

**M E M O R A N D U M**

TO: Members of the Supreme Court Standing Committee on  
Child Support Guidelines and Enforcement

FROM: Jack W. Hughes, Chairman

DATE: March 2, 2006

RE: Agenda for March 31, 2006, Meeting

This memorandum is for the purpose of reminding each of you that the next Committee meeting is scheduled for **Friday, March 31, 2006, beginning at 10:00 a.m. to be held in the Mezzanine Classroom of the Heflin - Torbert Judicial Building, Montgomery.** Parking is available in the Center for Commerce deck with the entrance on Hull Street roughly two blocks south of the Building. The parking deck code is 320\*.

The agenda for the March 31, 2006, meeting of the Committee is as follows:

1. Consideration of the attached proposal concerning health insurance by Steve Arnold, Esq.;
2. Consideration of the comparative study conducted by R. Mark Rogers of Economic Consulting, Inc., Peachtree City, GA. Attached are copies of a letter from Mr. Rogers to Bob Maddox of the Administrative Office of Courts, along with Mr. Rogers' Curriculum Vitae and the full study. Mr. Rogers will be at the next meeting in person making a power point presentation and will be available to answer any questions from any Committee members at that time.

Some questions the Committee may wish to consider in reviewing this study are as follows:

- a. Do we wish to continue to use the Income Shares Model? If not, which model?
- b. Do we wish to have our child support calculations by the trial courts based on gross income or net income?
- c. Do we agree with the child support obligation dollar amounts in the proposed child support tables? Should they be lower? Higher? Should the obligation dollar amounts in the existing tables be changed?
- d. Do we wish to incorporate a Self Support Reserve for low income parents into our calculations? On the table? With a shaded area? Off the table?
- e. How do we wish to deal with Shared Parenting Time? At what level of Parenting Time should this begin?
- f. Other issues:

- (1) Subsequently-Born Children
- (2) Imputed Income
- (3) Daycare
- (4) Extraordinary Medical Expenses
- (5) Extraordinary Educational Expenses
- (6) Requiring parents to notify the other parent upon a change in income
- (7) In Rule 32(B)(4), ARJA, Other Income, provide that an automobile allowance be included in other income.
- (8) Explain why, in Rule 32(B)(2), ARJA, take-home pay or net income cannot be used.
- (9) In Rule 32(B)(3), ARJA, consider implementing a procedure to more easily determine self-employment income.
- (10) Consider a requirement that all income and expense amounts be shown in monthly amounts, not just in the forms, but also in testimony and exhibits.
- (11) Adopt a percentage figure to be used where the parties' joint income exceeds the Child Support Guidelines.

(12) Use the county's appraised value of real estate, automobiles, and other tagged vehicles as the presumptive fair market value.

3. Any other business.

Any further questions concerning the next meeting or the attachments may be directed to Wayne Jones, Staff Attorney, Supreme Court Clerk's Office at (334) 242-4862.

Attachments