conferences	contribute 38:9
126:1, 18	118:22 119:2, <i>11</i> ,	53: <i>10</i>	convened 33:1
comes 51:22	19 124:18 125:7,	confidentiality	convinced 116:10
53:15 83:22	7 126:22, 23	60: <i>8</i>	coordinate 53:23
113:6 114:17	127:2	conflict 37:8	co-parent. 49:7
116:7 121:23		112:18	co-parenting
0,/ Z .Z0	committee. 91:17	2.10	
	committee , 91: <i>17</i> committee , 17: <i>1</i>		117: <i>4</i>
122:8	committee. 17:1	confusion 57:21 consensus 22:22	117:4
	-	confusion 57:21 consensus 22:22	
122:8 coming 15:9	committee. 17: <i>1</i> 56: <i>8</i>	confusion 57:21	117:4 Co-parenting.

	0.0.7.1	70.00 440.40	Davis 0:44 7:40
copy 8:5 18:10	County. 6:9 7:1	76:20 118:10	Davis 2:11 7:16,
59:23 105: <i>11</i>	8:12 29:5 94:20	coverage 37:3, 3	<i>16</i> 10: <i>16</i> 18: <i>4</i> ,
114: <i>11</i> 128: <i>13</i>	couple 75:20	covered 25:15	11 23:6, 14, 18
correct 21:12	93:20 94:21	covering 32:2	24:12 25:18
39:8 51:3 70: <i>13</i>	course 5:11, 14	create 57:21	29:23 30:7, 11
84:22 101:7	47:18	63: <i>19</i>	31: <i>13</i> 33: <i>3</i> , 20
104:10 128:12	Court 1:20 2:10	creating 88:19	34:7, 19, 23
Correct. 21:13	3:8 4:16 6:8, 12,	125:18	58:14 65:19
84:5	17 8:14 9:4	creative 69:1	66:22 67:12
correction 8:9,	12:9 14:5, 12	criminal 50:10	68: <i>13</i> , 23 69: <i>12</i> ,
16 10:9, 22	23:9, 16 27:16	criteria 93:5	15, 20 70:16
corrections 10:1,	37:21 38:12, 14	crop 48:6	71:1, 19 72:4, 18
9 11:5	45:2, 3 47:5, 13	CS41 95:14, 23	76:19 83:8, 12,
corrections, 11:1	52:10 57:10	101:10 104:13	15 92:10 106:16
corresponds	63:20 67:4, 23	CS42 95:14, 23	111:5, 10 127:17
81:16	70:8, 16 71:14	CSR 128:23	day 28:14 84:6
cost 13: <i>11</i> 15:8	72:1 76:17	current 102:9	100:9 106:20
24:21 25:13, 14	78:11, 11, 18	currently 28:3	108:7 111:3
79:1 124:4	79:3 80:14 87:1	70:2	day. 61:20 67:9
cost. 12:4	96:9, 12, 18, 18,	custodial 40:3	daycare 45:21
costs 18:5 24:7	22 100: <i>1</i> 103: <i>3</i> ,	49:9, 11 59:19	days 3:14 16:1
38:10		63:5 68:16	45:12, 13 54:10,
could 33:17	4 105:2, 6, 9	69:22 72:21	43.72, 73 54.70, 13 77:4 78:13
	106:1, 12 108:2,		
43:16 75:15	4, 8, 10, 18, 19	96:21 97:9	80: <i>13</i> , <i>19</i>
105:9 125:19	109:7 110:1, 3, 4,	100:12 103:18	days. 85:8
could. 43:14	<i>16</i> 111: <i>16</i> 115: <i>4</i> ,	116: <i>1</i>	dead 110:20
Counsel 2:7	18 118:18	custody 46:6, 7	deadline 16:7
45:11, 17 46:3	119:23 122:6	50:12 51:3, 9, 10,	deal 10: <i>14</i> 58: <i>14</i> ,
128:15	125:22 128:6, 18,	12 56:15, 19	17 63:14 64:6
counsel. 7:6	19, 22	57:4 63:12 88:4	89:2, 2, 3, 4
countable 78:3	court. 52:8	106:13	100: <i>11</i>
counties 46:17	108:5 109: <i>18</i>	custody , 45:11	dealing 3:18
47:4, 6, 10 64:15	118:6	custody. 51:5	31:19 33:22
89:2, 4	courtroom 121:3	56:17 63:7	90:6 97:8 109:5,
counties. 47:9	122:1	cut 127:5	8, 10
89:16	courtrooms 45:6	cycle 28:7	deals 58:1
country 89:8	88:6		dealt 47:8 94:4
110:22	courts 19: <i>11</i>	< D >	debtor 117:17
counts 79:8	20:13 21:7	D.C 92:18 93:10	debtor's 118:6
County 2:5, 9, 12,	24:14 30:14	94:16	decide 33:23
13, 15 7:13 9:9	36:11 44:17	D.C. 78:20	50:20 70:10
46: <i>19</i> 59:2, 4	47: <i>17</i> 51: <i>15</i>	dad. 87:16	decide. 96:18
60:9 61: <i>1</i> 62:9	52:1 65:14	data 13:9, 13, 15	decided 37:21
65:1 73:17	104:6 114:2	15: <i>18</i> 18: <i>9</i>	decided. 39:6
75:19, 20 89:11	125: <i>1</i>	26:11, 17	decision 47:17
103:15 112:16	court's 60:14	data. 26:6 33:19	98:5 119: <i>14</i>
117: <i>1</i> 123:22	courts , 88: <i>19</i>	date 8:17 16:7	decisions 38:15
128: <i>4</i>	cover 25:12	52:22	41:23 42: <i>1</i> , 6
County , 6:4	00.0 00.4 55.7	developer 115.7	E0.40 00.4
	29:2 30:4 55:7	daughter 115:7	50: <i>19</i> 92: <i>1</i>
	29:2 30:4 55:7	daugnter 115.7	50:79 92:7

100:6 7	deviete 10:15 20		de 20:4 20:44
100:6, 7	deviate 40:15, 20	disadvantage	do. 28:4 29:14
decisions. 23:21	43:13 45:18	87:15	71:3 97:23
decrees 117:6	56:21 114:3	disagree 44:21	104:4 125:22
deductible 40:6	deviating 31:23	100:23	docket 54:16
deduction 39:15	deviation 43:8	disbursement	64:22 65:3 67:8
43:11 95:5, 7	Dexter 1:18	81:14 84:18	124:8
deductions 94:22	DHR 2:7, 9 7:1,	discombobulated.	dockets 103:5
95:1	5 24:6, 18 25:12	121: <i>14</i>	documentation
deductions.	30:14 52:12, 22	discovery 67:11	68:2 69:7 70: <i>9</i> ,
101:22	53:3 55:10, 14	106:2 <i>1</i>	10 72:12 95:12
deer-in-the-headli	62:2 65:6 66:23	discovery. 66:5, 9	96: <i>14</i> , 22 105: <i>1</i>
ght 50:13	80: <i>10</i> 83:6	discretion 34:2, 7	documentation.
default 73:12	84:11, 12, 17	41:2 76:2 81:20	101: <i>16</i>
defense 50:10, 11	85:3 86:2, 5	discriminate	documents 74:13
deficient 45:4	88:21 94:1, 2, 3	90:21 91:11	98:6
defined 78:14	99:17 100:16	discuss 13:21	documents. 73:8
79:14	103: <i>13</i> , <i>20</i>	30:12 48:10	does. 34:22
defines 52:4	115: <i>19</i> , 23	discussed 11:22	48: <i>18</i>
definition 42:16	116:2 <i>1</i> 123:20, 21	48:15	doesn't 72:20
definition, 95:17	DHR's 52:22	discussed. 103:8	76:1 84:11
Delaware 47:3	60: <i>14</i> 122: <i>19</i>	discussing 91:21	102:22
delay 41:22	dictate 99:10	discussion 14:10,	dog 113:3
73:20 74:1 85:1,	dictated 82:16	15 19:5 28:23	doing 3:9 15:9
5, 12	did 16: <i>19</i>	29:7, 10 31:3	17:6, 17, 18
delays 73:19	did. 22:20 28:22	35:19 39:10, 16	27:22 33:1
delays. 73:22	33:11 78:6 124:7	42:3 43:2 66:6	36:12 54:18
Department	didn't 13:22	120:2	55:10 64:20
15:20 53:5 60:9	59:2 119:2 <i>1</i>	discussions	82:14 94:3
122:22	didn't. 113:9	55:10	104:3 110:6, 7
departments 16:4	difference 22:8, 9	dismissal 73:11	124:7, 20, 22
departments.	25:13	dispute 109:12	doing. 33:7
16:5	different 6:16	disrespect 51:18	119: <i>16</i>
dependents 39:15	9:18, 19 54:15	District 2:6 9:10	dollars. 24:21
depending 13:3,	66: <i>12</i> 90: <i>10</i>	52:10 61:2 63:3	25:6
17 86:22	100:10 104:14	108:4	domestic 6:8
deposed 106:23	different. 46:20	divided 79:8	14:5 109: <i>18</i>
deposited 84:21	difficult 24:13	dividend 115:12	done 33:21
description 98:18	62:7, 15 66:8	Division 14:5	104:2
descriptions	direct 53:9	15:19 109:12	done. 44:18
124:22	directed 73:5	division. 84:18	97:19
deserve 88:14	direction 18:21	divorce 75:19, 23	don't 33:10
deserve. 121:22	29:7 30:2, 4, 6	78:22 79:8	46:17 51:2
destroyed 89:5	96:16	112:18 117:6	60:20 74:15
determine 89:17	direction. 30:18	divorced 90:22	95:16 105:8
determines 94:23	112:11	divorces 88:7	don't. 67:22
develop 49:1	directly 83:3	do 15:13 22:21	68:13
developed 55:1	84:21, 23 120:14	30:19 50:23	down. 83:5
development 20:1	Director 2:8 7:3	62:5 75:5	downturn 27:7
	25:20 87:10	115:23 123:2	DR 55:6 90:9
		do , 123: <i>14</i>	

	1	1	1
drafted 43:7	Elmore 8:11	every 81:14	114: <i>1</i> 7 115:6, 9
draw 24:20	94:20 128: <i>4</i>	110:22 122:2 <i>1</i>	experience 100:8
Drees 2:18 6:1,	else. 24:15 26:21	everybody 3:2	experience. 100:8
2 105:17, 18	e-mail 12: <i>12</i>	24:12, 15 26:16	experiencing
117: <i>17</i> , <i>21</i> 118: <i>4</i>	74: <i>6</i> , 7 89: <i>1</i>	59: <i>10</i> , <i>1</i> 2 64:2	117: <i>1</i>
120:22 121: <i>1</i>	e-mail. 12: <i>16</i>	65: <i>15</i> 109: <i>19</i>	expert. 86:23
drive 18: <i>10</i>	emotion. 106:5	116:9 121:23	expertise 119:12
57:16 77:19	emotional 20:2	122:8, 9	experts 86:21
113: <i>16</i>	106:3 108:23	everybody. 73:18	explaining 59:17
drive. 17:4	109:6	everybody's	explains 126:15
driving 119:1	emotional. 107:18	34:11	expressed 22:18
drugs 110:20	emotions 65:17	everyone 20:9	ex-spouse 77:8
due 99:22	112: <i>1</i> 7	49:2	78:5
120:13	emotions. 108:21	everywhere.	ex-spouse , 98:23
duly 128:17	emphasis 64:6	27:13 102:23	ex-spouse. 77:2
	employee 113:15	evicted 89:21	79:9
< E >	114:21	evidence 98:7	extensive 13:13
E 128:1	employer 81:21	evidence. 98:4	extra 115:9
earlier 64:2	106:22	evidently 59:1	extreme. 13:6
87:18	employment	exact 12:15	
early 54:13	62:10 104:17, 18	exactly 28:4	<f></f>
earned 115:11	encourage 70:22	32:21 72:18	face 100:17, 17
earnings 96:3	end 28:17	74:4 86:10 105:7	102:6
easy 47:16	end. 13:11, 15	Exactly. 68:8	faces 87:8
echo 87:17	enforcement	example 13:1	facing 74:1
99:12	61: <i>4</i> 63: <i>1</i> , <i>18</i>	66: <i>16</i> 88:3	fact 17:21 20:17
economic 23:1	64: <i>13</i>	127:10	46:23 121:16
26:4, 11 27:1	enjoyed 118:21	example, 13:7	fact. 50:4
42:1	enough 61:22	exceed 82:9	facts 41:2 46:22
economics 15:18	110: <i>11</i>	excellent 41:20	failed 69:6
16:5 21: <i>18</i> 22: <i>4</i> ,	enters 68:16	43:20	fails 69:2
7 24:13	entertain 8:2	exclusively 112:1	failure 68:22
economist 27:3	11:5	exclusively 112.7	73:10
			fair 40:9 46:12
economy 27:7 educate 125:20	entire 109: <i>4</i> , <i>12</i> 110:9	exemption. 40:4	59:12
education 20:2	entry 73:11	exemptions 75:22 Exhibit 51:14	fair. 72:8
effect 16:18, 21	_	ex-husband	
	equally, 122:8		fairly 47:16 94:23
21:3 36:15	equity 74:19	117:23	
effective 38:3	Especially 46:9	exist 65:10	families 41:18
106:4 111:15	Essick 59:9	exist. 67:14	Family 2:16 6:5
efficient 59:13	establish 21:4	existence 126:23	7:10, 14 15:10
efforts. 21:8	established	existing 68:21	32:10, 12 34:4
e-filing 54:3	20: <i>19</i> 21: <i>1</i>	Exp 128:23	37:2 47:5, 7, 13
eight 76:6 120:8	esteemed 23:15	expand 64:19	49:23 50:6 56:2,
either 29:18	estimate. 12:13	expect 122:2	8 58:2 63:20
69:21 74:12	et 96:4, 5, 5	expecting 126:2	87:9, 12 88:8, 20
84:20 90:3 121:5	even 26:3 96:21	expense 78: <i>10</i> ,	100:1, 5 103:2, 4
either. 10:4	eventually 68:9	17 114:7	108:7 111:7, <i>14</i> ,
125:15	110: <i>17</i>	expenses 77:2	22 112:1, 2
elected 7:15			114: <i>14</i>

far 28:1 54:6	financial 66: <i>15</i> ,	Force 50:1	from 8: <i>10</i> 15:6
60:4	20 85:6 113:6	55:16, 20 58:2	24:16 25:11
fast 113:10	find 68:21 70:17	82:1, 3	58: <i>6</i> , <i>11</i> 63:22
father 64:11	81:3 100: <i>10</i>	forced 80:23	75:3 96:3
fatherhood 64:7	101:13 106:17, 23	forces 87:13	117: <i>11, 14</i> 124: <i>1</i> 8
fault 59:15	finding 46:21, 23	Ford 2:5 9:7, 9,	front 83:22
favor 11:13 31:7		9, 12, 17, 22	104: <i>16</i>
Faye 2:8 7:2	Finley 19:22	20:16 23:4	frustrations 73:22
52:21 59:7, 8, 23	first 3:5 11:20	28:12 30:23	full 32:12
63:22 120:4	30:21, 22 45:8	31:2 36:18 37:1	fully 15:9
123:16	50:2 55:21	42:15, 19, 23	function 121:12
Faye. 122: <i>12</i>	80:12, 19 85:8	48:2, 17 52:5	fund 94:17
February 7:19	86:14 91:18	54:20 61:19, 21	funding 14:12
11:7 39:17	127:11	67:7, 14 84:5	29:10 30:9, 13,
48:14 88:13	first. 30:9 75:10	86:22 97:17	<i>16</i> 41:23 53: <i>4</i>
92:18 99:13	fit 19:14 20:8	102:13 119:18	55:12 64:2, 17
federal 6:12	47:16, 20, 22	120:3 123: <i>19</i>	82:23 92:17, 20
24:21 25:2, 5, 9	fit. 88:2	Ford. 9:8	94:16 122:21
30:13 36:16	fits 92:11	foregoing 128:9,	funding. 23:13
37:15, 22 38:3, 5	five 14:21 21:20	11	122:20
42:14 66:17	27:15 34:12	forge 52:14	funneled 83:5
67:2 70:9 81:6,	112:19 113:11	form 104:23	furnished 36:5
8, 12, 17 91:2	116: <i>14</i>	118:17 126:15, 16	
93: <i>4</i> , <i>8</i> , <i>13</i> 95: <i>3</i> ,	fix 92:21	former 6:8	101: <i>10</i> 128: <i>11</i> ,
8 99:9 108:4	flexibility 34:2	80: <i>19</i> 81:2	14, 17
Feds 33:10	40:1, 14 41:7	forms 88: <i>19</i>	future 3:12
85:16, 20	floor 90:17	101: <i>10</i> 125: <i>18</i>	
Feds , 30:14	Florida 113:19	126: <i>14</i> , 22	< G >
feel 3:20 24:10	focus 6:5 33:20	forms. 127:3	gallon 113:21, 22
fees 113:8	focusing 66:3	formulate, 62:7	gambling 95:20
few 86:20	folks 121:4	Fort 113:20	104:2 <i>0</i>
field 13:15 65:8	folks. 80:11	fortunate 61:1	gas 26: <i>12</i>
121: <i>15</i>	follow 18: <i>11</i>	forum 90:3	113: <i>17</i> , <i>19</i> 114: <i>4</i> ,
field. 90:8, 14	23:11 43:3 67:6	forward 4:9	6
fight 79:4	93:6 95:15	43:21 62:12	geared 37: <i>14</i> , <i>16</i>
figure 23:19	following 1:14	112:20 113:10	general 111:8
120:5	20:7 73:7	fought 79:10	124:15
file 13:9 71:7	foot 127:12	found 38:14, 15	gentleman 4:8
105:9	for 1:20 2:3 8:5	four 12:2 14:21	18:8 36:6 75:10
file. 105:6	14:18 23:2 27:5	21:19 26:5 27:6	Georgia 16:23
filed 117:23	40:19 45:20	34:12 45:12	get 29:19 49:21
filed. 62:19	47:16 54:14	70:3 71:10, 12	77:15 94:6 95:1
files 13:14 63:3	56:5 74:16 77:8	89:22	99:8 110:13
72:10	87:10 94:7	four. 106:10	getting 3:17
filing 110:2, 3, 3	98:17 103:9	free 3:20 28:14	54:13 62:11
filings 74:11	105:1 113:7	Freedom 4:16	106:3, 22 107:18
fill 95:22 118:16	115:18	Friday , 1:16	110:8 111:3
finally 117:3	for. 74:5	friend 4:9	117:22 121:21
Finance 15:20		friendly 63:20	124:9, 10
24: <i>1</i> 7		friendly. 64:11	127.3, 10

gifts 95: <i>19</i> 96: <i>3</i> 104: <i>21</i>	36: <i>4</i> , <i>14</i> , <i>20</i> 38:2, 23 39:2 40:3	granted 59:16 96:11	93:21 guy. 69:15 93:23
give 4:18 7:19	42:6, 15 45:6	great 3:14 4:8	
12:5 29:8 30:11,	47:13 50:1, 5	5:13 35:5 64:6	<h>></h>
18 34:1, 7, 8	55:17, 21 56:1	78:10 79:15	had 10:14 32:7
50:8 58: <i>9</i> , 18	57:9 58:14	86:15 90:4	54:7 113:8
69:22 89:18	63:11 69:10	93:20 105:13	had. 32:15
93:11 97:11	70:18 77:5	119:11 126:11, 16	half 89:8 93:6
101: <i>1</i> 112: <i>4</i>	78:23 83:12	great. 125:10	half. 113:4
120:5 126:2, <i>4</i>	86:2, 6 92:3, 3	greatly 127:4	hammer 119:14
given 41:2	94:16 98:5	gripe 103:2, 4	Hampshire 16:19
91: <i>14</i> 114: <i>16</i>	100: <i>19</i> 101: <i>1</i>	gross 17:7	hand 94:4
115:5	102:8 105:18	42:10 72:16	126: <i>19</i>
giving 43:12	107: <i>12</i> 108: <i>15</i> ,	79:13 95:11	hand. 52:20
glad 3:2, 22	15 110:8, 17, 18	gross. 42:7	handbook 78:22
6:22 29:6 86:19	112: <i>1</i> 6 113:2	grounds 40:19	handed 100:7
118:20 127:15	122:11	group 17:5 53:9	handle 59:3
gladly 34:21	gonna 91: <i>12</i>	116: <i>19</i>	61:3 72:23
global 56:2	Good 9:21, 22	group. 86:21	happen 47:14
114: <i>14</i>	10:22 12:17	grow 92:13	102:23 111:2 <i>1</i>
globally 65:22	14:7, 9 23:2	grown 69: <i>17</i>	happen. 32:6
go 4:13 5:16	27:9, 20 28:13	guardian 116:8	happened 26:8
9:23 18:22 20:2	31:13, 15 39:15	guardianships	127:13
27:8, 16 32:21	41:5 42:2 43:19	112:3	happens 106:20
39:14 42:20	48:15 51:18	guess 106:16	happier 114:23
47:21 52:9, 18	58:16 68:12	guesstimate	happy 34:18
53:22 54:17	73:13 74:20	13: <i>16</i>	35:2, 8 91:5
55:5 78:17 79:3	90:12 91:23	guesstimates	hard 64:3
80: <i>4</i> , 8 81:7, <i>13</i>	93:23 98:18	30:5	harder 3:10 96:2
83:8 84:17 86:3	105: <i>1</i> 3 112: <i>12</i> ,	guest 3:5	has 5: <i>12</i> 24:6
87:6 90:19	<i>14</i> , <i>21</i> 116:20	guests 3:3	26: <i>1</i> 2 62: <i>1</i> 3 81: <i>4</i>
91:20 92:18	119: <i>15</i> 127:8	guideline 17:14	hate 87:13
93:1 96:6 99:16	good. 126:20	57:8	have 3:12 17:2,
109: <i>15</i> 112: <i>11</i>	Gordon 2:4 4:12	GUIDELINES 1:3,	9 32:19 33:16
115:3, 18, 19	5: <i>18</i> 12:8 19:2 <i>1</i>	<i>16</i> 12: <i>1</i> 16:20	52:12 57:18
120:6 121:5	49:23 55:19	17:3, 6, 7, 9, 13	66:12 70:16
go. 19:6	67:15 122:13	19:2, 8 20:8, 11,	77:4 100:14
goal 87:12	got 17:8 35:10	14 26:23 27:4, 6	115:4 118:20
goes 44:13	77:7	32:12 35:23	have. 104:7
51:17 55:6	got. 110:8	40:14 41:15	he 8:17 55:5
57:14 60:19	government	43:22 45:19	70:10 106:12
71:20 82:19, 20	36:16 82:10	47:2 49:19	head 93:9
85:23 102:22	91:2 99:10	57:15 70:2	head. 89:23
120:16, 17	governmental	76:20 93:5 114:3	heading 92:23
going 3:1 4:13	87:10	guidelines, 16:17	health 31:15
9:14 14:13	governor 90:10	Guidelines. 6:14	33:9 34:17
18:13 21:4	grade 124:15	22:14 33:15	35:21, 22 36:17
22:16 23:9, 16	graduates 77:3	37:10 119:20	37:9 38:10, 20
24:2 26:8, 17	Grandma 49:14	guy 71:8 72:19	39:10 41:6
27:5, 12, 12 28:2	grant 64:14		43: <i>11</i> 90:7, <i>8</i> , <i>15</i> ,

Freedom Court Reporting, Inc

877-373-3660

16, 18 91:12	him. 25:21 34:8	idea 18:5 105: <i>13</i> ,	incarcerated
98:21, 23 99:10	79:12	14 114:21	107:5
Healthcare 36:14	hindered 44:17	idea. 12:17	include 32:9
37:4, 15 90:7, 11	hire 23:8, 10	73:13 126:11	40: <i>19</i> 114:6
healthcare. 33:22	121:6	ideal 19: <i>10</i>	included 32:13
hear 24:16 58:6,	hired 61:23	identified 123:6	104: <i>15</i>
11 61:20 74:22	hit 64:2 93:16	identify 101:11	includes 12:22
75:3 90:4 94:2,	hold 73:2	if 21:4, 18 37:4	including 31:23
11 103:2, 4	holding 81:13	40:9 45:1 63:10	57:8 60:23
heard 65:21	Home 13:1 89:22	68:15 70:17	110: <i>16</i>
93:21 110:12	honest 74:2	95:3 101:7	inclusive 121:9
114:15 117:3, 12	honestly 32:14	112:10 122:6	income 12:21
122:13	Honor 76:7	ignorance 26:20	17:7, 8, 22 27:11,
hearing 60:12	hoops 100:20	III-D 54:11	11, 11 42:7, 9, 9
65:2 73:7 74:8	Hope 118:21	I'II 115: <i>13</i>	66:17, 19 67:2, 2,
103:20 105:11	122:7	illegal 117:18	18 68:6, 19 69:3
127:22	hoped 120:7	l'm 6:3, 23 8:23	70:9 71:22 78:3,
hearing. 60:16	Hopefully 93:1,	27:17 74:18	5, 15 79:7, 12, 13,
heart 48:17	10, 11 112:4	75:18 76:3 82:3	16 81:9, 15, 19,
Heflin-Torbert	hopefully, 29:21	87:8 89:12	20 82:5 83:19
1:17	hoping 27:7	122:10	84:1 89:18
held 1:14 118:1	55:9 56:1	immediately 63:3	95:11, 18, 19
help 18:17 35:8	horse 30:8	117:23	96:4 101:11, 21
66:23 73:17	hours 77:20	impact 92:3, 4	102:2 104:15, 18,
100:20 103:14	house 71:16, 20	impatient 126:4	19, 20 113:6, 7,
122:5 128:13	house. 125:9	imperative 99:14	15 115:11, 11, 13
helped 11:23	how 24:4	implemented 64:4	119:21
24:7	how, 13:21	implied 63:6, 12	income, 104:17
helpful 33:12, 16	human 108:21	importance 65:17	income. 42:17
41:18 125:18	hundred 25:14	important 19: <i>19</i> ,	66: <i>1</i> 104: <i>15</i>
her 4:18 26:23	husband 106:11	23 77:15 92:14	106: <i>18</i>
56:16 97:11		impute 68:10	incomes. 72:16
106:2 <i>1</i> 107:2	< >	in 6:12 9:15	incorrect 10:17
her. 82:13 83:9	I 5:4 6:10 7:13	12:15 15:17	Indiana 57:7, 10,
85:2	10: <i>13</i> , 20 12: <i>11</i>	16: <i>1</i> 5 17:20	16
here 57:13 91:23	25:1,20 27:15	20:2 33:8 44:22	indicated 37:12
here. 4:6 14:9	29:11 33:23	46:18 51:3, 12	38:17
47:14 86:14	34:9 36:5 37:3	52:7 64:23	individual 37:3
94:9, 13 117:10	40:21 45:14	65: <i>15</i> , 22 71:6	industries 109:4
hereto 51:13	47:11 64:1 67:8	84:23 85:12	industry 33:9
he's 70:6 71:10	70:3 85: <i>18</i>	86:7 88:15 93:2	109: <i>9</i>
Hey 18:4 97:18	88: <i>11</i> 89: <i>9</i> , <i>11</i>	96:6, 20 97:14	information 35:8
hey , 124:8	91:13 93:15	98:1 99:12	66: <i>20</i> 69:23
hide 42:9	94:5 105:22	100:17 101:4	70:6 72:20 91:5
hiding 107:2	112:18 113:11,	106:4 108:1	93:2 107:1
high 13:15	15 114:14 115:6	109:17 111:7	115:17
112:17	117:4 121:15	113:19 116:16	information.
higher 13:5	122:1 126:13	117:18 118:2, 13	17:11
98:17	I. 11: <i>14</i>	127:4 128:10, 16	inheritance 102:1
highest 98:16	I'd 18:6 119:5	in. 14: <i>1</i> 54: <i>17</i>	

initiate 125:1	65:15 83:6 86:2,	it 22:7 24:13	110: <i>14</i> 117:21
initiative 123:11	5 95:2 96:2 <i>0</i> , 20	25:15 35:22	124:2 <i>1</i>
initiatives 64:3	involved. 65:12	82:18 84:20	job. 77:6
injustices 32:14	involvement 60:5	90:2 96:12	jobs 107: <i>3</i> , 7
in-person 101:4	involves 95:11	106: <i>19</i> 112:9	110: <i>18</i>
input 66:6 93:17,	involving 106:7	114: <i>1</i> 6 121:8	join 74:23
18	lowa 16: <i>19</i>	it, 123:17	join. 29:3
Insitute 2:11	IRS 94:22 95:3	it. 10:15 39:2	joining 117: <i>11</i>
instance. 36:12	is 4:7 5:14 6:15	65:3 74:2 81:7	joint 46:6 50:12
Institute. 7:17	11: <i>19</i> 21: <i>11</i>	99:5 101:8	51:5, 9, 9, 12
institution 116:7	35:17 38:10	107:9 118:21	56:19 57:4
institution, 116:6	50:2 68:2 70:12	123:18	123:2 <i>1</i>
instructions	72:12,23 74:1	itemized 104:17	Jr 2:5
125:2 <i>1</i>	76:7 84:17	its 44:23 93:13	Judge 2:5, 6, 14,
insurance 31:15	85:20 87:6 92:2	108: <i>11</i>	14, 15, 15 6:9
33:9 34:17	93:7 94:21, 22	it's 44:19 62:10	7:12, 13 9:8, 9,
35:22, 22 36:11,	95:20 105:17	79:14 112:5	10, 12, 17, 22
17 37:10 38:10,	108:14, 19 109:1,	IV-D 54:11 82:21,	11:12, 13 14:1, 4,
20 39:10 41:6	11 112:14	23 92:17, 20	5, 9, 18 16:10, 12
43:11 50:10	126:15, 22	l've 5:18 56:22	20:16 21:16, 21
76:8, 10, 22 77:5,	is. 25:14 85:15	78:19 116:13	22:3, 11, 20 23:4
5 90:7, 16, 19	isn't 34:23 71:19		25:23 28:12
91:13 98:21, 23	issue 13:1, 10, 12	< J >	30:23 31:2, 16,
99:2, 11	24:20 25:1 29:1	Jackson 2:21	18, 19 32:19
insure 62:13	33:3 35:18, 22	3:6, 16 5:11	33: <i>17</i> 34: <i>3</i> , <i>4</i> , <i>9</i> ,
intended 93:14	36:2, 7 37:1, 8	12:9 36:8 118:19	15, 18, 22 35:2,
intent 90:20, 21,	40:15, 19 41:6	jail 74:15 107:8,	12, 13, 16 36:13,
23 91: <i>1</i> , 2	43:1, 2, 8 44:11	13 110:19	18, 19 37:1
intent. 37:17	48:3, 12 58:6	117: <i>19</i> 118:3, 8	38:23 39:3, 17,
interest 20:17	62:2 81:20	Jane 11:23	<i>19</i> , 23 40:20, 23
95:6 100: <i>5</i> , <i>18</i>	87:19 89:13	12:12, 18 14:14	41: <i>4</i> , <i>21</i> 42: <i>11</i> ,
101:23 115:12	92:19 95:10	16:6 18: <i>12</i>	15, 19, 23 43:12,
interested 33:1	106:15 107:16	22:23 27:21	19 44:21 45:9
44:10 116:16	110:23 119: <i>1</i>	29:8 30:2	46:9 48:1, 2, 17
128: <i>16</i>	125:5 126:8	Jane. 30:6	49: <i>4</i> , <i>9</i> , 23 50:23
interesting. 59:21	issue. 32:22	January 36:15	52:5, 18 54:20
internal 103:20	35:20 44:13	87:22 111:16	55:3, 3, 19 56:5,
104:6	67: <i>11</i> 112:23	Jefferson 2:14	12 57: <i>4</i> , 23
interpret 51:23	issued. 81:22	112: <i>15</i> 117: <i>1</i>	58:18, 22 59:22
interstate 61:8	issues 13:7 29:1	Jennifer 2:7 7:5	60: <i>19</i> 61: <i>15</i> , <i>19</i> ,
intervention	30: <i>4</i> 31:20, 21	37:6 53:1, 13	21 62:17, 23
110: <i>10</i>	43: <i>4</i> , 9, 12 44:2	59:7	65: <i>13</i> 67: <i>7</i> , <i>14</i> ,
into 36:14 57:14	47:8 50:20	Jennifer. 103:11	15 68:1, 16, 20
71: <i>14</i> 109: <i>15</i>	58:10, 11, 13	Jersey 16:22	69: <i>1</i> 7 71: <i>4</i> 72: <i>1</i> ,
introduce 3:4, 6	65:10, 19 74:10	Jim 2:22 75:18	15, 22 73:10, 14,
4:14 5:16 9:7, 8	101: <i>14</i> 102: <i>17</i> ,	Jim , 78:22	21 74:3 75:21
14:2	20 109:9, 11	job 3:9, 17 4:8	76:1, 3, 13, 16
involved 13:5	123:23	5:13 77:4 82:2	77:10, 12, 16
19: <i>19</i> 21: <i>18</i>	issues. 34:14	109: <i>5</i> , <i>8</i> , <i>10</i>	78:1, 18 79:7, 12
48:21 60: <i>9</i>	57:22 63:21		80: <i>15</i> 81: <i>4</i> , <i>20</i>

Freedom Court Reporting, Inc

877-373-3660

82:16 83:17, 19	Justice 2:10 6:6,	60: <i>3</i> , <i>9</i> , <i>21</i> 61: <i>9</i>	20, 23 98:10, 13
84:5, 6, 8, 10	7 23:22 24:1, 10	63: <i>8</i> , <i>1</i> 7 64: <i>4</i> , <i>16</i> ,	99:6, 16, 20
85:19 86:9, 10,	29:11 40:17	21, 22 65:5, 7	100:3 101:3, 12,
22 89:22 92:9,	41: <i>1</i> , <i>9</i> , <i>14</i> , <i>17</i>	66:19 67:20, 21	18 102:6, 15
11, 12 93:19, 23	43:3 57:18 59:6	68:19 71:10	language 43:7
95:13 96:19, 19	99:22 100:2	74:12, 16 77:7, 9,	language. 43:18
97:17, 21 98:1,	104:9, 13, 23	18 84:3 87:8	Lanier 4:16
12, 22 99:3, 17	105:8	90:9 91:4, 4, 12	128:6, 20
102:11, 13	Justices 6:16, 18	93:18, 21 94:1, 3	Lanier, 1:19
118:22 119:3, <i>10</i> ,	92:2	96:4, 10, 10, 19	128:21
18 120:3 121:19	juvenile 6:8 55:5	97:3, 9 98:14, 15,	Large 1:21 54:8
123:19 126:12, 20		19 99:8, 22	96:12 102:18
judge. 97:20	< K >	100:6, 16 101:19,	124:3 128:8
judges 34:2	Keegan 109:14	20 102:9 103:2,	last 5:11 21:16
39:23 40:14, 22	keep 4:20 56:7	3 107:14, 14	74:8
41:18 45:5	62:15 110:2, 7, 8	108:22 109:19	last. 119:2
46:18 48:6, 10,	116:2	111:11 113:16	lasted 113:3
16 50:7, 9 54:15,	Kenneth 2:23	114: <i>18</i> 115: <i>14</i> ,	late. 118:14
15, 22 55:14, 17	87:7	20 117:22 118:5,	lately 63:23
56:23 66:7	kept 107:2, 7	23 119:6 121:1,	later 105:19
68:15 73:2, 3	kicked 106:15	13, 19 122:18, 21,	later. 41:12
89:18 97:14	kidding. 54:12	23 123:4, 15	Law 2:11 3:13
103:3, 5 109:15	kids 77:18 88:5,	124:11, 20, 21	5:19 7:14, 17
114:2 116:20, 21	8, 9, 14 89:5, 22	125:3	47:7 50:1, 6
121:1, 21 124:6	108:15 109:22	know, 28:7 30:3	55:5, 6 56:2, 8
judge's 82:15	kids. 77:20	33:9 36:9 44:12	58:2 68:21 81:6,
83:1,2	91: <i>11</i> 92:22	49:11 57:10	8, 12, 16 84:6
judges. 44:1	Kimberly 59:9	65:7 72:7 102: <i>1</i>	88:2 <i>0</i> 100:5
60:23 100:1	Kimbrough 2:13	know. 37:20	111: <i>7, 13, 14, 22</i> ,
102:13 124:6	6:3, 3 11:9, 11	42:22 54:5	22 112: <i>1</i> , 2
judgment 73:12	kin 128: <i>14</i>	55: <i>19</i> 111: <i>11</i>	114: <i>14</i>
Judicial 1:17	kind 23:20	116: <i>14</i> 122:23	law. 6:5 61:5
Judith 109:14	60:19 68:12	124: <i>12</i>	81: <i>1</i> 7 86: <i>11</i>
Julia 2:13, 21	87:17 94:2	knowledge 53:16	laws 87:12, 22
3:7 6:3 11: <i>10</i> ,	109:2 124: <i>10</i>	124:16	lawyer 10:4
10 35:6, 15	125:2	knowledgeable.	97: <i>10</i> 105: <i>19</i>
Julie 2:14 13:22	knew 107:12	61: <i>4</i>	107:23
14: <i>4</i> , 7 25:23	know 10: <i>14</i>		lawyers 44:20
35:4, 6, 14 45:8	15:17 20:8 22:9	<l></l>	50: <i>10</i> , <i>11</i> 51: <i>18</i> ,
49: <i>14</i>	24:3 25:7, 11	label 51:17	19 52:19 55:18
Julie. 25:22	26:12, 14 27:18	lack 50:17 65:22	66:7 78:20
July 16:21 19:17	32:11, 23 33:11,	88:14 98:18	97:13, 14 98:1
jump 98: <i>16</i>	13 35:18 37:4	ladies 100:16	109: <i>16</i>
100: <i>19</i>	38:8 40:5 42:8,	lady 58:23 89:14,	layperson 67:21
junior 115:8	12 44:10 45:1	<i>21</i> 106:7	lays 96: <i>12</i> , <i>13</i>
just 16: <i>12</i> 29: <i>12</i>	46:18, 18 47:8,	laid 82:1, 2 95:15	leaps 126: <i>17</i>
34:19 58:22	12 52:6 53:1, <i>4</i> ,	Landry 2:23	learn 124: <i>18</i>
68:10 89:22	14, 14, 16 54:3, 3,	8:11, 19, 21 9:2	leave 9:21 125:9
91: <i>15</i> 102:6	7, 9 55:22 56:5	10: <i>4</i> , 7 48: <i>13</i> , <i>18</i>	Legal 2:7 7:5
114:3 124: <i>11</i>	57:23 59:11, 23	94:2 <i>0</i> , 20 97:18,	35:7, 12 50:12

Freedom Court Reporting, Inc

877-373-3660

E4.0 440.0		leeking 04:0	mail 40.4 50.00
51:9 116:6	75:5, 6 95:21	looking 34:3	mail 16:1 58:23
126:2, <i>4</i>	list. 42:20	50:9 58:3 63:18	85:13
legislation 57:19,	listen 98:2 122:4	71:22 74:5, 19	mailed 16:1
20	litems, 116:8	107:21 110:23	85:13
legislative 57:22	litigant 52:11, 11	looks 108:17	main 49:16
111: <i>13</i>	67:11 108:16	lose 108:16	77:21
legislators 87:21	110:2 121:2, 10	losing 107:2, 7	maintained 105:1,
90:18 91:7	124: <i>14</i>	lost 106:4	5
legislature 111:12	litigants 52:12	117:21	Maintaining 19:23
length 13:3	67:8 126: <i>1</i>	lot 7:22 17:8, 10	major 28:8, 9
less-than 121:3	litigants, 73:23	29:1 33:8, 10, 19	48:3
let's 31:6 119:14	litigants. 125:18	35:18, 19 40:8,	majority 20:21
letter 58:15, 19	litigation 6:13	10 42:5 48:2	42:10
60:1, 1, 11, 18	108: <i>1</i> , <i>10</i>	53:2 59:15	majority. 110:5
88:23 89:14	little 103:5	60:2 <i>1</i> , 22 61:5	make 10:21 51:1
level 52:10	little 13:6 18:15	62: <i>18</i> 63:20	make-up 5:6
99: <i>17</i> , <i>1</i> 7 110: <i>12</i> 123: <i>1</i> 5 124: <i>1</i> 6	24:11 30:6 37:6	69:23 70:4	making 27:14, 15,
level. 22:17	38:8 48:20 58:19 64:18	73:23 75:16	18 47:20, 22
99: <i>18</i> , <i>21</i>	86:16 96:2, 8, 17	88:5 93:21 97:7 102:18 119:11	69:23 70:3, 4, 7, 7, 18, 19, 20
levels 29:20	100:8 119:5	120:1, 1, 2, 13, 14	7, 78, 79, 20
103:22	live 77:19 100:9	120.1, 1, 2, 13, 14	109:17 115:1
levels. 27:2	101:4	124:5, 6 126:14,	man 5:6
Lexis 80:6	lives 92:14	21 127:5, 9	man. 24:17
liaison 6:19	lives 92.14	lot. 55:7	31: <i>1</i> 3
licensed 128:17	127:10	lots 3:3	Manager 7:1
lie 42:8 96:2, 3,	Lloyd 2:23	love 117:5	mandate 37:15
11 97:14	112:14, 15 116:4	love. 49:3	78:1 81:4
life 52:16 119:12	local 103:15	loved 52:16	mandated 78:4
life. 107:13	locations. 124:1	low 12:21 13:11	80:15 87:23
lifestyle 71:13	long 18:18	lowered 17:21	91:12
72:2	20:10 45:16	100:19, 21	mandatory 85:18,
lifetime 47:11	97:15 111:3	Lyn 2:10 6:6	<i>21, 23</i> 86:6
light 39:10	119:18 122:10	24:2 29:11	manner 128:16
like 14:13 51:6	longer 119:1		man's 19:20
55:2 73:16	Look 4:9 18:22	< M >	mantle 31:12
Limestone 59:2	19:1 21:10, 17	Macon 2:5 9:9	many 92:5
limit 75:12	22:3, 13, 23 33:5	Macy's 69:19	112:20
limitations 23:19,	39:18 40:13	Maddox 2:19	March 57:9
20	41:15 50:12, 13	5:14 8:7 12:7,	78:14 79:22
limited 12:23	51:16, 16 55:17	18 15:1, 4, 16	Mark 78:21
55:4 60:4	56:1 57:6, 14	18:16, 19 24:3, 6	marriage 32:4
limits 122:21	66:3 72:2 80:3	25:17, 19 28:21	married 20:19, 23
line 8:10 95:20	87:7 90:1, 20	55:2, 9, 14 75:7	married. 69:12
96:6 107:20	91:16, 17 92:8	118:11 125:5	marrying 69:13
line. 102:4	101:10 113:23	127:1, 4	Mary 2:12 29:4
lines 124:13	124:2, 4 127:13	Maddox. 87:21	125:16
125: <i>1</i> 2	looked 13:10, 12	Madison 2:15	Massachusetts
list 15:21 42:6	21:23 33:15	7:13 59:3 61:1	16: <i>16</i> 17:5 18:7
list 15:21 42:6		7:13 59:3 61:1 73:17	16: <i>16</i> 17:5 18:7

Freedom Court Reporting, Inc

143

matter 22:7 50:4	Molicea 2:21	mino 62:0 76:5	Maara 2:12 20:4
matter 23:7 50:4 67:19 72:21	Melissa 2:21 4:21, 22 5:5	mine 62:9 76:5 mine. 87:3	Moore 2: <i>12</i> 29: <i>4</i> , <i>4</i> 59:7 98: <i>9</i>
128:10		minimal 62:10	
	member 6:11, 20	minimum 34:1	125: <i>16</i> , <i>16</i> 126: <i>18</i> more 34: <i>1</i> 69:23
matter. 71:23	7:9, 23 23:15 29:3		91: <i>4</i>
128:13		68: <i>10</i> 72:3	• • • •
may 40:7 68:11	members 4:13	minute 55:6	morning 112:14
maybe 51:7	9:13, 15, 20	minutes 75:13	mother 51:11
McCalla 89:21	58:21 75:4 80:2	minutes. 50:8	107:16
McKINNEY 5:8	92:5, 6 94:19	missed 81:5	motion 8:2 11:5
me 50:12 89:18	105:14 118:12	misstate 101:8	25:18 29:23
me. 3:21 127:13	memory 34:11	Mobile 2:9 7:1	30:1, 11, 21, 21
128:10	36:4 38:7	89:4, 12	63:4 127:16,20
mean 17: <i>1</i> 3	men 74:9	model 17:15	motion, 11:7
26:13 38:1	mention 18:13	modification	31:3
40:21 51:18	26:1 89:17	82:17	move 43:21 44:6
59: <i>11</i> 83: <i>1</i>	123:20	modification,	Move. 127:17
89:20 95:20	mentioned 21:16	80:14	moved 127: <i>18</i>
110:23 115:7	31:22 64:2 <i>1</i>	modifications	moved. 11:9
116: <i>13</i>	77:11 87:17	103: <i>14</i>	moving 60:22
mean, 115:8	mentioned. 95:13	modify 51:22	112:20
meaningful. 119:8		module 55:2	much 14: <i>12</i>
means 93:11	mess 3:17	modules 54:23	50:18 72:13
123:16, 18	message 106:4	mom 87: <i>16</i>	much. 4:5, 11
meant 8:15, 18	Messick 128:6,	moment 52:15	35:16 72:14
9: <i>1</i> 10:2	20, 21	money 18: <i>15</i>	127:2 <i>1</i>
mechanism	met 5:11	19:12 20:10	my 36:3 40:16
63:14 88:22	Mgr 2:9	24:4 27:14	42:5 115:20
mediate 44:15	Michael 2:16 7:8	28:15 70:1 79:1	116: <i>1</i> 7 119:3
mediated 44:20	22:11	80:20 89:11	121:23
75:22 76:6	Michael. 7:7	93: <i>4</i> , <i>9</i> , <i>13</i> 102: <i>1</i>	
mediation 109:16	92:10	109:17 110:13	< N >
111:2 <i>1</i> 116: <i>4</i> , <i>1</i> 2	might 72:22	115: <i>1</i> 116: <i>9</i>	name 4:19 6:1
medical 38:10	Mike 16:13 18:4	money. 19:11	7:12 9:18 10:23
medicals 45:22	33:13 44:9 87:17	70:4	26:22 75:11, 18
medication 116:5	Mike. 35:11, 15	Montgomery	87:6 105:17
meet 87:20, 21	mileage. 118:17	1:18 85:13	112: <i>14</i>
119: <i>4</i> 123:5	miles 87:2	Montgomery.	named 106:7
MEETING 1:2, 15	113: <i>16</i>	86:8	National 2:17
5:12 7:18 10:11	military 77:22	month 26:14	7:10
11:7, 22 12:8	78:2, 15, 22	50: <i>3</i> , 3 61:2 <i>1</i>	natural 108:11
15:4 16:23	79:16 89:9	64:18 71:16, 17	necessarily
21:17 39:17	million 120:8	80:13, 19 82:6	121:10
43:6, <i>1</i> 7 44: <i>4</i>	million. 120:12	87:20 93:10	necessary 19:8
50:2, 2 55:22	millions 113:9	113: <i>1</i> 7 114:6	96:14 101:15
88:12 122:14	mind 33:5 34:15	month. 26:15	necessary. 19:9
meeting. 22:19	mind. 35:12	62:1 71:18 77:17	20:14
94:11	47:17	months 101:20	need 3:20 9:20
meetings 20:4	mindful 112:16	months. 68:3	10:21 12:14
119:2	116: <i>15</i> , <i>17</i>		14:13 18:11
meetings. 120:2	,		19:19 20:13
	I	I	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Freedom Court Reporting, Inc

877-373-3660
21:7 22:3, 9, 13	nightmare 61:7	82:4 114:12	Oh 72:4, 14 76:3
23:19 27:17	nightmare. 61:10	117:2 119:23	96:23 105: <i>15</i>
29:14 30:1, 1, 5,	nisi 73:4	127:1	118:4
8 32:16, 21, 22	no 46:13 62:20	number 7:20	Okay 7:7 23:18
40:1, 12 41:22	89:5 100:13	11:21 25:6 28:2	35:17 44:6
66: <i>10</i> 71: <i>1</i> 90: <i>1</i> ,	108:23	33:21 46:18	70:19 81:23
1 93:17 98:19	no, 78:8	64:22 89:19	87:4 105:14
110:17, 19, 19, 20,	No. 54:20 83:7	96:1 97:5	109:1 117:14
20 115:10	118:19		
		numbers 97:1	Okay. 32:18
118: <i>10</i> , <i>11</i>	non 69:21	numbers. 96:7, 15	39:8 42:23 58:4
119:13 122:23	non-adversarial	nurse 106:9	75:14 77:23
need. 94:17	112:10	nursing 115:8	81: <i>11</i> 85: <i>11</i>
122:17	noncustodial		old 26:5, 17
needed 13: <i>18</i>	49:10 59:20	< 0 >	omitted 10:22
needs 10:23	68:17 72:21	oath 98:6	on 3:1 7:18,20
19:21 22:15	77:14 91:22	obtain 106:13	12:5 13: <i>1</i> 7 18:9
65:16 76:8	92:6 100:12, 13	Obviously 13:20	31:22 33:20
87:16 99:7, 16	116:2	69:8 110: <i>11</i>	34:13 95:7
123:5	noncustodial,	occurring 89:15	97:15 124:4
negotiate 19:14	69:21	OCSE 63:23	onboard 53:15
44:14	noncustodial's	Of 5:10 7:3	once 61:21 62:1
neither 84: <i>8</i> , <i>9</i>	65:23	9:12 13:3, 13	77:17 92:15
128:14	non-employment	15:19 17:10	one 5:6 8:8
Nelson 2:8 7:2,	104: <i>19</i>	22:5 24:20 28:6,	34:18 69:20
2 24: <i>18</i> , 23 53: <i>4</i> ,	nonexistent.	8, 19 35:18, 19	87:13 88:7
8, 13, 20, 23	67:13	36:1 39:10, 20	110:12
54:19 59:8 60:3,	non-factor. 76:18	43:21 45:9	one- 53:16
7 64:1, 10, 13	non-wage 95:19	48:22 59:8 60:7	one-day 123:6
65:5 81:7	96:4	63:6, 20 64:17	one-half 87:14
103: <i>12</i> 120: <i>11</i> ,	nor 128: <i>15</i> , <i>15</i>	65:21 66:10	one-on-one
16 122:13, 17	normally 66:12	73:11 75:20	53: <i>14</i> 54:6 91: <i>5</i> ,
123: <i>10, 14</i>	nose, 93: <i>16</i>	77:1 79:6 88:21	7
net 17:7 42:6, 9,	not 37:15 40:6	90:23 93:21	ones 19: <i>4</i>
10, 16 98:17	44: <i>16</i> 51: <i>16</i>	101:23 102:18	only 25:23 86:5
never 13:12	59: <i>16</i> 65: <i>14</i> , <i>20</i>	104: <i>14</i> 114: <i>11</i>	88:13
20:23 36:8 81:5	68:1 78:4 84:16	115:5 119: <i>11</i>	on-one 53:17
115: <i>14</i> , <i>14</i> 122:6	85:16 95:11	120:1, 13 127:5	open 1:21 4:2
new 3:7 16:19,	121:17 126:6	128:7	125:13
22 19:4 26:11	not. 21:2 54:19	of. 49:17	operating 94:12
29:3, 16 32:2	Notary 1:20	off 82:1	opinion 47:21
41:23 48:5, 5	note 71:16, 17	offer 103:14	opinion. 78:12
50:7, 9 53:15	79:15	offered 29:18	opportunities
54:15, 21 55:3	noted 60:11	office 4:2 12:10	62: <i>10</i> 64: <i>14</i>
71:20, 21 78:19	notes. 112:21, 22	16:2 25:3, 20	opportunity
99:9 116:21	November 10:10	55:1 63:17	10: <i>15</i> 54:8
124:5, 6	now 83: <i>12</i> 110: <i>4</i>	81:13 103:15	64:19 89:7
news 93:12	114:10	126:1	90:16 91:15, 15
news. 59:9	now , 109: <i>14</i>	office. 103:16	92:17 93:19
nice 117:6	now. 17: <i>18</i>	Offices 82:12	101: <i>14</i> 112: <i>10</i>
	58:17 64:20		

Freedom Court Reporting, Inc

0			
Opposed 11:16	our 3:4 5:13	86:10 96:19	parties 32:3
20:20 31:9 124:3	9:20 13:20	126: <i>12</i> , 20	45:10, 17 65:11
opposing 105:11	23:18 34:11	Palmer , 77:10	73:6 86:9 96: <i>4</i> ,
opt 112:8, 9	38:1 39:16 60:4	panel 110:9	13 112:5, 6
option 83:23	76:21 82:16	panhandle	116: <i>16</i> 128: <i>15</i>
84: <i>19</i> 107: <i>12</i>	88:9 89:7, 15	113: <i>18</i> , <i>19</i>	parties, 96:9
or 3:20 16:4	90: <i>17</i> 91:6	papers 3:18	parties. 78:16
21: <i>19</i> , 22 23:8	92:21 93:17	paperwork 113:1,	79:17
28:3 29:23 38:8	94:12	5	parts 60:22
44:14,23 60:1	ourselves. 5:17	parent 38:9 40:3	party 40:6, 7
64:4 66:11	out 15:16 23:19	47:19 49:6, 7, 10,	46:2, 3 63:1, 13
67:18 90:15	118: <i>16</i>	10, 15 51:11	69:4, 6 84:8, 9
97:21 113:6	out. 77:13 95:15,	56:15 59:20	113:5 121:3
116:1 123:6	22	63:6, 15 68:17	party. 63:16
order 6:12 27:17	outcome 20:1	69:22 76:9	105:12
51:23 68:16	36:1	77:15 87:14	Paschal 2:23
73:1, 3, 4, 16	out-of-pocket	91:22 96:21	10:12 87:6, 7
74:1 81:9, 15, 18,	45:22	97:10 100:13	92:13 94:15
21 82:15, 22	outside 3:13	103:19 116:1, 2	passed 75:7
83:2, 2, 19 84:1	70:21 76:21	parent. 72:21	111:12, 23
127:8	114:21 115:20	parenting 13:8	118:13 127:20
order. 81:10	over 13:9 20:4	19:10 20:9	passing 19:4
84:1, 10	88:8	21:11 44:13, 15	paternity 62:19
ordered 62:20	over. 98:16	52:13 57:7, 11	pay 25:6, 9
69:2 70:8 96:23	overall 17:22	62:14 63:15	29:15 80:15, 18,
ordered. 62:21	19:12 93:15	74:12 87:18	23 82:17 83:2, 9
orders 81:13	overall. 40:22	93:8 102:20	85:6, 7, 10 98:23
85:23 95:12	overcome 69:8	Parents 2:17	102:10 107:3, 6,
ore 8:15, 18 9:1	70:14	7:11 19:13, 18	11 117:19 118:7
10:2 98:12, 13, 15	_	20:9 22:6 44:14	121:5, 6, 17
Organization	own 5:8	47:16, 20, 22	paycheck 82:7
2:17 9:19 93:18	own. 100:15	49:15 50:19	paying 28:15
Organization.		62:14 76:11	40:6 63:1, 16
7:11 87:11	< P >	77:9 88:1 92:6	70:1 76:11 84:16
organizational	p.m. 127:22	100:12 112:11	payment 81:5
55:22	page 8:10	120:17	84:13, 16 86:1, 3,
orientation 55:4	pages 10:17	parents. 19:15	6, 7
orientation. 50:7	128:12	47:23 100:12	payroll 84:20
original 9:13, 15,	paid 15:5, 12	part 23:1 103:6	pediatric 106:8
20	24:4, 5 80:12	113:18 115:16	Penalty 68:13, 14
other 39:11	paid. 40:11	part. 115:15	Penny 2:11 7:16
45:13 53:5	painted 121:21	participated 53:1	32:23 35:14 72:8
54:22 58:5 66:4	Palmer 2:14	particular 32:20	Penny. 23:5
69:5 74:21	14:1, 4, 4, 9	34:14, 14 35:20	Pensacola 113:20
94:18 127:7	25:23, 23 36:13,	40:15, 18 41:2, 3	people 7:19
other. 45:4	19 45:9 46:9	43:2 51:23	30:15 36:16
52:17 62:6	48:1 49:4, 9	60:15 65:3	42:8 51:6 52:15
ought 23:7 34:1	71:4 72:1 77:12	103:17, 23	58:7 61:12
59:10 63:13	83:17 84:6, 8	106:19 121:8, 15	62:18 66:18, 23
72:15 122:10		particularly 62:9	67:18, 20 71:6
12.10 122.10			01.10,20 11.0

Freedom Court Reporting, Inc

_			
73:15 74:14, 15	playing 9:5	posed 117:4	114: <i>18</i>
75:16 92:3	pleading 73:4	position 29:15	prison 117: <i>18</i>
95:11 96:10, 11	please 4:3, 18	position. 61:17	private 6:4 7:9
97:8 100:7	11:8 12:6 14:3	positions 110:18	pro 32:9, 16
101:15 110:15	19:6 31: <i>4</i> 84:3	positive 9:3	52:10, 11, 12, 19
120:14 124:14	87:5 93:18	possible 8:9	121:2, 5, 10, 13
125:3 126:6, 18	pleasure 52:6, 7	30:13	124:14 125:18
people. 4:15	124:18	possible. 59:14	126:1, 6
15:22 70:22	plus 57:15	possibly 43:23	probably 12:14
people's 106:18	61:18 95:4	44:4 55:15 92:8	20:21 26:20
percent 24:20	pocket 113:9	post-minority	59:15 92:23
25:10, 13, 14	116: <i>10</i>	77:1	93:9 97:10
45:23 71:6 82:8	pockets 102:21	power 68:15	113:16 114:10
95:4	point 6:15 10:22	powers 66:13	122: <i>4</i> , <i>21</i>
percent. 91:9	15:6 19:20 23:2	practical 23:6	probate 112:2
perhaps 116:22	27:20 28:13	67:19	probate, 108:3
perimeter 76:21	30:17 34:8 62:5	practice 3:13 6:4	problem 39:20
period. 49:5	88:1 95:16	practiced 5:19	46: <i>14</i> 48: <i>12</i>
periods 51:12	107: <i>19</i> 112:9, <i>12</i>	7:14 107:23	62:17 73:16
perking 100:16	point, 68: <i>9</i>	practicing 66:7	92:21 97:7
permanent 27:5	point. 6:21 33:2	preamble 57:13	103: <i>17, 19</i>
permission 29:20	41:20 42:2	precedent 79:3	109:2 <i>1</i> 116:23
perpetually 110:4	88:22 123:10	predecessor 4:7	121: <i>4</i>
Perry 2:12 29:5	pointed 55:3	prefer 52:19	problem. 111:4
person 21:5	pointing 77:13	preliminary 13:4	problems 46:16
46:4 68:21 69:2	points 75:21	premium 32:13	47:20 123:23
72:9 110:13	101:7	premium. 32:4, 10	procedure 23:10
person. 110:14	points. 105:21	present 65:9	88:22 94:12
114: <i>17</i>	Polemeni 2:16	105:5	proceeding
persons 20:18, 22	7:8, 8 16:15	Present: 2:20	108:11, 19 109:23
perspective	17:16, 20 18:3, 6	presentation	proceeding.
59:19 72:5	19:7 20:7 21:6,	13:2, 4	109:3, 7
111: <i>1</i> 123: <i>1</i>	13 28:6, 17 31:1	presented 72:8	proceedings
petition 117:23	33:19 35:7 36:1,	presumed 22:6	1:14 109:6
Ph.D. 19:22	9, 21 37:18	69:5	128: <i>9</i> , <i>1</i> 3
phone 80:21	38:22 42:5, 18,	presumption	process 25:5
physical 51:9	21 44:12 46:8,	69:3 70:12	60:23 65:16
56:17	12 47:15 57:7,	presumption.	85: <i>14</i> 103:20
physically 96:6	13 58:4 72:7, 17	70:14	104:7 106:21
pick 31:17	74:6 80:1 82:20	pretty 54:4, 7	111:18 112:8
picture 34:4	83:4 85:16,20	64:2 71:5	process. 56:3
pitch 28:9	92:11 94:15	previous 6:13	112:7
place 108:20	97:19 117:16	price 26:12 27:2	produced 105:1,
116:13	118:2, 5 124:13	primarily 7:14	10
placement 48:22	125:11 127:19	51:10	produced. 105:3
56:10	policy 32:10	primary 6:5	productive
placement. 44:8	population 123:4	principally 103:1	110:21
places 87:15	portfolio 115:13	principle. 99:3	Program 2:9 7:1
places 07:70	portion 99:1	prior 32:4 66:16	54: <i>11</i> , <i>14</i> 64:7
	I	I	l

-			
120:7 127: <i>12</i> program. 24:9	question 16:10 23:22 25:2	rebuttal 101: <i>1</i> 103: <i>10</i>	regarding 10: <i>13</i> 111:6 115: <i>4</i>
programs 64:8	27:10 58:16	receipts 114:8, 9,	regional 124:3
		•	
progress. 93:3	77:7 91:18	12	registered 69:18
property 79: <i>8</i> , <i>9</i> ,	117: <i>1</i> 6	receive 101:23	reimburse 24:7
11	question, 39:4	received 57:8	reimbursement
proposed 32:8	98:21	58:15 63:22	118: <i>17</i>
47:10	question. 26:19	78:6 89:1	rein 48:20
prove 79:1 96:14		receiving 40:7	related 24:8
provide 22:7	questions 4:4	63:13 88:15	104:19, 20
35:7 38:19 67:1,	56:9	93:4, 8	relates 21:11
4 68:20 70:9	quick 12:5 120:5	recognize 71:1	35:23 48:23
72:20 95:4	quickly 42:2	83:21	54:2 94:22
104:16 112:10	43:5 44: <i>4</i>	recognized 56:20	relating 111:19
113:5 123:15	quiet. 103:12	recommend	relations 6:9
provided 68:3	quit. 9:11	22:16 23:9 90:5,	Relations. 14:6
105: <i>11</i>	quite 32:14	13 92:2, 7	relationship
providing 123:3	44:11 58:7	recommendation	19:23 21: <i>1</i> , <i>4</i>
provision 56:13,	86:20 87:8	14:11 32:20	62:4, 13 81:2
18	00.20 07.0	88:18	relationships
-			20:20
psychologists	< R >	recommends	
116:8	raise 102:7, 11	25:4	remember 38:12
psychology 109:9		reconsider 78:18	Rena 1:19 4:16
Public 1:20 58:7,	range 13:9	reconsideration	128:6, <i>20</i> , <i>21</i>
8, 12 74:22 75:4	rata 32:9, 16	78:7, 8	repeat 69:10
87:5 91:23 94:7,	rather 82:12	reconsideration.	repeatedly 100.14
01.0 31.20 34.7,		reconsideration.	repeatedly 122:14
11, 19 105:15	109:6	83: <i>11</i>	report 12:5, 22
11, 19 105:15 117:12 125:13,	109: <i>6</i> reach 64: <i>19</i>	83: <i>11</i> Record 6: <i>10</i>	report 12:5, 22 18:15 27:23
11, 19 105:15 117:12 125:13, 14 127:15	109:6 reach 64: <i>19</i> read 8: <i>4</i> 10: <i>15</i>	83: <i>11</i> Record 6: <i>10</i> 52: <i>19</i> 104: <i>10</i>	report 12:5, 22 18:15 27:23 29:19 30:16
11, 19 105:15 117:12 125:13, 14 127:15 public. 1:22	109:6 reach 64: <i>19</i> read 8:4 10: <i>15</i> 12: <i>14</i> 19: <i>1</i> 6	83: <i>11</i> Record 6: <i>10</i> 52: <i>19</i> 104: <i>10</i> records 66: <i>15</i>	report 12:5, 22 18:15 27:23 29:19 30:16 43:15, 17 71:6
11, 19 105:15 117:12 125:13, 14 127:15 public. 1:22 pull 25:8	109:6 reach 64: <i>19</i> read 8: <i>4</i> 10: <i>15</i> 12: <i>14</i> 19: <i>16</i> read. 85:2 <i>1</i>	83: <i>11</i> Record 6: <i>10</i> 52: <i>19</i> 104: <i>10</i> records 66: <i>15</i> redid 16: <i>19</i>	report 12:5, 22 18:15 27:23 29:19 30:16 43:15, 17 71:6 reported 128:10
11, 19 105:15 117:12 125:13, 14 127:15 public. 1:22 pull 25:8 purchase 15:20	109:6 reach 64:19 read 8:4 10:15 12:14 19:16 read. 85:21 reading 10:19	83:11 Record 6:10 52:19 104:10 records 66:15 redid 16:19 17:12, 13, 19, 20	report 12:5, 22 18:15 27:23 29:19 30:16 43:15, 17 71:6 reported 128:10 Reporter 1:20
11, 19 105:15 117:12 125:13, 14 127:15 public. 1:22 pull 25:8 purchase 15:20 purpose 37:12, 17	109:6 reach 64:19 read 8:4 10:15 12:14 19:16 read. 85:21 reading 10:19 88:12	83:11 Record 6:10 52:19 104:10 records 66:15 redid 16:19 17:12, 13, 19, 20 redoing 18:22	report 12:5, 22 18:15 27:23 29:19 30:16 43:15, 17 71:6 reported 128:10 Reporter 1:20 128:7, 22
11, 19 105:15 117:12 125:13, 14 127:15 public. 1:22 pull 25:8 purchase 15:20 purpose 37:12, 17 purpose. 93:14	109:6 reach 64:19 read 8:4 10:15 12:14 19:16 read. 85:21 reading 10:19 88:12 real 3:5 4:9	83:11 Record 6:10 52:19 104:10 records 66:15 redid 16:19 17:12, 13, 19, 20 redoing 18:22 19:1 27:4	report 12:5, 22 18:15 27:23 29:19 30:16 43:15, 17 71:6 reported 128:10 Reporter 1:20 128:7, 22 Reporter. 128:19
11, 19 105:15 117:12 125:13, 14 127:15 public. 1:22 pull 25:8 purchase 15:20 purpose 37:12, 17 purpose. 93:14 pursuant 6:12	109:6 reach 64:19 read 8:4 10:15 12:14 19:16 read. 85:21 reading 10:19 88:12 real 3:5 4:9 26:7 48:12 61:1	83:11 Record 6:10 52:19 104:10 records 66:15 redid 16:19 17:12, 13, 19, 20 redoing 18:22 19:1 27:4 reduced 127:4	report 12:5, 22 18:15 27:23 29:19 30:16 43:15, 17 71:6 reported 128:10 Reporter 1:20 128:7, 22 Reporter. 128:19 Reporting 4:17
11, 19 105:15 117:12 125:13, 14 127:15 public. 1:22 pull 25:8 purchase 15:20 purpose 37:12, 17 purpose. 93:14 pursuant 6:12 push 43:16	109:6 reach 64:19 read 8:4 10:15 12:14 19:16 read. 85:21 reading 10:19 88:12 real 3:5 4:9 26:7 48:12 61:1 62:2 120:5	83:11 Record 6:10 52:19 104:10 records 66:15 redid 16:19 17:12, 13, 19, 20 redoing 18:22 19:1 27:4 reduced 127:4 reduced 127:4	report 12:5, 22 18:15 27:23 29:19 30:16 43:15, 17 71:6 reported 128:10 Reporter 1:20 128:7, 22 Reporter. 128:19 Reporting 4:17 128:18
11, 19 105:15 117:12 125:13, 14 127:15 public. 1:22 pull 25:8 purchase 15:20 purpose 37:12, 17 purpose. 93:14 pursuant 6:12 push 43:16 put 14:20 34:19	109:6 reach 64:19 read 8:4 10:15 12:14 19:16 read. 85:21 reading 10:19 88:12 real 3:5 4:9 26:7 48:12 61:1 62:2 120:5 realignment	83:11 Record 6:10 52:19 104:10 records 66:15 redid 16:19 17:12, 13, 19, 20 redoing 18:22 19:1 27:4 reduced 127:4 reduction 115:5, 17	report 12:5, 22 18:15 27:23 29:19 30:16 43:15, 17 71:6 reported 128:10 Reporter 1:20 128:7, 22 Reporter. Reporter. 128:19 Reporting 4:17 128:18 represent 63:5
11, 19 105:15 117:12 125:13, 14 127:15 public. 1:22 pull 25:8 purchase 15:20 purpose 37:12, 17 purpose. 93:14 pursuant 6:12 push 43:16 put 14:20 34:19 67:3 79:15	109:6 reach 64:19 read 8:4 10:15 12:14 19:16 read. 85:21 reading 10:19 88:12 real 3:5 4:9 26:7 48:12 61:1 62:2 120:5 realignment 12:21	83:11 Record 6:10 52:19 104:10 records 66:15 redid 16:19 17:12, 13, 19, 20 redoing 18:22 19:1 27:4 reduced 127:4 reduction 115:5, 17 referee 5:20	report 12:5, 22 18:15 27:23 29:19 30:16 43:15, 17 71:6 reported 128:10 Reporter 1:20 128:7, 22 Reporter. Reporter. 128:19 Reporter. 128:19 Reporting 4:17 128:18 represent 63:5 116:1
11, 19 105:15 117:12 125:13, 14 127:15 public. 1:22 pull 25:8 purchase 15:20 purpose 37:12, 17 purpose. 93:14 pursuant 6:12 push 43:16 put 14:20 34:19	109:6 reach 64:19 read 8:4 10:15 12:14 19:16 read. 85:21 reading 10:19 88:12 real 3:5 4:9 26:7 48:12 61:1 62:2 120:5 realignment	83:11 Record 6:10 52:19 104:10 records 66:15 redid 16:19 17:12, 13, 19, 20 redoing 18:22 19:1 27:4 reduced 127:4 reduction 115:5, 17	report 12:5, 22 18:15 27:23 29:19 30:16 43:15, 17 71:6 reported 128:10 Reporter 1:20 128:7, 22 Reporter. Reporter. 128:19 Reporting 4:17 128:18 represent 63:5
11, 19 105:15 117:12 125:13, 14 127:15 public. 1:22 pull 25:8 purchase 15:20 purpose 37:12, 17 purpose. 93:14 pursuant 6:12 push 43:16 put 14:20 34:19 67:3 79:15	109:6 reach 64:19 read 8:4 10:15 12:14 19:16 read. 85:21 reading 10:19 88:12 real 3:5 4:9 26:7 48:12 61:1 62:2 120:5 realignment 12:21	83:11 Record 6:10 52:19 104:10 records 66:15 redid 16:19 17:12, 13, 19, 20 redoing 18:22 19:1 27:4 reduced 127:4 reduction 115:5, 17 referee 5:20	report 12:5, 22 18:15 27:23 29:19 30:16 43:15, 17 71:6 reported 128:10 Reporter 1:20 128:7, 22 Reporter. Reporter. 128:19 Reporter. 128:19 Reporting 4:17 128:18 represent 63:5 116:1
11, 19 105:15 117:12 125:13, 14 127:15 public. 1:22 pull 25:8 purchase 15:20 purpose 37:12, 17 purpose. 93:14 pursuant 6:12 push 43:16 put 14:20 34:19 67:3 79:15 84:10 89:19	109:6 reach 64:19 read 8:4 10:15 12:14 19:16 read. 85:21 reading 10:19 88:12 real 3:5 4:9 26:7 48:12 61:1 62:2 120:5 realignment 12:21 realize 61:11	83:11 Record 6:10 52:19 104:10 records 66:15 redid 16:19 17:12, 13, 19, 20 redoing 18:22 19:1 27:4 reduced 127:4 reduced 127:4 referee 5:20 refereeing 67:9	report 12:5, 22 18:15 27:23 29:19 30:16 43:15, 17 71:6 reported 128:10 Reporter 1:20 128:7, 22 Reporter. 128:19 Reporting 4:17 128:18 represent 63:5 116:1 representative
11, 19 105:15 117:12 125:13, 14 127:15 public. 1:22 pull 25:8 purchase 15:20 purpose 37:12, 17 purpose. 93:14 pursuant 6:12 push 43:16 put 14:20 34:19 67:3 79:15 84:10 89:19 91:8 96:7 105:6 109:2 116:9	109:6 reach 64:19 read 8:4 10:15 12:14 19:16 read. 85:21 reading 10:19 88:12 real 3:5 4:9 26:7 48:12 61:1 62:2 120:5 realignment 12:21 realize 61:11 really 3:16 13:18 21:7	83:11 Record 6:10 52:19 104:10 records 66:15 redid 16:19 17:12, 13, 19, 20 redoing 18:22 19:1 27:4 reduced 127:4 reduction 115:5, 17 referee 5:20 refereeing 67:9 reference 10:16	report 12:5, 22 18:15 27:23 29:19 30:16 43:15, 17 71:6 reported 128:10 Reporter 1:20 128:7, 22 Reporter. 128:19 Reporting 4:17 128:18 represent 63:5 116:1 representative 24:19
11, 19 105:15 117:12 125:13, 14 127:15 public. 1:22 pull 25:8 purchase 15:20 purpose 37:12, 17 purpose. 93:14 pursuant 6:12 push 43:16 put 14:20 34:19 67:3 79:15 84:10 89:19 91:8 96:7 105:6 109:2 116:9 117:18 118:2, 8	109:6 reach 64:19 read 8:4 10:15 12:14 19:16 read. 85:21 reading 10:19 88:12 real 3:5 4:9 26:7 48:12 61:1 62:2 120:5 realignment 12:21 realize 61:11 really 3:16 13:18 21:7 34:13 41:17	83:11 Record 6:10 52:19 104:10 records 66:15 redid 16:19 17:12, 13, 19, 20 redoing 18:22 19:1 27:4 reduced 127:4 reduced 127:4 referee 5:20 refereeing 67:9 reference 10:16 18:8 referred 8:13	report 12:5, 22 18:15 27:23 29:19 30:16 43:15, 17 71:6 reported 128:10 Reporter 1:20 128:7, 22 Reporter. Reporter. 128:19 Reporting 4:17 128:18 represent 63:5 116:1 representative 24:19 representatives 123:22
11, 19 105:15 117:12 125:13, 14 127:15 public. 1:22 pull 25:8 purchase 15:20 purpose 37:12, 17 purpose. 93:14 pursuant 6:12 push 43:16 put 14:20 34:19 67:3 79:15 84:10 89:19 91:8 96:7 105:6 109:2 116:9 117:18 118:2, 8 125:6	109:6 reach 64:19 read 8:4 10:15 12:14 19:16 read. 85:21 reading 10:19 88:12 real 3:5 4:9 26:7 48:12 61:1 62:2 120:5 realignment 12:21 realize 61:11 realize 61:11 realiy 3:16 13:18 21:7 34:13 41:17 42:19 48:8	83:11 Record 6:10 52:19 104:10 records 66:15 redid 16:19 17:12, 13, 19, 20 redoing 18:22 19:1 27:4 reduced 127:4 reduction 115:5, 17 referee 5:20 refereeing 67:9 reference 10:16 18:8 referred 8:13 referring 79:20	report 12:5, 22 18:15 27:23 29:19 30:16 43:15, 17 71:6 reported 128:10 Reporter 1:20 128:7, 22 Reporter. Reporter. 128:19 Reporting 4:17 128:18 represent 63:5 116:1 representative 24:19 representatives 123:22 represented 123:22
11, 19 105:15 117:12 125:13, 14 127:15 public. 1:22 pull 25:8 purchase 15:20 purpose 37:12, 17 purpose. 93:14 pursuant 6:12 push 43:16 put 14:20 34:19 67:3 79:15 84:10 89:19 91:8 96:7 105:6 109:2 116:9 117:18 118:2, 8 125:6 puts 72:9	109:6 reach 64:19 read 8:4 10:15 12:14 19:16 read. 85:21 reading 10:19 88:12 real 3:5 4:9 26:7 48:12 61:1 62:2 120:5 realignment 12:21 realize 61:11 really 3:16 13:18 21:7 34:13 41:17 42:19 48:8 52:11 55:19	83:11 Record 6:10 52:19 104:10 records 66:15 redid 16:19 17:12, 13, 19, 20 redoing 18:22 19:1 27:4 reduced 127:4 reduction 115:5, 17 referee 5:20 refereeing 67:9 reference 10:16 18:8 referred 8:13 referring 79:20 reform 37:4	report 12:5, 22 18:15 27:23 29:19 30:16 43:15, 17 71:6 reported 128:10 Reporter 1:20 128:7, 22 Reporter. 128:19 Reporter. 128:19 Reporting 4:17 128:18 represent represent 63:5 116:1 representative 24:19 representatives 123:22 represented 45:10, 17 46:2, 4
11, 19 105:15 117:12 125:13, 14 127:15 public. 1:22 pull 25:8 purchase 15:20 purpose 37:12, 17 purpose. 93:14 pursuant 6:12 push 43:16 put 14:20 34:19 67:3 79:15 84:10 89:19 91:8 96:7 105:6 109:2 116:9 117:18 118:2, 8 125:6 puts 72:9 putting 29:8	109:6 reach 64:19 read 8:4 10:15 12:14 19:16 read. 85:21 reading 10:19 88:12 real 3:5 4:9 26:7 48:12 61:1 62:2 120:5 realignment 12:21 realize 61:11 really 3:16 13:18 21:7 34:13 41:17 42:19 48:8 52:11 55:19 57:1,21 59:21	83:11 Record 6:10 52:19 104:10 records 66:15 redid 16:19 17:12, 13, 19, 20 redoing 18:22 19:1 27:4 reduced 127:4 reduction 115:5, 17 referee 5:20 refereeing 67:9 reference 10:16 18:8 referred 8:13 referring 79:20 reform 37:4 refresh 34:11	report 12:5, 22 18:15 27:23 29:19 30:16 43:15, 17 71:6 reported 128:10 Reporter 1:20 128:7, 22 Reporter Reporter 1:28:19 Reporter 128:7, 22 Reporter 1:28:19 Reporting 4:17 128:18 represent represent 63:5 116:1 representative 24:19 representatives 123:22 represented 45:10, 17 46:2, 4 62:3 97:10 126:7
11, 19 105:15 117:12 125:13, 14 127:15 public. 1:22 pull 25:8 purchase 15:20 purpose. 93:14 pursuant 6:12 push 43:16 put 14:20 34:19 67:3 79:15 84:10 89:19 91:8 96:7 105:6 109:2 116:9 117:18 118:2, 8 125:6 puts 72:9	109:6 reach 64:19 read 8:4 10:15 12:14 19:16 read. 85:21 reading 10:19 88:12 real 3:5 4:9 26:7 48:12 61:1 62:2 120:5 realignment 12:21 realize 61:11 really 3:16 13:18 21:7 34:13 41:17 42:19 48:8 52:11 55:19 57:1,21 59:21 64:3 71:23	83:11 Record 6:10 52:19 104:10 records 66:15 redid 16:19 17:12, 13, 19, 20 redoing 18:22 19:1 27:4 reduced 127:4 reduced 127:4 reduction 115:5, 17 referee 5:20 reference 10:16 18:8 referred 8:13 referred 8:13 referring 79:20 reform 37:4 refresh 34:11 36:3 38:7	report 12:5, 22 18:15 27:23 29:19 30:16 43:15, 17 71:6 reported 128:10 Reporter 1:20 128:7, 22 Reporter. 128:19 Reporting 4:17 128:18 represent 63:5 116:1 representative 24:19 representatives 123:22 represented 45:10, 17 46:2, 4 62:3 97:10 126:7 representing
11, 19 105:15 117:12 125:13, 14 127:15 public. 1:22 pull 25:8 purchase 15:20 purpose 37:12, 17 purpose. 93:14 pursuant 6:12 push 43:16 put 14:20 34:19 67:3 79:15 84:10 89:19 91:8 96:7 105:6 109:2 116:9 117:18 118:2, 8 125:6 puts 72:9 putting 29:8 110:15	109:6 reach 64:19 read 8:4 10:15 12:14 19:16 read. 85:21 reading 10:19 88:12 real 3:5 4:9 26:7 48:12 61:1 62:2 120:5 realignment 12:21 realize 61:11 really 3:16 13:18 21:7 34:13 41:17 42:19 48:8 52:11 55:19 57:1,21 59:21 64:3 71:23 76:20 95:2 99:7	83:11 Record 6:10 52:19 104:10 records 66:15 redid 16:19 17:12, 13, 19, 20 redoing 18:22 19:1 27:4 reduced 127:4 reduced 127:4 referee 5:20 refereeing 67:9 reference 10:16 18:8 referred 8:13 referring 79:20 reform 37:4 refresh 34:11 36:3 38:7 refuses 70:11	report 12:5, 22 18:15 27:23 29:19 30:16 43:15, 17 71:6 reported 128:10 Reporter 1:20 128:7, 22 Reporter 128:19 Reporter 128:19 Reporting 4:17 128:18 represent represent 63:5 116:1 representative 24:19 representatives 123:22 represented 45:10, 17 46:2, 4 62:3 97:10 126:7 representing 61:13
11, 19 105:15 117:12 125:13, 14 127:15 public. 1:22 pull 25:8 purchase 15:20 purpose 37:12, 17 purpose. 93:14 pursuant 6:12 push 43:16 put 14:20 34:19 67:3 79:15 84:10 89:19 91:8 96:7 105:6 109:2 116:9 117:18 118:2, 8 125:6 puts 72:9 putting 29:8 110:15 <q></q>	109:6 reach 64:19 read 8:4 10:15 12:14 19:16 read. 85:21 reading 10:19 88:12 real 3:5 4:9 26:7 48:12 61:1 62:2 120:5 realignment 12:21 realize 61:11 really 3:16 13:18 21:7 34:13 41:17 42:19 48:8 52:11 55:19 57:1,21 59:21 64:3 71:23 76:20 95:2 99:7 116:10 119:13,22	83:11 Record 6:10 52:19 104:10 records 66:15 redid 16:19 17:12, 13, 19, 20 redoing 18:22 19:1 27:4 reduced 127:4 reduced 127:4 reduction 115:5, 17 referee 5:20 refereeing 67:9 reference 10:16 18:8 referred 8:13 referring 79:20 reform 37:4 refuses 70:11 refusing 69:22	report 12:5, 22 18:15 27:23 29:19 30:16 43:15, 17 71:6 reported 128:10 Reporter 1:20 128:7, 22 Reporter Reporter 1:28:19 Reporter 128:7, 22 Reporter 1:28:19 Reporting 4:17 128:18 represent represent 63:5 116:1 representative 24:19 representatives 123:22 represented 45:10, 17 46:2, 4 62:3 97:10 126:7 representing 61:13 request 14:20
11, 19 105:15 117:12 125:13, 14 127:15 public. 1:22 pull 25:8 purchase 15:20 purpose 37:12, 17 purpose. 93:14 pursuant 6:12 push 43:16 put 14:20 34:19 67:3 79:15 84:10 89:19 91:8 96:7 105:6 109:2 116:9 117:18 118:2, 8 125:6 puts 72:9 putting 29:8 110:15 < Q > quality 104:6	109:6 reach 64:19 read 8:4 10:15 12:14 19:16 read. 85:21 reading 10:19 88:12 real 3:5 4:9 26:7 48:12 61:1 62:2 120:5 realignment 12:21 realize 61:11 really 3:16 13:18 21:7 34:13 41:17 42:19 48:8 52:11 55:19 57:1,21 59:21 64:3 71:23 76:20 95:2 99:7 116:10 119:13,22 reason 45:4	83:11 Record 6:10 52:19 104:10 records 66:15 redid 16:19 17:12, 13, 19, 20 redoing 18:22 19:1 27:4 reduced 127:4 reduced 127:4 referee 5:20 refereeing 67:9 reference 10:16 18:8 referred 8:13 referring 79:20 reform 37:4 refresh 34:11 36:3 38:7 refuses 70:11	report 12:5, 22 18:15 27:23 29:19 30:16 43:15, 17 71:6 reported 128:10 Reporter 1:20 128:7, 22 Reporter 128:19 Reporter 128:19 Reporting 4:17 128:18 represent represent 63:5 116:1 representative 24:19 representatives 123:22 represented 45:10, 17 46:2, 4 62:3 97:10 126:7 representing 61:13
11, 19 105:15 117:12 125:13, 14 127:15 public. 1:22 pull 25:8 purchase 15:20 purpose 37:12, 17 purpose. 93:14 pursuant 6:12 push 43:16 put 14:20 34:19 67:3 79:15 84:10 89:19 91:8 96:7 105:6 109:2 116:9 117:18 118:2, 8 125:6 puts 72:9 putting 29:8 110:15 <q></q>	109:6 reach 64:19 read 8:4 10:15 12:14 19:16 read. 85:21 reading 10:19 88:12 real 3:5 4:9 26:7 48:12 61:1 62:2 120:5 realignment 12:21 realize 61:11 really 3:16 13:18 21:7 34:13 41:17 42:19 48:8 52:11 55:19 57:1,21 59:21 64:3 71:23 76:20 95:2 99:7 116:10 119:13,22	83:11 Record 6:10 52:19 104:10 records 66:15 redid 16:19 17:12, 13, 19, 20 redoing 18:22 19:1 27:4 reduced 127:4 reduced 127:4 reduction 115:5, 17 referee 5:20 refereeing 67:9 reference 10:16 18:8 referred 8:13 referring 79:20 reform 37:4 refuses 70:11 refusing 69:22	report 12:5, 22 18:15 27:23 29:19 30:16 43:15, 17 71:6 reported 128:10 Reporter 1:20 128:7, 22 Reporter Reporter 1:28:19 Reporter 128:7, 22 Reporter 1:28:19 Reporting 4:17 128:18 represent represent 63:5 116:1 representative 24:19 representatives 123:22 represented 45:10, 17 46:2, 4 62:3 97:10 126:7 representing 61:13 request 14:20

Freedom Court Reporting, Inc

require 13:13	results 124:10	72:17 73:9 74:3	110:9 123: <i>4</i>
32:12 38:19	128:16	92:9 97:1, 12	127:8
112:5	retired 82:1, 3	98:9 99:15	say, 97:2 101:18
required 96:13	retired. 5:22	101:17 102:5	saying 50:14
115:15, 16	retirement 78:2,	104:1, 8, 22	72:5 93:2 97:21
required, 66:15	15 79:16 82:5	105:7 123:9	saying. 23:14
requirement 67:3,	retirement, 82:8	rightfully 32:5	says 19:21
17	retirement. 77:22	rightly 63:4	52:12 57:19
requirements	return 68:18	Rights 2:16 7:10	68:16 70:6
93:7	return. 122:2	15:10 87:9	72:12 77:17
requires 32:6	returns 67:19	road 33:6	78:8 79:6, 12
81:6, 8, 12 104:23	reversed 31:22	Rogers 15:2	95:3, 18, 18, 19,
requiring 38:9	32:5	role 6:20	19 96:3 105:4
105:3, 10	review 11:20, 21	roll 119: <i>13</i>	109:15 123:16, 17
Reroute 110:10	17:10 42:1	room 5:16 91:19	scale, 54:8
research 12:1	44:19 45:10	97:14 103:11	schedule 11:20
37:7, 11 38:8	103:21, 22	116: <i>1</i> 7	12:2, 3 17:22
Researching	revise 51:2	room, 87:7	18:23 21:18, 22
16: <i>15</i>	revisit 32:16, 22	root 111:4	22:15 23:1, 2
reside 51:10	34:17	Roy 2:23 90:9	33:14 48:20
resident 112:15	rid 49:12, 17, 22	Rule 22:13	49:2 51: <i>13</i>
resolution.	right 9:16 11:4,	31:23 32:6, 8, 11	schedule. 11:21
109: <i>13</i>	18, 19 13:20	34:8 40:1, 21	scheduled 12:20
resolved 48:9	16:9 20:6 21:6,	45:1 48:23	47:7
87:20	6, 9, 14 28:23	50:11 56:13, 21	schedules 17:19,
resolved. 123:8	30:19, 19 31:2, 6,	57:2 67:17, 21	20
resolving 108:13	11 32:11 34:9	73:4 77:11 79:5,	school 28:7
resource 53:18	35:6, 10, 13, 21	5, 13 86:15 95:15	45: <i>14</i> 82: <i>4</i>
resources 110:11	39:7, <i>8</i> , <i>9</i> , <i>14</i>	rules 111: <i>18</i>	124: <i>15</i>
respect 95:7	43:1 47:21	ruling 78:9	school. 84:7
99:22 122: <i>1</i>	51: <i>19</i> 54: <i>1</i> , <i>10</i>	run 18: <i>18</i> 20: <i>10</i>	scope 124:20
respectful 125:4	58:5 63:9 64:20	39:1	se 52: <i>10</i> , <i>11</i> , <i>12</i> ,
respective 124:1	65:4 67:12	running 20:22	<i>19</i> 121:2, <i>5</i> , <i>10</i> ,
respond 28:20	69:2 <i>0</i> 74:21		<i>14</i> 124: <i>14</i>
responded.	75:3, 8, 9 76:17	< S >	125: <i>18</i> 126: <i>1</i> , 6
11: <i>15</i> 31:8	82:4 86:11	S 2:1	Second 11:11
response. 11:3,	88:23 94:18	said , 51: <i>15</i>	30:20, 21 77:10
17 31:5, 10	109: <i>11</i> , <i>14</i>	said. 8:19, 21 9:3	105:15 127:18
39:13 44:5 75:2	114:10, 12 117:1	sales 114:21	Second. 11:12
117:13	119:3, 5 127:1	same 20:3 85:23	30:23 31:1
responses 14:22	right. 10:7 15:3,	Santiago 78:13,	127:19
responsibility	15 18:3 20:6	13 79:19	secondary 49:11
34:16 72:9	22:2, 10 24:22	Santiago. 79:19	seconded 31:3
responsibility.	30:10 34:6 38:4,	sat 93:22	Section 51:4
31: <i>12</i>	13, 21 39:3, 22	satisfactory 40:18	securities 115:13
responsible	41:13 46:8 49:8	save 18:15	Security 82:21
45:20, 21 98:22	53:7, 19 55:8	19:11, 12 20:9	see 8:17, 23
result 32:14	57:3, 12 62:22	saw 25:1	9:22 13:23
73:10 97:11	64:9, 12 66:21	say 16:13 51:8	18:14 21:18
109:23 119:7	67:12 70:15, 23	65:5 69:1 72:2	22:4 30:15 33:6

Freedom Court Reporting, Inc

42:15 62:12	share 25:9	sir. 8:7 18: <i>19</i>	sorry 5:2 8:20
91:23 107:10	29:18 32:10	28:5 79:2 <i>1</i> , 23	13:22 88:12
108: <i>1</i> 7 113:2 <i>1</i>	60: <i>4</i> 76: <i>12</i>	80:6 92:8 112:13	sorry. 16: <i>11</i>
121:2 122: <i>19</i>	79:18 88:19,20,	sit 93:20 122:18	53:21 80:8
123:2, 14	20 91:6 93:2	123:2 <i>1</i>	sort 15:22 27:5
seen 101:4	share. 25:10	site 13:2 84:15	65:21 67:5
112:19 113:12	32:17	86:7	70:21 72:12
select 22:23 90:7	Shared 19:10	sitting 31:19	101:3 114: <i>1</i> 3
selected 90:10	20:9 21:10 44:7,	100:3 122:22	121:2
self- 46:3	13, 15 45:11	situation 19:11	sound 84: <i>12</i>
self-employed	46:6 87:18	61:6, 9 65:7 68:5	sounds 72:8
71:9 114:17	102: <i>19</i>	situation. 41:3	84:12 85:14
self-employment	sharing 77:2	six 5:21 14:21	source 14:18
104: <i>18</i>	she 4:19 12:12	34:12 48:7	66:20 79:6
self-represented	78:5 82:17	sleeves 119:13	sources 30:13
46:4 51:8	84:21 106:1	slides 13:6	64:17 101:21
semester 28:18	107:8	small 106:9	speak 4:17
senators 59:9	sheet 118:13, 16	smallest 47:4	25:21 62:6
send 51:1 59:6	Shelby 2:13 6:4	Smith 10: <i>18</i>	118:23
sense 21:15	She's 3:9	So 7:21 34:2	speak. 45:7
sensitive 61:16	shoes 100:9	55:15 57:17	speaker 87:4
65:6, 16 121:20	should 43:23	125:13	speaking 59:18
sensitive, 65:13	44:15, 20	so. 9:6 53:11	90:15 105:18
sent 15: <i>16</i> , <i>19</i> ,	should. 59:17	social 20:1 82:20	special 3:3, 5
20, 23 58:20, 21	show 102:8	society 87:15	specialized 54:2
59:8	115:16	soften 117:7	specific 12:23
separate 63:10	shuffle. 113: <i>1</i>	solution 40:18	20:11 30:6 39:4
separately 104:17		108:14	66:14 79:6
September. 92:23	104:16 115:10	solutions 107:21	specifically
serious 126:8	122:19	solve 95:9	38:15 40:20
serve 6:18 7:22	side. 95:8	some 12:22	78:4 101:11
35:4 63:2 89:7,	sides 61:7 72:16	15:21 41:22	spend 28:15
10	sign 23:17 46:1,	43:6 54:1 63:13	90:17 114:5
served 7:20	7 118:12, 15	72:11 93:1	spent 19:4 35:19
9:10 73:4 83:20	signed 89:20	114:1, 15	spirit 8:13
service 61:8	significance.	somebody 51:8	split 56:15
81:1 82:17	51:21	68:5 87:1	spouse 80:19
services 14:21	sign-in 118:12	100:20 108:14	81:2
82:7 100:14	sign-up 118:16	124:8	staff 5:15 65:6
Services. 80:17	Similar 18:1	somehow 70:13	127:5
session 111:13	since 52:16	someone 90:7, 13	stand 52:17
125:2	127:9	something 13:5	standard 45:9
set 51:3, 12 68:2,	single 52:15	19:16 46:19	94:12
3 109:5	81:15 98:2	59:4 71:13	standing 73:1, 3,
setting 48:10	110:12, 14	73:14 99:6	16 95:12
settlement. 76:6	sir 4:23 6:1	124:23	stands. 78:9
seven 5:21	10:12 12:7	somewhat 108:23	start 3:1 5:23
80:13 88:8	16:15 28:21	son. 113: <i>12</i>	50:2 110:23
127:12	118: <i>11</i>	sooner 41:11	
several 10:16			
	1	1	l

Freedom Court Reporting, Inc

started 54:14	straight 113:14	suggestions 11:1	57:10 76:16
112:23 119:20	114: <i>19</i>	44:2	111: <i>16</i>
120:6 127:11	straight. 4:20	suicide 107:8	sure 8:19, 21
starting 11:20	street 30:15	Sullivan 78:21	9:1 10:2 24:1
23:2 88:1, 22	109:11	sum 101:6	29:15, 17 34:22
123:10	strongly 3:19	summer 45:16	40:13 48:16
starts 88:17, 17	struggling 31:21	supervisor 65:8	65:20 71:5
99:10	Stuart 2: <i>10</i> 6: <i>6</i> ,	supplements	80:10 118:12, 15
STATE 1:4, 16,		55: <i>11</i>	
	6 23:22 24:1, 2,		Sure. 23:23 56:6
21 7:3 16:4	10 29:11, 11	SUPPORT 1:3,	65:18 95:2
19:12 25:10	38:23 40:17	15 2:8 5:20	120:23
30:14 36:10	41: <i>1, 9, 14, 1</i> 7	6: <i>14</i> 7:3 16: <i>17</i> ,	system 20:22
37:23 38:2 41:9	57:18 99:23	20 17:1 21:11	39:1 59:13
42:13 44:22	100:2 104: <i>9</i> , <i>13</i> ,	22:6 24:9, 9, 20	106: <i>1</i> 108: <i>8</i> , <i>19</i>
45:7 47:4 53:6	23 105:8	27:16 31:20	110:2, <i>1</i> 6 119:23
56:23 63:5	Stuart's 43:3	32:1 37:14	system. 88:10
64:15 67:2	stubs 101:20	38:16 39:5 40:5,	
68: <i>18</i> 81: <i>16</i>	stubs. 102:10	11 44:7 45:19	<t></t>
82:21 88:16	student 115:8	46:5 47:1 48:4	tail 113:3
95:1, 6, 7 102:8,	studies 24:8	52:23 53:10	take 21:3, 10
11, 12, 22 104:14	26:2, 4, 9	54:6, 16, 23 55:1,	29:13 39:18
108:2 128:3, 7	study 15:9, 14	18 56:10, 14	44:9 45:8 68:11
state. 38:6 41:19	27:1, 1, 2 28:10,	59:1 61:3 62:8,	71:14 80:3
stated 38:23	10 71:4, 5 94:17	11, 19, 20 63:11,	85:19 97:5
64:2	study. 29:16	18 64:7 66:4, 11	101:9 116:13
statement 8:10	subcommittee	68:2, 7 70:2	taken 1:19 5:12
67:18 68:19	33:17 34:10, 16	73:6, 15 74:1	64:16 126:16
statements 113:6	35:4 90:6, 13	76:19 80:12, 16,	takes 14:14
states 27:21	119:4	16, 18, 22 81:5, 9,	108:12, 14
28:1 33:7, 12, 21	subcommittee.	18 82:6, 9, 12	talk 14:17 18:20
42:5 44:22 71:7	34:20	85:9 88:11	30:3 50:11
108:3	subcommittees	90:23 96:23	56:22 88:11
statewide 47:5,	34:12	97:11 100:18, 21	92:19 119:5
12 48:21 49:2	subcommittees,	106:15, 20 107:6,	123:22 126:9
124: <i>4</i>	90:3	11 114:1 115:6	talked 10:21
statewide. 102:20	submitted 16:7	117:20 118:7	31:15 33:13
status 12:21	25:2 74:7	120:6 121:6	39:11 50:6, 16
39:8	subpoenaed 67:5	127:12	56:23 78:20, 21
statute 37:22	106:23	support. 78:3	talking 19:18
38:3 52:3	substance 51:5,	80:22 100:22	22:11 25:7 27:4,
statutes 49:20	16	107:4	19 43:10 50:14
stay 19:19	substantiate 97:4	supporting 64:7	60:20 65:14, 15
stepfather 32:1	success 65:22	supports 3:19	76:4 85:9 99:4
stockbroker	suffering 109:22	suppose 46:10	106: <i>17</i>
114: <i>19</i>	suggest 8:15	97:17 98:10	tall 127:12
stop 73:19 92:20	21:10 69:4	supposed 46:10	task 14:15 50:1
stories 94:2	suggesting 101:9	Supreme 2:10	55:16,20 58:2
story 65:20	suggestion 8:9	3:8 6:7, 16 12:9	tasks. 13:19
106:2 107:18	43:4, 7, 21	14:12 23:16	taught 61:5
			83: <i>18, 18</i> 84: <i>4</i>

Freedom Court Reporting, Inc

tax 13:1 28:14	13 117:9, 10	13 45:2, 6, 15	There's 103:21
39:15 40:3, 5	127:20	46:22 47:3, 5, 18,	115: <i>11</i>
43:10 66:17, 19	Thanks 80:4	19 48:6 49:18	these 3:13 24:7
67:2, 2, 19 68:18	94:7, 14	51:4, 20 52:5, 18	61:11 109:10
69:3 70:9 75:22	that 6:17 10:8	54:13 56:7 58:1	thesis 114:14
	11:22 12:21	59:18 62:12	
94:22, 23 95: <i>5</i> , 7 101:22			they 16:20 18:7 26:5 33:13 46:9
	16:6, 7 17:5 20:23 21:6	63:12, 14, 15	
tax. 28:16		64:6 65:16 69:6	52:2 67:3 68:19 69:7 76:23
taxable 40:7	22:16 24:12	70:2, 5, 21 73:21	
taxes 42:14, 21	25:12 31:11	74:6, 10 76:10	77:18 85:9
72:10, 11	33:12 34:4, 7	79:11, 18 80:18,	125: <i>14</i>
taxes. 71:7	36:6 41:9 42:10	20 81:1, 3, 12, 19	they're 17:16
tax-free 115:12	43:4 44:10	84:12, 15 85:7	19:8 61:12 74:4
team 54:17	48:16 52:3	87:4 88:5 89:8	88:1
teeth 66:11	54:23 55:18	90:6 91:1, 14, 18	thing 19:20 26:1
telecom 125:7	58:19 69:3 81:8,	95:5, 6 96:5	40:9 41:5 67:5
tell 72:22 75:11	16 82:14 85:1, 5	99:4 102:3, 7	74:8 76:7 77:10,
98:1 106:2	91:7 104:23	104:5 105:4, 10,	21 86:6 90:5, 12
107:17 108:8	107:17 115:14,	14 106:23 107:5	117:3 123:19
123:17	16 117:20 121:9	110:15 111:3, 17,	thing. 98:11
tells 106:2	123:1 126:21	21 115:10	99:14 104:21
temporarily 92:20	that, 67:23	116: <i>15</i> , <i>21</i>	things 20:4
tennis 9:5 98:17	that. 14:16	117:22 118:23	22:12 27:12, 12
tenus 8:13, 15	18: <i>10</i> 21:5 22: <i>1</i> ,	119:1, 22 120:17	48:11 49:16
98:11, 13, 15	9 26:16 41:8	121:3 122:14	59: <i>16</i> , <i>1</i> 7 61:6
tenus. 8:18 9:1	42:14 43:19	125:2, 23 126:5	71:23 93:22
10:3 98:12	46:1,7 47:2	128:8	94:21 95:21
Ten-year-old	48:17 58:3 76:2	their 9:5 16:23	98:19 103:7
112:18	90:4 91:3	18:14 44:17	105:22 112:3
term 56:11 117:7		49:15 66:18	119:22 124:5
term. 10:6	111:19 122:5	100:20 116:9	things. 20:12
terminology	126:17 127:10	121:5	28:18 52:4
57:11	That's 27:18	them 93:11	think 8:15, 17
terms 15:18	31:18 36:19	110:20	10:17, 17, 20
28:2 29:8 51:3,	46:15 57:23	them. 103:3	14:13 15:8 19:2,
20 63:20 66:5,	65:11 85:3 98:7,	126:10, 19	7 20:3, 8, 16
10 70:21 95:6	15 121:12	themselves.	22:3, 7, 12, 15
111:6	the 1:14, 21, 22	41:15 61:13	23:12, 16 25:15
territory 99:9	3:7, 10 7:2, 16,	then 75:23 98:10	26:3, 9, 14 28:14,
113:18, 19	19 10:5 11:6	There 8:9 56:18	22 29:12 30:5, 8,
testify 4:18	12:1 13:14 16:3	81:2	17 31:21 32:16,
testimony 68:11	17:13, 21 18:17,	there, 100:3	21 33:4, 11 34:1
98:6	22 19:5, 7, 12	there. 49:20	38:5 39:7, 18, 23
texts 80:21	20:10, 13 21:1,	89:13 91:16	40:12 41:1, 4, 7,
Thank 4:5, 6, 11	17, 23 23:1, 15	92:4 101:3	10 43:14 47:13,
35:16, 17 40:23	25:3, 4, 8 26:2	113:22 114:4	20 48:1, 2 49:1,
75:16 77:12	28:9 30:7, 20	115:9	4, 18 50:2 51:17
86:13, 18, 19	32:2 36:13, 15	thereof 99:1	59:15 60:22
94:9 103:9	37:8, 12, 14, 16	thereof. 128:16	61:16 66:10
105: <i>17</i> 112: <i>1</i> 2,	38:14 39:7 40:2,		68: <i>14</i> , 23 69:23

Freedom Court Reporting, Inc

70:4, 21 79:14	through 81:13	20 94:10, 16	11:2, 6, <i>1</i> 8 12: <i>11</i>
86: <i>15</i> , <i>15</i> 88: <i>12</i> ,	100:19	95:21 96:1 97:3	88: <i>13</i> 128: <i>9</i>
<i>13</i> 90:2 91: <i>14</i> ,	throwing 114:4	98:19,22 99:1,	transcript. 15:7
20 92:15 93:15,	thrown 74:15	11 100:21	travel 13:3
16, 16 95:9, 9, 14,	77:16	101:21 102:2, 16	89: <i>11</i> 125: <i>15</i>
17 96:8, 11, 16,	thumb 17:3	105:2, 15 107:12	treat 88:1 121:23
17 97:7 98:19	18:10 57:16	108:15 110:18	treated 47:22
99:6, 11, 13, 16	time 3:2 13:8	112:6 114:2	122:9
101:12, 12	20:18 26:2, 5, 7,	117:6, 23 118:11	tremendous
102:15, 16, 17, 21	10 28:20 31:16	120:14 121:4	102:16
103:6, 10, 22	35:20 45:23	123:19 125:8	trial 41:18 44:1
105:5 107:20, 22	49:11 50:16, 18	to. 35:2 82:19	107:22
108:6 110:6	51:1, 22 52:17	97:17	tricked 51:6
111:1 116:12, 19	55:5, 7 56:13	today 16:23	tried 30:3
119:12 120:4	57:7 62:14	28:14 95:10	105:23 108:1
121:8, 12, 15, 16	63:15 74:13	105:20 110:13	tried. 28:12
122:4 123:20	77:16 80:7, 8	123:6	trouble 102:19
125:11 126:9	81:22 85:7	today. 3:3	true 40:8 128:12
think, 21:15	86:14 90:17	ton. 114:9	true. 100:2
thinking 3:11	101:2 105:5	tonight 91:20	trump 37:23 38:6
65:22	107:6 119:19	too. 48:12 57:6	trust 40:22
Thirty 78:13	time, 89:10	92:12	try 18:13 48:19
this 6:20 12:14	time. 36:7 52:13	topic 11:21	56:2 65:9 66:10
14:14 28:23	57:11 58:9	31:14	70:20 83:23
37:7 41:10	83:21 94:8, 14	topics 34:14	112:16 119:15
63:18 65:1, 2	117:9	topics 34.74	124:9
-			
69: <i>10</i> 75:9 90:5 119: <i>10</i> 124: <i>1</i> 7	timely. 28:21 times 125:23	Total 7:23 13:17 totaled 7:21	trying 52:14 53:23 59:12, 13
125:21		totaling 15:22	-
	title 4:19 82:21, 22 92:17,20	U	62:7, 12 63:19
this. 24:1 65:17		totally 100:10	64:10 65:9
84:9	to 1:21 3:22, 23	touched 106:16	121:20
those 11:4 20:7	4:2, 9 11:5 12:7	town 87:2	trying. 62:16
31:20 43:11	13:16 18:21	track 57:20	turned 89:23
71:22 96: <i>14</i>	21:7 22:15 25:7	trade 107:23	98: <i>14</i> 113: <i>1</i> , 2
though. 28:13	26:22 29:1, 9, 13	training 48:3, 4, 9,	turns 113: <i>10</i>
thought 22:18	30:8 34:10 35:3	12, 15 50:5, 7	
32:15 34:11	36:4 38:9, 23	51:17 52:22	75:20 77:19
36:4 59:4, 10, 20	39:14 40:12, 14	53:2, 9 54:2, 14	106: <i>8</i> , <i>12</i>
94:21 107:1	41:5, 6 43:12	55:17 88:14, 17	Tuskegee 89:3
117: <i>1</i> 7	44:6 48:13, 19	90:1, 2 93:15, 17	tweak 43:22
thoughts 33:4	49:4 50:1, 17	99:13, 16 103:7	tweaked 33:15
60:2	52:1 56:2, 20	116:19, 20, 22, 22	two 9:12, 20
thousand 114:5	58:8 59:10	121:9 122:4, 15,	13:18 26:1, 4, 9
thousands 13:14	60:17 61:1, 16	15, 17 123:3, 7,	32:3 33:4 44:3
three 11:21 26:5	64:10 66:23	20, 21 124:3, 4	52:12, 15 61:2
45:12 47:4, 6, 9	68:22 70: <i>8</i> , 20	125:2 126:8	63:10 64:4
53:11, 12 64:5	72:1,9 73:5	training. 89:6	66:16 68:3
68:3 89: <i>9</i>	83:22, 23 84:19	122:15 123:15	77:20 78:7
113: <i>10</i> 114: <i>12</i>	86:2 90: <i>16</i> 91: <i>5</i> ,	transcript 8:3, 4	90:10 100:9
	10 92:3, 14, 19,	9:23 10:1, 10, 13	101:2 <i>0</i> , 22

Freedom Court Reporting, Inc

105:20 106:9, 10,	updated 27:2	77:11 112:23	wanted 21:17
13 113:13	56:7	125:6	84:9 86:13
114:12, 20	updating 12:3	visitation. 117:7	103:13 104:9
two. 61:23	upon 98:5	visits 49:14	105:6, 15, 20, 22
two-day 123:7	upstairs 92:2	visits. 49:14	123:19
type 73:5 74:8	up-to- 52:21	volume 61:19	wants 19:21
88:21 104:21	us 15:20	voluntary 112:6	63: <i>1</i> 100:20
124:5	us. 14:8 26:11	volunteer 89:10	110:2
types 104:14	29:6, 22 83:3	volunteered	war 94:2
109: <i>17</i>	86:4 116:3	34:20	warms 48:17
typically 6:18	127:15	vote 31:7	Warren 112:15
	use 41: <i>1</i> 51:3,	voted 91:8	was 10:18 15:5
< U >	19 53:18 56:11	voters 36:22	24:23 32:1
UAB 115:8	80:7 117: <i>4</i> , 6		59:20 68:23
Uh-huh. 37:18	usual 45:9	< W >	71:8 79:7 83:17
ultimate 108:13	Usually 28:17	W-2 102:7	90:9
unable 12:7	67:22	wage 68:10 72:3	was, 117:21
107:3	utilities 71:17	85:22 96:3	was. 59:22
uncomfortable	utilize 53:16	wages 95:18	Washington
109:2 <i>0</i>		101: <i>19</i>	78:20 92:18
unconstitutional	< V >	wait 41: <i>14</i>	way 29:3, 12
38:11, 18	vacuum 107:15	105: <i>15</i>	34:23 35:8
under 68:20	vague 86:16	walk 126:6	59:17 69:21
understand	validation. 42:12	walked 14:1	70:22 72:8
21:21 29:21	various 6:17	91: <i>18</i>	95: <i>15</i> 108: <i>4</i>
51:20 72:4 83:4	29:20	walkers 9:21	110: <i>1</i> 116: <i>6</i> , <i>12</i>
understanding	Venohr 11:23	walks 119: <i>12</i>	122:10 123:3
86:11	16:6 27: <i>1</i>	wallet 114:10, 12	125: <i>4</i>
undertaking	Venohr, 12:18	Walton 113:20	way. 69: <i>9</i>
102:16	Venorh 12:12	want 3:4, 6 4:14,	Wayne 5:12
unfairness 99:5	verbatim 12:15	21 6:10, 21 8:16	We 3:2, 17
unfit 47:19,23	versus 17:7 42:7	14:11, 17 15:6	12:10 14:22
unfortunately	very 106:3	16:13 17:10	15:12, 18 19:2
62:4 115:1	112:12 117:5	18:10, 21, 22	22:12 23:7, 20
unique 6:21	125:17	19:1, 3, 6 26:1	29:20 32:20, 21
unit 81:14	VI 36:2, 21	27:6, 21 29:8	35:13 43:17
United 71:7 universities 16:3	37: <i>13</i> 38: <i>16</i> 39:5 91:8	30:7 31: <i>16</i> 36:22 42:3 43:5,	48: <i>11, 14</i> 53:9, 15 54:16 55:11
28: <i>8</i> , <i>9</i>	victim 106:1	9, 16 44:9, 19	58:16, 20 63:8
University 28:19	view 19:20	45:8, 16 48:19	66:2 81: <i>15</i>
unknown 67:11	viewed 121:11	57:5, 14 58:18	83:10, 20 92:6, 7
unrepresented	visit 49:6, 15	60:17 80:7 94:6	93:5 105:5
61: <i>12</i> 67: <i>7</i> , <i>10</i>	77:17, 20	96:1 99:11	106:16 110:19
until 111: <i>15</i>	visitation 44:7	101:18 102:15	111:20 119:12,
unwed 88:4, 4	47:6 48:20, 22	105:2, 9 106:6	19 120:21
up 26:14 56:12	49:2, 5, 13, 19, 21	107:15 112:9	122:22 126:18
68:4 77:21 101:6	51:13 56:11	114:11 118:23	WebMD.com
up, 119: <i>13</i>	57:19 62:21	119:6, 7, 10	19:17
up. 18:11 27:8	63:2 74:12	120:4 124:2	website 125:20
update 12:20			
			1

Freedom Court Reporting, Inc

_			
126: <i>12</i>	71:21 77:5	18: <i>13</i> 25: <i>19</i>	world 50: <i>14</i>
we'd 75:11	91:2 <i>1</i> 93: <i>3</i> , <i>4</i> , <i>8</i> ,	33:3 60:9 64:16	52:2 100:11
week 61:20 65:2		74:11 87:20	world. 50:21
week. 60:12	107:20 110:6, 18,	93:22 103:5	worry. 101:2
weekend 45:14,	22 111:1, 3	109:5 112:2	worse 74:16, 17
15	116:23 126:3	with. 31:21 94:4	worst 108:20
weeks 78:7	Westlaw 80:4	withholding	would 21:9
welcome 3:8	we've 13:12	81: <i>10</i> , <i>15</i> , <i>19</i> , <i>21</i>	22:22 27:20
	19:3 20:4 29:1		
4:15, 21		83:19 84:1	32:8 37:22 38:5
well 10:21 16:2	35:10 48:3 49:4,	85:22 119:2 <i>1</i>	57:20 66:22
19:7 23:14 24:6,	12, 17, 21 50:5,	withholding.	90:12 103:6
14 25:18 26:19	16 51:23 56:13	82:10	107:21 116:19
30:7 31: <i>18</i>	58:7 60:20	without 106:2	wouldn't 73:15
34: <i>13</i> 35: <i>5</i> 36: <i>3</i> ,		woefully 45:3	wreck 108:22
13 37:11 43:23	74:7 86:20	woman 74:7	write 66: <i>14</i> 82: <i>5</i> ,
44:12 45:5 47:3	103:7 108:20	117: <i>18</i>	11, 13
48: <i>1</i> 51: <i>15</i>	119: <i>11</i> 127:5	women 74:9	written 17:2
52:21 53:2, 4	what 26:7 27:23	wonder 37:22	100:6
56:12 60:3, 19	33:6 38:22 67:6,	91: <i>19</i>	wrong 78:23
62:17 67:6 71:4	<i>19</i> 91:20 98:2	wondered 66:2	84:2
72:1, 15 76:13,	122:7	wonderful 3:17	wrong. 79:1
19 77:12 78:23	whatever 25:13	4:7, 8 41:5 61:2	wrote 78:21
81:6 83:12, 15	74:13 123:3	74:2	
87:1 89:19, 21	when 54:15	Wonderful. 3:15	< Y >
95:23 97:13	113:5	35:6 55:13 56:4	y'all 35:16,17
98:1 99:3	where 40:8 62:9	123: <i>13</i>	53:2 65:15 98:3,
106:19 112:17	80:21 102:21	wondering 69:1	3 127:20
116:21 122:14	125:3	won't 56:10	Yeah 42:18
125:3	whether 37:1	72:19	46:12 101:12
well, 79:10 96:23	52:6 90:21	word 49:5, 12, 19	118: <i>15</i>
well. 39:18 57:16		74:18 117:4,6	Yeah. 42:18
Weller 2:21 3:7	112:3	words 112:17	48:1 67:16
4:2, 11	while 76:22	119:7 120:19	104:12 111:9
went 16:18, 21	while. 48:4	121:20	118:4 120:3
59:1 75:19	who 50:9 100:7	words. 116: <i>18</i>	year 12:2, 19
78:10 80:14	whole 19:1 34:3	work 14:19	45:14 55:11
82:2 106:12	whole. 49:22	30:17 41:8 65:9	88:9 113:4
were 20:18	why 118:7	91:6 96:16	120:11
27:14 32:23	why. 108:9	102:12 119:8	year. 16:8 120:9
We're 3:1 4:12	wife 32:2 71:9	120:1, 14 123:2	years 3:11 5:21
6:22 9:19 12:19	will 45:23 51:11	125:7, 20 126:9,	7:14, 20, 20, 22,
14:13 17:17	93:10 123:11	22	23 9:10 12:2
22:16 27:3, 7	willing 77:8	worked 3:10	14:21, 21, 22
28:6, 14 29:15	win 108:15	34:13 127:3	19:3 26:5 27:6,
31:21 38:22, 23	winner 108:12, 14	workers 53:3	15 28:2 33:6
39:1 47:14	wisdom 120:20	61:23	34:12 53:11
53:14, 23 54:19	wish 46:15 52:18	working 3:10	63:19 66:16
55:9, 10, 21 56:1	wishes 21:5	4:10 43:23	70:3 71:10, 12
60:3 61:21	with 4:23 12:20	124:17	74:9 76:6 80:13
64:10, 20 69:12	14:7 15: <i>11</i>	worksheet 47:1	89:8, 9 93:20
04.10,20 09.12	14.7 13.77	WUINSHEEL 41.1	03.0, 3 33.20

Freedom Court Reporting, Inc

101:22 108:1 113:11, 11, 14 114:19 116:14 124:17 years. 5:19 20:5 21:20 48:7 53:12 64:5 112:19 **yes**, 115:1 Yes. 9:17 18:16 25:17 43:9 80:1 yesterday 16:18 yet. 5:9 you 3:19 8:22 20:17 26:13 27:11 38:7 42:11, 21 53:13 54:2 57:1 60:8 61:7 64:21 65:6 68:17, 18 73:6 77:12 86:19 88:23 91:19 92:1 93:18 94:1 95:4 97:8 98:18 102:8 103:1, 14 108:8, 22 110:6 121:16 124:13 you. 4:10 6:22 9:21, 22 13:23 40:23 69:11 75:17 86:18 119:17 124:19 young 76:8 89:14,21 106:13 younger 92:13 your 67:1 71:15 73:1 80:23 101:19 103:22 110:10 116:2 **you're** 47:21 86:22 94:15 110:7 < Z > **zero** 45:20 zero, 45:19 **zeroing** 34:13